Please check the examination details below before entering your candidate information

Candidate surname	Other names

Pearson Edexcel International GCSE

Centre Number | Candidate Number

Time 1 hour 20 minutes

Paper reference **4ET1/01**

English Literature

PAPER 1: Poetry and Modern Prose

You must have:
Question Booklet (enclosed)

Total Marks

Instructions

- Use **black** ink or ball-point pen.
- **Fill in the boxes** at the top of this page with your name, centre number and candidate number.
- Answer the question from Section A and **ONE** question from Section B.
- Answer the questions in the spaces provided
  – *there may be more space than you need.*

Information

- The total mark for this paper is 60.
- The marks for **each** question are shown in brackets –
  use this as a guide as to how much time to spend on each question.
- Copies of the *Pearson Edexcel International GCSE English Anthology* may **not** be brought into the examination.
- Copies of the texts studied may **not** be brought into the examination.
- Dictionaries may **not** be used in this examination.

Advice

- Read each question carefully before you start to answer it.
- Check your answers if you have time at the end.
- Good luck with your examination.

Turn over ▶

P65908A

©2021 Pearson Education Ltd.

1/1/1/1

P 6 5 9 0 8 A 0 1 1 6

Pearson

stemup.co.uk

SECTION A: Unseen Poetry

Question 1

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA
DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA
DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

stemup.co.uk

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA
DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA
DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

stemup.co.uk

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

stemup.co.uk

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA
DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA
DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

stemup.co.uk

(Total for Question 1 = 20 marks)

TOTAL FOR SECTION A = 20 MARKS

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA
DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA
DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

stemup.co.uk

SECTION B: Modern Prose

Indicate which question you are answering by marking a cross in the box ☒. If you change your mind, put a line through the box ☒ and then indicate your new question with a cross ☒.

Chosen question number:

Question 2 ☒ **Question 3** ☒ **Question 4** ☒

Question 5 ☒ **Question 6** ☒ **Question 7** ☒

Question 8 ☒ **Question 9** ☒ **Question 10** ☒

Question 11 ☒

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

stemup.co.uk

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

stemup.co.uk

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA
DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA
DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

stemup.co.uk

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

stemup.co.uk

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA
DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA
DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

stemup.co.uk

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

stemup.co.uk

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA
DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA
DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

stemup.co.uk

TOTAL FOR SECTION B = 40 MARKS
TOTAL FOR PAPER = 60 MARKS

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

stemup.co.uk

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA
DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA
DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

BLANK PAGE

stemup.co.uk

BLANK PAGE

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA
DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA
DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

stemup.co.uk

Pearson Edexcel International GCSE

Time 1 hour 20 minutes

Paper reference **4ET1/01**

English Literature

PAPER 1: Poetry and Modern Prose

Question Booklet
Do not return this Question Booklet with your Answer Booklet.

Turn over ▶

P65908A

©2021 Pearson Education Ltd.

1/1/1/1

P 6 5 9 0 8 A

Pearson

stemup.co.uk

BLANK PAGE

stemup.co.uk

Answer TWO questions:

Answer the question in Section A and ONE question from Section B.

	Page
SECTION A: Unseen Poetry	4
SECTION B: Modern Prose	
To Kill a Mockingbird, Harper Lee	6
Of Mice and Men, John Steinbeck	6
The Whale Rider, Witi Ihimaera	7
The Joy Luck Club, Amy Tan	7
Things Fall Apart, Chinua Achebe	8

stemup.co.uk

SECTION A: Unseen Poetry

Answer the question in this section.

You should spend 35 minutes on this question.

Read the following poem.

Poem For My Sister

My little sister likes to try my shoes,
to strut in them,
admire her spindle-thin* twelve-year-old legs
in this season's styles.
She says they fit her perfectly,
but wobbles
on their high heels, they're
hard to balance.

I like to watch my little sister
playing hopscotch*, admire the neat hops-and-skips of her,
their quick peck,
never-missing their mark, not
over-stepping the line.
She is competent at peever*.

I try to warn my little sister
about unsuitable shoes,
point out my own distorted feet, the callouses*,
odd patches of hard skin.
I should not like to see her
in my shoes.
I wish she should stay
sure footed,
sensibly shod.

Liz Lochhead (1981)

Glossary
**spindle-thin* – a spindle is a very thin piece of wood or metal, often used for holding and twisting thread
**hopscotch* – a playground game where children hop on numbered squares drawn on the ground
**peever* – another word for hopscotch. The word derives from the stone or marker used in the game
**callouses* – hard, thickened skin

stemup.co.uk

1 Explore how the writer presents the narrator and her sister in this poem.

In your answer, you should consider the writer's:

- descriptive skills
- choice of language
- use of form and structure.

Support your answer with examples from the poem.

(Total for Question 1 = 20 marks)

TOTAL FOR SECTION A = 20 MARKS

stemup.co.uk

SECTION B: Modern Prose

Answer ONE question on ONE text from this section.

You should spend 45 minutes on this section.

To Kill a Mockingbird, Harper Lee

EITHER

2 Explore the theme of social inequality in *To Kill a Mockingbird*.

You must consider the context of the novel in your answer.

(Total for Question 2 = 40 marks)

OR

3 Discuss the relationship between Calpurnia and the Finch family in this novel.

You must consider the context of the novel in your answer.

(Total for Question 3 = 40 marks)

Of Mice and Men, John Steinbeck

EITHER

4 In what ways is cruelty an important theme in *Of Mice and Men*?

You must consider the context of the novel in your answer.

(Total for Question 4 = 40 marks)

OR

5 Which **one** character do you consider to be the most unhappy in the novel?

You must consider the context of the novel in your answer.

(Total for Question 5 = 40 marks)

stemup.co.uk

***The Whale Rider*, Witi Ihimaera**

EITHER

6 Discuss the importance of fear in *The Whale Rider*.

You must consider the context of the novel in your answer.

(Total for Question 6 = 40 marks)

OR

7 'Kahu seemed to say, "Down here?" and the dolphins made a nodding motion.'

Explore Kahu's relationship with the natural world in the novel.

You must consider the context of the novel in your answer.

(Total for Question 7 = 40 marks)

***The Joy Luck Club*, Amy Tan**

EITHER

8 In what ways is Ying-ying St. Clair significant in the novel?

You must consider the context of the novel in your answer.

(Total for Question 8 = 40 marks)

OR

9 'The characters experience both physical and emotional journeys.'

Discuss the theme of journeys in *The Joy Luck Club*.

You must consider the context of the novel in your answer.

(Total for Question 9 = 40 marks)

stemup.co.uk

***Things Fall Apart*, Chinua Achebe**

EITHER

10 Explore Okonkwo's weaknesses in *Things Fall Apart*.

You must consider the context of the novel in your answer.

(Total for Question 10 = 40 marks)

OR

11 In what ways is loss significant in the novel?

You must consider the context of the novel in your answer.

(Total for Question 11 = 40 marks)

TOTAL FOR SECTION B = 40 MARKS
TOTAL FOR PAPER = 60 MARKS

stemup.co.uk

BLANK PAGE

stemup.co.uk

BLANK PAGE

stemup.co.uk

BLANK PAGE

stemup.co.uk

Acknowledgement:

Poem For My Sister by Liz Lochhead © Birlinn Limited

stemup.co.uk

Surname	StemUp Model Solution
Answer booklet	Write the question number in the left-hand margin at the start of each answer.

Question number

1

Lochhead presents the narrator and her sister as two people standing on either side of growing up: the little sister is impatient to step into adulthood, while the narrator, who already lives there, looks back with a protective love that is shadowed by regret. The extended metaphor of shoes holds the whole poem together, so that what begins as a playful domestic scene slowly becomes a warning about the pain of being a woman in the adult world.

In the first stanza the sister is drawn with affectionate humour. The verb "strut" suggests confidence and even showing off, as if she is performing a grown-up self she has seen on others. Yet Lochhead undercuts this immediately with the compound adjective "spindle-thin", which makes her legs fragile and childlike, and with the phrase "twelve-year-old" placed right beside "this season's styles". The clash between a child's body and fashionable adult shoes captures her eagerness to grow up too soon. Her insistence that the shoes "fit her perfectly" is touchingly stubborn, but the line break isolates "but wobbles" on a line of its own, so the poem itself seems to totter. The enjambment of "they're / hard to balance" leaves the reader hanging, mirroring the unsteadiness of her first steps towards maturity.

The second stanza shifts to the sister in her natural element, and the narrator's tone softens into admiration: "I like to watch". Here the sister is defined by precision rather than clumsiness. The alliterative, monosyllabic "quick peck" turns her feet into a bird's beak, light, accurate and instinctive, and the hyphenated "hops-and-skips" has a bouncing rhythm of its own. The phrase "not / over-stepping the line" works on two levels: literally she obeys the rules of the game, but metaphorically she is still safely within the boundaries of childhood. The

Question number

short, plain statement "She is competent at peever" is quietly significant, since the Scots word roots her in a local, innocent world where she is genuinely skilled, in contrast to the borrowed world of high heels where she wobbles.

The final stanza reveals the narrator most fully. The verbs "try to warn" and "point out" give her an almost maternal voice, yet "try" admits that her advice may not be heeded. Her own body becomes the evidence: "distorted feet, the callouses, / odd patches of hard skin". This list of ugly physical details makes the cost of adulthood visible, and the "hard skin" may suggest emotional hardening as well. The idiom "I should not like to see her / in my shoes" is the poem's turning point, as the literal and metaphorical meanings fuse: she does not want her sister to live through what she has lived through. The tone here is restrained rather than dramatic, which makes the hint of personal hurt more poignant.

Structurally, the poem moves from the sister's fantasy, to her present reality, to the narrator's experience, so the reader is led from playfulness to wisdom. The free verse and irregular line lengths feel like spoken reflection, while the shortening lines at the end slow the pace. The soft sibilance of "sure footed, / sensibly shod" sounds like a gentle, almost wistful wish, and the indentation of the last line sets it apart, as if the narrator is stepping back. The archaic "shod" might even make the hope sound old-fashioned and unlikely to be granted. Lochhead ends not with certainty but with longing, leaving us to sense that the little sister will probably wobble into those shoes anyway.

2 In To Kill a Mockingbird, Harper Lee presents social inequality as a rigid and largely irrational hierarchy that Maycomb has inherited rather than chosen. Seen through the eyes of a child who does not yet accept its rules, the hierarchy of

Question number

class, race and family name appears absurd, and Lee uses Scout's innocence to expose how deeply injustice was woven into the American South of the 1930s, while also writing for a 1960 audience living through the struggle for civil rights.

Lee introduces inequality first through class. On Scout's first day at school, Walter Cunningham refuses the quarter Miss Caroline offers him, and Scout has to explain that the Cunninghams "never took anything they can't pay back". The Cunninghams are poor farmers ruined by the Great Depression, paying Atticus in hickory nuts and firewood, yet they have a fierce pride that Miss Caroline, an outsider from north Alabama, cannot read. Lee shows that inequality is not only about money but about understanding: those with power often misjudge those without it. When Scout criticises Walter for drowning his food in syrup, Calpurnia punishes her, insisting that anyone who comes to the house is "company". Through this small domestic moment Lee suggests that decency, not status, should govern how people are treated.

Below the Cunninghams sit the Ewells, who are, in Scout's words, the "disgrace of Maycomb" for generations. Lee depicts their home behind the town dump in squalid detail, with Bob Ewell spending his relief cheques on whiskey. The term "white trash" was common in the 1930s for poor, uneducated whites, and Lee shows that the Ewells are despised by almost everyone. Yet she complicates this: the town tolerates their children skipping school and Bob hunting out of season, so the system protects even its lowest white members. The red geraniums that Mayella tends in old slop jars in the yard hint at a longing for something better, and Scout's later realisation that Mayella must be "the loneliest person in the world" invites the reader to see her as a victim of both poverty and her father's cruelty.

Question number

The deepest inequality, however, is racial, and Lee places it at the heart of the novel through Tom Robinson's trial. Atticus proves that Tom, whose left arm was crippled in a cotton gin, could not have inflicted Mayella's injuries, which came from a left-handed attacker. Logic should win. Yet the all-white jury convicts him, because, as Atticus explains to Jem, a white man's word against a black man's will always prevail in such a court. Tom's fatal mistake is to say he felt "sorry" for Mayella, since a black man pitying a white woman reverses the accepted order. Lee's readers would have recognised the parallel with the Scottsboro Boys, nine black youths falsely accused of rape in Alabama in 1931, and Atticus's insistence that "in our courts all men are created equal" becomes bitterly ironic in the face of the verdict. Even the setting enforces the hierarchy: the black community watches from the segregated balcony, literally looking down on a system that keeps them beneath it.

Lee also exposes the hypocrisy of the respectable classes. At Aunt Alexandra's missionary circle, Mrs Merriweather weeps over the distant Mrunas in Africa while complaining about her own black servant at home. In Scout's classroom, Miss Gates condemns Hitler's persecution of the Jews, yet Scout overheard her outside the courthouse saying it was time somebody taught black people a lesson. These scenes reveal that the town's Christian and democratic values are applied selectively. Aunt Alexandra herself is obsessed with "Fine Folks" and heredity, forbidding Scout to play with Walter because the Cunninghams are "not our kind of folks". She represents the Southern belief in family lineage and in the ideal of the Southern lady, an ideal Scout instinctively resists.

Lee offers alternatives to this hierarchy through characters who cross its lines. Atticus treats everyone with the same courtesy, from Mrs Dubose to Tom. Calpurnia moves between two worlds, and when she takes the children to First

Question number

Purchase church, they see a community that is poor but generous, collecting money for Helen Robinson. Dolphus Raymond, a wealthy white man who lives with a black woman, pretends to be drunk so that the town can explain his choices, which shows how much pressure the hierarchy exerts. These characters suggest that inequality is maintained by fear of social judgement as much as by law.

Ultimately Lee places the final word on inequality in the mouths of the children. Jem works out that there are "four kinds of folks in the world", listing the Finches, the Cunninghams, the Ewells and the black community, and is baffled by why they cannot get along. Scout's reply, that there is "just one kind of folks. Folks", is simple, but in its simplicity it dismantles the whole structure. I think Lee's purpose is to show that the hierarchy survives only because adults teach it, and that the children's moral clarity offered hope to a 1960s America on the brink of change. Tom's death and the mockingbird imagery remind us, though, that such change came too late for many.

3

Harper Lee presents the relationship between Calpurnia and the Finch family as one of genuine love and mutual respect, yet it is always shaped by the racial boundaries of 1930s Alabama. Calpurnia is employed as a cook and housekeeper, but she functions as a mother to Scout and Jem, a trusted partner to Atticus and a bridge between the white and black communities. Through her, Lee shows both what is possible across the colour line and how far Maycomb is from true equality.

At the start of the novel, Scout's view of Calpurnia is coloured by childish resentment. She describes her as "all angles and bones", with a hand "as wide as a bed slat and twice as hard", and complains of her "tyrannical presence". The comic exaggeration reveals a strict disciplinarian rather than a servant, and Scout admits

Question number

that their battles were "epic and one-sided" because Atticus always took Calpurnia's side. This detail is significant: in a society where a black woman would usually have little authority over white children, Atticus deliberately grants her the power of a parent. Since the children's mother died when Scout was two, Calpurnia fills that absence, and Lee suggests that the Finch household quietly runs on different rules from the rest of the town.

Calpurnia's role as moral teacher is clearest in the Walter Cunningham episode. When Scout mocks Walter for pouring syrup over his dinner, Calpurnia calls her into the kitchen and scolds her, insisting that a guest must be treated as company whatever his background. Ironically, a black woman teaches a white child about class snobbery, reversing the hierarchy that Aunt Alexandra holds so dear. Yet Lee also shows Calpurnia's tenderness: later that day she kisses Scout, leaving her wondering what had come over her. Similarly, when Jem withdraws into adolescence, Calpurnia invites the lonely Scout into the kitchen and begins calling Jem "Mister Jem", recognising his growth. Her discipline and affection together make her the steady centre of the children's moral education.

Atticus's trust in Calpurnia is unwavering. When the mad dog Tim Johnson appears, it is Calpurnia who telephones Atticus and runs to warn the Radley household, an act that shows her concern for the whole street. When Aunt Alexandra moves in and suggests that Calpurnia is no longer needed, Atticus refuses, describing her as a "faithful member of this family". The word "member" is telling: he places her inside the family rather than below it. After Tom Robinson is shot, Atticus asks Calpurnia to come with him to break the news to Helen, relying on her as someone who belongs to both worlds. Lee uses Atticus's attitude to model a decency that contrasts sharply with the casual racism of characters such as Mrs Merriweather.

Question number

The most revealing episode is the visit to First Purchase church. When Atticus is away, Calpurnia takes Scout and Jem with her, and Lula objects that she has no business bringing white children there. Calpurnia responds firmly that it is the same God, and the congregation welcomes the children. Here Scout discovers Calpurnia's "modest double life", noticing that she speaks differently among her own people. Calpurnia explains that she adapts because people do not like someone around who knows more than they do, and that it would be out of place to talk like white folks at church. This moment shows the children, and the reader, that Calpurnia has an identity beyond the Finch kitchen, and that even she must manage the divide between the two communities every day. Lula's hostility also reminds us that resentment ran in both directions under segregation.

Context deepens this relationship further. Calpurnia is one of very few people at her church who can read, and she taught her son Zeebo to read so that he can lead the hymns. In the 1930s, black Southerners had limited access to education, so her literacy marks her as exceptional, and her decision to teach Scout to write suggests that knowledge, for her, is something to share. Yet Lee never fully reveals Calpurnia's inner life. She does not know her own birth year, and the novel rarely shows her feelings directly. Some readers argue that this reflects the limits of a white narrator's perspective, or of a 1960 novel written largely for white readers, in which black characters are seen mainly through their usefulness to white protagonists. Others might see it as Lee deliberately showing that Scout only partly understands the woman who raised her.

In the end, I think Lee presents Calpurnia's relationship with the Finches as a hopeful but incomplete picture. Within the house, love, discipline and respect cross racial lines, and Calpurnia shapes Scout and Jem as profoundly as Atticus does.

Question number

Outside it, she still adjusts her speech, still belongs to a community that is confined to the courthouse balcony, still lives in a part of town that Maycomb keeps apart. Through this tension, Lee suggests that personal kindness, though precious, cannot on its own overturn an unjust society, a message that would have resonated with readers in the early years of the Civil Rights Movement.

4 Cruelty is central to Of Mice and Men because Steinbeck presents it not as the vice of a few wicked individuals but as the natural product of a harsh world. Set among itinerant ranch workers in 1930s California during the Great Depression, the novella shows cruelty flowing downwards from those with a little power to those with none, and even infecting characters who are capable of kindness. Steinbeck seems to suggest that when people are lonely, poor and afraid, cruelty becomes a way of surviving, or of feeling briefly strong.

Steinbeck frames the novella with cruelty in nature. In the opening chapter the Salinas river is peaceful, but by the final chapter the same pool becomes a scene of predation, as a heron seizes a water snake and "swallowed the little snake while its tail waved frantically". The image is placed just before Lennie arrives, so the snake foreshadows his own fate: innocent, helpless and about to be destroyed. Steinbeck, influenced by ideas of survival of the fittest, implies that the ranch is simply a human version of this natural order, where the weak are consumed.

Even the closest relationship in the novel contains cruelty. George frequently loses patience with Lennie, raging that he could live "so easy" without him. Later he confesses to Slim that he used to play jokes on Lennie because he was too dumb to take care of himself, once telling him to jump into the Sacramento River even though he could not swim. George's guilt shows that he has learned cruelty is easy

Question number

when someone cannot fight back. Lennie, too, is cruel without intending it. He kills mice, his puppy and finally Curley's wife because he cannot control his strength, and his childlike bewilderment, "I done another bad thing", makes his cruelty tragic rather than wicked. Steinbeck invites us to separate cruelty of intention from cruelty of consequence.

The most deliberate cruelty belongs to those who hold small amounts of power. Curley, the boss's son, picks on bigger men because he is small, and attacks Lennie in the bunkhouse for smiling. Carlson's treatment of Candy's dog is subtler. He insists the old dog smells and is useless, and he will not let the subject drop until Candy gives in. Steinbeck stretches out the silence in the bunkhouse as everyone waits for the shot, making the reader share Candy's helplessness. Some might argue that shooting the dog is a mercy, yet the cruelty lies in Carlson's indifference: he never considers what the dog means to a lonely old man. The parallel is clear when Candy fears being "canned" once he is no longer useful, since in the 1930s there was no welfare to protect the old or disabled. His bitter regret, "I ought to of shot that dog myself", later shapes George's decision to kill Lennie himself rather than leave him to Curley's lynch mob.

Racial cruelty is shown through Crooks, the black stable buck who is forced to sleep alone in the harness room. He is barred from the bunkhouse and called a racist name as a matter of routine, reflecting the segregation and casual racism of the period. Steinbeck shows how such treatment breeds further cruelty. When Lennie wanders into his room, Crooks torments him with the idea that George might not come back, and "Crooks' face lighted with pleasure in his torture". The oxymoronic pairing of "pleasure" and "torture" reveals how the victim, for one moment, enjoys being the one with power. Yet this is instantly reversed when Curley's wife threatens that she could get him "strung up on a tree so easy it ain't

Question number

even funny". The casual reference to lynching reminds the reader of the real violence faced by black Americans, and Crooks shrinks into himself, his dignity crushed.

Curley's wife is both the victim and the agent of cruelty. The men call her a "tart" and "jail bait", and she is never even given a name, a sign of her status as Curley's property. She is trapped in a loveless marriage, having lost her dream of Hollywood, a dream shared by many during the Depression. Steinbeck allows her real loneliness to emerge in her conversation with Lennie in the barn. However, her own sense of powerlessness makes her cruel to those below her: she sneers at Lennie, Candy and Crooks as "bindle stiffs" and mocks the weaknesses of each. Steinbeck suggests that cruelty moves along a hierarchy of race, gender, age and disability, each person pushing down on the one beneath.

The ending brings cruelty and kindness painfully together. George shoots Lennie while describing the dream farm, an act that is brutal and yet the most loving thing he can do in a world that would treat Lennie far worse. The final line, in which Carlson wonders what is eating "them two guys", shows how little the ranch understands compassion. I believe Steinbeck's purpose is to expose the social conditions that make cruelty normal. By revealing the loneliness behind each cruel act, he asks the reader to feel the human cost of an economic system that set men against one another.

5 Almost every character in Of Mice and Men is unhappy, since Steinbeck populates the ranch with lonely men and one lonely woman whose dreams are crushed by the Great Depression. However, I consider Crooks to be the most unhappy, because his suffering is not simply the result of bad luck or a single loss but of a

Question number

permanent, legally and socially enforced isolation. George loses Lennie, Candy loses his dog, Curley's wife loses her life, yet Crooks has been denied even the companionship that makes the others' losses meaningful.

Steinbeck delays Crooks's appearance, and this structure mirrors his marginal place on the ranch. He is first mentioned by Candy as the "stable buck" whom the boss takes out his anger on, and his name itself comes from the crooked back caused by a horse's kick. From the start, he is defined by injury and by the racist label the men use for him, which reflects the casual, unquestioned racism of 1930s California. Candy recalls a Christmas when Crooks was allowed into the bunkhouse and a man named Smitty fought him, as if this were a happy memory. That the men treat it as entertainment shows how normalised Crooks's humiliation has become.

Chapter four, set entirely in Crooks's room, is where his unhappiness is laid bare. Steinbeck describes the harness room in detail, with Crooks's books, his liniment for his back and his tattered copy of the California civil code. The setting is significant: he has more possessions than the other men because he is not a drifter, but he is also the only worker forced to live alone, beside the animals. The civil code suggests he knows his rights in theory, which makes his lack of them in practice all the more painful. Steinbeck calls him "proud" and "aloof", but these qualities read as a defence, a wall built to keep out the rejection he expects.

When Lennie wanders in, Crooks at first tries to send him away, then explains his bitterness directly. He says he is excluded from card games because he is black and that the others say he stinks. The repetition of "because I'm black" is simple but devastating. He admits that a man "goes nuts if he ain't got nobody", and his memory of childhood on his father's chicken ranch, when white children came to

Question number

play, shows that he once knew a different life. Now, alone in a segregated world, he has no one to measure his thoughts against. Steinbeck's title, taken from Burns's poem about plans that go wrong, applies with special force here: Crooks has given up planning altogether.

This explains why his unhappiness turns briefly into cruelty. He torments Lennie with the idea that George might never return, enjoying the fear he causes. Steinbeck shows that Crooks's spite is a symptom of how much he has been hurt: for once, he holds power over someone. Yet his unhappiness is most clearly seen when hope flickers and is then snuffed out. Hearing about the farm, Crooks first scorns it, insisting that "nobody never gets to heaven, and nobody gets no land". But when Candy confirms they have the money, he tentatively offers to work for nothing if he could join them. For a moment he imagines belonging.

That hope is destroyed by Curley's wife, and this is the moment that convinces me he is the most unhappy character. When Crooks asks her to leave his room, she reminds him that she could get him "strung up on a tree so easy it ain't even funny". The reference to lynching would have been chillingly real for Steinbeck's 1937 readers, recalling the racial violence of the era and the injustice of cases like the Scottsboro Boys. Crooks immediately withdraws, reducing himself to nothing, his personality seeming to vanish as he shields himself from further harm. Afterwards he quietly withdraws his request to join the dream, saying he would not want to go to such a place. Unlike Candy, who at least has a place in the bunkhouse, Crooks chooses to give up hope because he has been taught that hope is dangerous.

Some readers might argue that George is unhappier, since he must shoot his only friend and return to the lonely life of a ranch hand. Others might choose Curley's

Question number

wife, who is nameless, trapped and killed. These are powerful cases, but both had, for a time, a dream or a companion. Crooks is denied both by the colour of his skin, and his unhappiness has no end in the novel: the final close view Steinbeck gives us shows him alone in his room, rubbing liniment into his back. I think Steinbeck makes him the clearest symbol of the novel's central idea, that loneliness is not a personal failing but something inflicted by society, and in Crooks's case inflicted by racism that the American Dream did nothing to cure.

6 Fear is important in The Whale Rider because it drives almost every major choice in the novel, from Koro's rejection of Kahu to the whales' desperate journey home. Ihimaera presents fear on two connected levels: the human fear that Maori culture is dying, and the ancient whale's fear that humanity is destroying the natural world. By the end, the novel suggests that fear can only be overcome through love, courage and a renewed bond between people and nature, embodied in Kahu herself.

The fear that dominates the human story belongs to Koro Apirana. As chief of the Whangara people, he is terrified that there will be no male heir to lead the tribe after him. When Kahu is born, he is bitterly disappointed that she is a girl, and his repeated dismissal of her, telling her to "Go away", stems less from cruelty than from anxiety. Ihimaera writes against the background of 1980s New Zealand, when Maori language and customs had been badly eroded by colonisation and urbanisation, and when Maori communities were working hard to revive them through movements such as the Kohanga Reo language nests. Koro's school for the boys, where he teaches chants and traditions, shows his urgent fear that the old knowledge will vanish. His challenge to retrieve the carved stone he throws into the sea becomes a test of the tribe's future, and when every boy fails, his fear deepens into despair.

Question number

Nanny Flowers offers a counterpoint. She is not afraid of change in the way Koro is, but she fears that his rigid thinking will blind him to what is in front of him. Her sharp, comic exchanges with Koro, whom she calls "Paka", lighten the tone, yet they carry a serious point: Koro's fear is making him reject the very child who could save the tribe. Ihimaera seems to critique a narrow interpretation of tradition that excludes women, while still honouring the traditions themselves.

Kahu's own fear is quieter and more painful. She longs for her great-grandfather's love, and her fear of rejection is shown most clearly at her school ceremony, when she gives a speech dedicated to Koro and his reserved seat stays empty. The image of the empty chair turns his absence into a visible symbol of the gap between them, and her tears reveal how deeply she fears she will never be accepted. Yet Kahu's fear is never selfish. When she overhears Koro telling the boys about whaling, she is "immobilised by terror", making a "mewing sound" that links her to the whales. Her fear is empathy: she feels their suffering as if it were her own.

Running alongside the human story are the italicised sections told from the perspective of the ancient bull whale, and these introduce a much larger fear. The whale, who once carried the ancestor Kahutia Te Rangi to Whangara, has watched humanity turn from partner to predator. Ihimaera describes the herd's journey through polar waters and its memories of nuclear testing in the Pacific, where radiation harms the young. Published in 1987, only two years after the French secret service sank the Greenpeace ship Rainbow Warrior in Auckland harbour during protests against tests at Moruroa, the novel reflects a very real New Zealand fear that the ocean was being poisoned. The whale's fear of mankind therefore gives the novel an environmental urgency beyond the tribe.

Question number

The two strands of fear collide in the strandings. When two hundred whales beach themselves, the community is horrified, and a reporter says it "is like seeing the end of the world". Ihimaera mentions environmental organisations such as Greenpeace and Project Jonah arriving to help, yet the whole herd dies, an image of helplessness that mirrors the tribe's fears for its own survival. When the bull whale with its sacred markings then strands itself, Koro's fear becomes absolute: "When it dies, we die. I die." The short, repeated clauses move from the tribe to himself, showing that for Koro the whale's death and his people's death are one and the same.

Courage, finally, overcomes fear. While the adults fail, Kahu walks into the sea, speaks to the whale and rides it out into the ocean, showing the bravery Koro searched for in the boys. Her family's fear now reaches its height as they wait for news, and Nanny collapses. When Kahu is found and taken to hospital, Koro is so afraid of losing her that he "asked the Gods to forgive him", a moment that marks his transformation from fear to humility. I think Ihimaera's message is that fear makes people cling to the past in damaging ways, but that the future of both Maori culture and the natural world depends on the courage to accept change. Kahu, a girl who listens to the whales, is the answer that fear prevented Koro from seeing.

7 The quotation captures something miraculous yet entirely natural in Kahu: a child and a group of dolphins understanding one another without words. Throughout The Whale Rider, Ihimaera presents Kahu's relationship with the natural world as instinctive, spiritual and inherited, a bond that marks her as the true heir of the ancestor Kahutia Te Rangi. Her connection with nature is the very quality that Koro fails to see, and by the end of the novel it becomes the means by which

Question number

both the tribe and the whales are saved.

From the moment of her birth, Ihimaera ties Kahu to the land and sea of Whangara. Nanny Flowers insists on following tradition by burying Kahu's birth cord at the marae, and Rawiri, the narrator, later sees what looks like "a small spear" land at "the spot where Kahu's birth cord had been placed". The image recalls the legend of the whale rider, who threw spears from the back of his whale as he arrived at Whangara, so Ihimaera suggests that the ancestor himself is marking Kahu as his successor. In Maori, the word whenua means both land and placenta, and this custom reflects a belief that a person belongs physically and spiritually to the place where they are born. Kahu's relationship with nature is therefore not a hobby or a gift she develops, but part of her identity from the very beginning.

As she grows, Kahu's empathy with animals is shown repeatedly. When Rawiri takes her to the cinema and the film shows a whale being hunted and killed, she is inconsolable, and Rawiri notes that "tears were again tracking down her face". When she hears Koro telling the boys about whaling in the old days, she is so frightened that she makes "a mewing sound in her throat". The sound is significant: it is the sound of a whale calf, which suggests that Kahu experiences the whales' pain as one of them. Ihimaera uses these small, domestic episodes to build up a picture of a girl whose sensitivity to nature is far deeper than anyone around her realises. Even her simpler moments, hoeing the vegetable garden with Nanny, show her rooted in the earth and its cycles.

The dolphin episode quoted in the question is a turning point. Koro throws his carved stone into the sea and challenges the boys to retrieve it, and none can. Later, Kahu dives in and, guided by the dolphins, brings it back. The gentle, almost

Question number

humorous phrasing, "Kahu seemed to say, 'Down here?' and the dolphins made a nodding motion", presents the exchange as ordinary conversation, as if communicating with sea creatures were natural to her. The verb "seemed" keeps the moment slightly uncertain, filtered through Rawiri's perspective, which adds to its mystery. Ironically, the test designed to identify a male leader is passed by the girl Koro rejects, and passed because of her bond with nature rather than physical strength. Nanny and Rawiri keep the secret, and the delay builds dramatic tension towards the climax.

Ihimaera's structure deepens this relationship. The novel is divided into seasons, from spring to winter, giving the story a cyclical, natural rhythm, and the human chapters are interwoven with italicised passages from the ancient bull whale's point of view. The whale longs for its lost rider and journeys towards Whangara, so the reader senses that Kahu and the whale are drawing towards each other long before they meet. When two hundred whales strand and die, Rawiri finds Kahu "up on the bluff" making her mewing sound and listening for a reply, as if she alone can hear what they are saying.

The climax fulfils this bond. When the bull whale strands itself and Koro, with all his strength and ceremony, cannot move it, Kahu goes into the water, speaks to the whale and climbs onto its back. Like her ancestor, she becomes a whale rider, and the herd follows them out to sea. Her willingness to sacrifice herself for the whales is what finally proves her worth to Koro and restores harmony between people and nature. The ending, in which Kahu tells Koro "the whales are still singing", leaves the reader with an image of a living, continuing relationship.

Context gives this bond wider meaning. Ihimaera wrote in 1987, when environmental groups were campaigning against whaling and nuclear testing in the

Question number

Pacific, and the bull whale's memories of radiation reflect real damage to the oceans. At the same time, Maori communities were working to revive their language and customs after decades of decline. Through Kahu, Ihimaera fuses these concerns: her respect for nature is presented as a traditional Maori value, the idea of guardianship over the land and sea, that the modern world urgently needs. I think he suggests that the future belongs to those who, like Kahu, listen to the natural world rather than dominate it, and that a girl can embody that future as fully as any boy.

8 Ying-ying St. Clair is significant because she embodies, more painfully than any other mother, what happens when a woman's voice is taken from her. Her story moves from a spirited child in pre-war China to a silent, ghost-like wife in America, and finally to a mother who decides to speak. Through her, Tan explores the cost of the traditional Chinese teaching that women should suppress their desires, the damage done by immigration and mistranslation, and the hope that a mother's story can rescue a daughter from repeating the same mistakes.

In "The Moon Lady", Ying-ying recalls the Moon Festival when she was four, and her opening admission that for years she kept her mouth closed "so selfish desires would not fall out" immediately frames her life as one of enforced silence. Her Amah tells her that a girl "can never ask, only listen", and this lesson reflects the Confucian ideals of feminine obedience that shaped upper-class families like hers in early twentieth-century China. Yet the young Ying-ying is restless and wilful: she is fascinated by her shadow and runs off in her tiger clothes. Tan suggests that her natural spirit is being trained out of her. When she falls into the lake and is pulled out by fishermen, she is literally lost, and the discovery that the Moon Lady is a man in costume adds a bitter irony: the figure who supposedly grants

Question number

women's wishes is a male performance. Only as an old woman does she remember her secret wish, "I wished to be found". The significance is that she has spent her whole life waiting to be found rather than finding herself.

Her first marriage deepens this pattern. Married to an older, coarse man arranged through her family, she at first allows herself to love him, but he abandons her for an opera singer. Her response is to abort his son, an act that later haunts her, and she retreats for years to live quietly with relatives in the countryside. In a society where a wife's worth depended on producing sons and on her husband's favour, Ying-ying learns that passion leads only to pain, and so she gives up her "tiger spirit". Tan presents this as a kind of death of the self.

Her journey to America completes her disappearance. Clifford St. Clair, the American who marries her, gives her a new name, Betty, and on her immigration papers he even changes her year of birth, so that she officially becomes a Dragon rather than a Tiger. For the Chinese mothers, the zodiac is central to identity, so this clerical error is symbolic: America has erased who she is. Clifford tells Lena that he rescued Ying-ying from a terrible life, yet he never learns Mandarin and constantly mistranslates her, putting his own words in her mouth. Many Chinese women who came to the United States after the Second Sino-Japanese War, when immigration rules were relaxed for war brides, faced exactly this isolation of language and culture, and Tan uses Ying-ying to show how marriage across cultures could silence as well as rescue.

Ying-ying's significance is also felt through Lena, who narrates her mother in "The Voice from the Wall". Lena sees her mother's fears about unseen dangers and her breakdown after the death of her baby son as madness. Ying-ying blames herself for this death, linking it to the son she destroyed in China, and her collapse shows

Question number

how unspoken trauma returns. Because Lena cannot understand her mother's Chinese and does not know her past, she dismisses her warnings as superstition. Tan thereby dramatises the central gap of the novel: daughters who inherit their mothers' pain without knowing its story.

This is why Ying-ying's later sections are so important. In "Rice Husband" she visits Lena's expensive converted barn and immediately senses the imbalance in Lena's marriage to Harold, where every cost is split on a list on the fridge. Lena has become passive, just as her mother once was. The unstable table with the vase that Ying-ying warns about becomes a symbol of the marriage, and when the vase falls Ying-ying's quiet challenge to Lena shows that she saw the danger coming. Here the mother's "superstitions" are revealed as clear sight, fitting the meaning of her name, "clear reflection".

In "Waiting Between the Trees", Ying-ying finally resolves to tell Lena her story. She describes the tiger as having a gold side of fierceness and a black side of cunning, and she declares that she will use her pain to "cut her tiger spirit loose". The verb "cut" is violent, suggesting that only a painful truth can break through Lena's passivity. This is a reversal of everything Ying-ying was taught as a child: instead of keeping silent, she now chooses to speak. Tan, writing in the late 1980s, when second-generation Chinese Americans were exploring their mothers' hidden histories and when women's rights to speak and choose were being asserted, presents this as an act of love and liberation.

Ying-ying is also connected to the novel's frame. At the Joy Luck Club, she and the other aunties give June the money to travel to China and insist that her sisters must learn about their mother. Ying-ying understands better than anyone what it means for a woman's story to be lost, and so she becomes one of the

Question number

guardians of memory.

Ultimately, Ying-ying is significant because she shows the fullest arc from silence to voice. Her life warns of the damage done by traditions that teach girls only to listen and by an immigrant experience that strips away name and language. Yet her decision to tell Lena everything suggests Tan's belief that storytelling can heal: a mother who was never found can at last help her daughter find herself.

9

In The Joy Luck Club, journeys are both the shape of the novel and its deepest subject. The mothers' physical journeys from war-torn China to San Francisco are inseparable from emotional journeys of loss, guilt and reinvention, while their American daughters, who have never crossed an ocean, must travel inward towards an understanding of their mothers. Tan suggests that the hardest journey is not across the Pacific but across the gap between two generations and two cultures, and the novel ends when June completes both kinds at once.

The opening parable sets up this idea. An old woman remembers buying a swan in Shanghai and carrying it across the ocean towards America, dreaming that her daughter there would be born with no sorrow and would speak perfect English. When she arrives, immigration officials take the swan from her and leave her with only a feather. The physical journey is a hopeful one, but it costs her something precious, and the feather becomes a symbol of the culture and the story she can barely pass on. She waits to give it to her daughter "in perfect American English", which suggests that the emotional journey of understanding cannot happen until a common language is found. Tan, born in California in 1952 to Chinese immigrant parents, knew this gap intimately, and the parable reads as a summary of her own family's experience.

Question number

Suyuan Woo's journey is the most harrowing. Fleeing the Japanese advance on Kweilin during the Second Sino-Japanese War, she walks with her twin baby daughters until, exhausted and sick, she leaves them by the roadside with money and photographs, hoping someone will care for them. The physical flight becomes an emotional burden she carries for the rest of her life. In America she invents the Joy Luck Club, which she first created in Kweilin to keep hope alive in wartime, so even her community is something carried across the ocean. Tan draws on her own mother's history here, since Daisy Tan left three daughters behind in China, and this context gives Suyuan's unfinished journey real emotional weight.

The other mothers' journeys are as much escapes from oppressive traditions as from war. Lindo Jong, betrothed as a small child and sent to live with the Huang family, frees herself from a loveless arranged marriage by cleverly inventing omens from the ancestors, and she later travels alone to America. An-mei Hsu's childhood journey from Ningpo to Tientsin, following her mother into the household of the wealthy Wu Tsing as a concubine, exposes the powerlessness of women in pre-revolutionary China, and her mother's suicide becomes the moment An-mei learns to "shout". These journeys suggest that for women of this generation, moving away was often the only route to a voice.

Yet physical journeys in America can bring tragedy too. On the family trip to the beach, Rose's little brother Bing disappears into the sea, and An-mei's faith, which made her throw her sapphire ring into the waves as an offering, is shown to fail. The journey to the beach becomes a journey into grief and self-blame for Rose, who believes she was responsible. Tan implies that the American dream of control and safety is as fragile as the mothers' old superstitions.

Question number

For the daughters, the journeys are emotional. Waverly, Lena, Rose and June have grown up identifying as American, often embarrassed by their mothers' accents and beliefs. Rose must learn to stop being passive and speak up for herself when her husband Ted asks for a divorce, which echoes the mothers' struggles and reflects the growing emphasis on women's equality in the America of the 1970s and 1980s. Lena's journey is towards recognising the unfairness of her marriage to Harold, guided by Ying-ying, who decides to tell her daughter her story in order to "cut her tiger spirit loose". Waverly, who always believed her mother was undermining her, comes to see Lindo in "Four Directions" as a frail old woman who has been fighting for her all along. In "Double Face", Lindo herself admits that on a visit to China people treated her as a foreigner, and she recognises that she has changed. Her wish for her children to have "American circumstances and Chinese character" captures the uneasy balance all the families try to achieve.

The novel's structure itself is a journey. It is organised into four sections, each with four stories, moving from mothers' memories to daughters' lives and back again, as if the narrative crosses and recrosses the ocean. The voices are separate at first, but by the end they begin to answer one another, mirroring the slow reconciliation between the generations.

The final chapter, "A Pair of Tickets", unites the physical and emotional journeys. As June's train crosses from Hong Kong into China, she feels her body changing and realises she is becoming Chinese, fulfilling what her mother always told her about it being in her blood. When she finally meets her half-sisters, she sees her mother in their faces, and together they recognise "her long-cherished wish". Tan's own journey to China in 1987 with her mother to meet her half-sisters clearly inspired this ending, and it gives the novel its sense of homecoming.

Question number

Overall, Tan presents journeys as painful, necessary and transformative. The mothers' physical journeys leave scars that cannot be spoken, while the daughters' emotional journeys require them to listen to stories they once ignored. By ending with a daughter crossing back over the same ocean her mother crossed, Tan suggests that the journey is only complete when the story is finally understood and shared.

10

Okonkwo's greatest weakness is, paradoxically, his terror of weakness. Achebe presents a man who is admired across the nine villages for his wrestling, his farming and his courage in war, yet whose whole life is driven by fear. In trying to be the opposite of his father, he mistakes violence for strength and rigidity for courage, and this flaw, set against the rigid gender expectations of Igbo society and the arrival of colonial rule, leads him to the one act his clan considers truly shameful: suicide.

From the opening chapter, Achebe establishes Okonkwo's status: his fame "had grown like a bush-fire in the harmattan" after he threw Amalinze the Cat. The simile suggests speed and power, but also something destructive and hard to control. Achebe then reveals the root of this energy: Okonkwo's life is dominated by "the fear of failure and of weakness". This fear is tied to his father, Unoka, a gentle, debt-ridden man who loved music and had taken no title. When a boy once called Unoka "agbala", a word meaning a woman and also a man with no titles, Okonkwo learnt to associate gentleness with femininity and shame. In a patriarchal society in which status was earned through titles, yams and courage in war, Okonkwo's ambition is understandable, but Achebe suggests it has hardened into a personal flaw that the clan itself does not demand.

Question number

This flaw shows in how he treats his family. Achebe tells us that he ruled his household with a heavy hand, and his wives and children live in fear of his fiery temper. He beats his youngest wife, Ojiugo, during the Week of Peace, an offence against the earth goddess Ani, and he is made to offer a sacrifice. During the New Yam Festival he beats Ekwefi over a few banana leaves and then fires his gun at her when she mutters about it. These moments show that Okonkwo cannot control his anger, and Achebe presents this lack of self-control as a weakness disguised as authority. Importantly, the clan disapproves: his violence is not simply a product of Igbo culture but a distortion of it.

His relationship with Nwoye reveals another weakness: an inability to show love. He worries that his son is lazy and too much like Unoka, and he beats and nags him in an effort to make him a man. Nwoye pretends to prefer Okonkwo's violent stories of war to his mother's folk tales in order to please him, which shows that Okonkwo's harshness creates only fear and pretence. Ironically, this emotional coldness later drives Nwoye towards the Christian missionaries, whose hymns about brothers who sat in darkness speak to his own unanswered questions.

The clearest example of Okonkwo's weakness is the killing of Ikemefuna. The boy has lived with the family for three years, and Okonkwo has secretly grown fond of him. When the Oracle decrees that Ikemefuna must die, Ezeudu warns Okonkwo to take no part. Yet when the boy runs to him crying "My father, they have killed me!", Achebe writes that Okonkwo cut him down because he "was afraid of being thought weak". The short, blunt sentence exposes the motive with brutal clarity: his act of supposed strength is really an act of cowardice before public opinion. Afterwards he cannot eat or sleep for days and scolds himself for becoming "a shivering old woman", showing that he cannot accept his own grief. Obierika's comment that this is the kind of action for which the goddess wipes out whole

Question number

families highlights the moral cost.

Okonkwo loves his daughter deeply and often wishes she "should have been a boy". When she is carried off by Chielo, he follows secretly through the night, and when she is ill he anxiously prepares medicine for her. Some readers might see these moments as weakness in Okonkwo's own terms, but Achebe invites us to see them as his truest strength, which he is ashamed to show. His tragedy is that he cannot value the tenderness he actually possesses.

Exile reveals a further weakness: Okonkwo's inability to adapt. After he accidentally kills Ezeudu's son at the funeral, he is banished to his motherland, Mbanta, for seven years because his crime is "female", being inadvertent. Here he loses his drive and feels his life has been ruined. Uchendu's speech about why "Mother is Supreme" urges him to accept comfort from his mother's kin, yet Okonkwo remains bitter.

When he returns, Umuofia has been transformed by the church and the colonial government, and Okonkwo's weakness becomes political. Obierika recognises that the white man has put a knife on the things that held the clan together, and that resistance is complicated because many of their own people have joined the new religion. Okonkwo, by contrast, sees only one answer: violence. When he beheads the court messenger and the clan lets the other messengers escape, he realises that Umuofia will not go to war, and he hangs himself. For the Igbo, suicide is an abomination, an offence against the earth, so the man who feared above all being thought weak ends by committing the act his society considers most shameful.

Writing in 1958, as Nigeria moved towards independence, Achebe wanted to show a

Question number

complex, dignified African society rather than the savage stereotype of colonial fiction. Okonkwo's weaknesses are part of that complexity. He is a tragic hero in the classical sense: his hamartia is a rigid, fear-driven idea of masculinity which cannot bend. The final irony, that the District Commissioner plans to reduce his story to a paragraph in a book on "The Pacification of the Primitive Tribes of the Lower Niger", shows how outsiders will ignore the human truth Achebe has revealed: that Okonkwo's weakness lay not in feeling too much, but in being afraid to feel at all.

11 Loss is at the heart of Things Fall Apart, and Achebe presents it on two scales at once. There are the private losses of children, sons, friends and status that shape individual lives in Umuofia, and there is the collective loss of a whole way of life when the missionaries and colonial government arrive. The title, taken from Yeats's line "Things fall apart; the centre cannot hold", warns from the start that this is a story of disintegration, and Achebe suggests that the personal and the cultural losses are bound together in the tragedy of Okonkwo.

The first loss that shapes the novel is Okonkwo's loss of respect for his father. Unoka dies in debt, having taken no title, and he is not even buried, because he suffers from a swelling sickness that the clan considers an abomination to the earth, so he is left to die in the Evil Forest. Achebe shows that Okonkwo does not seem to mourn him; instead, his father's shame becomes the motive for his whole life. In a society where a man's worth was measured by titles and yams, and where a man without titles could be called "agbala", Okonkwo treats the loss of his father's dignity as something he must cancel out through his own success. Loss, then, is not only something that happens to him; it is the force that drives him.

Question number

The death of Ikemefuna is the novel's most painful personal loss. The boy, given to Umuofia as compensation for a murdered woman, becomes like a son to Okonkwo and an elder brother to Nwoye. When the Oracle decrees his death, Okonkwo strikes the final blow himself because he is "afraid of being thought weak". Achebe makes the moment devastating through Ikemefuna's cry, "My father, they have killed me!", in which the word "father" turns the ritual into the killing of a child by his own parent. The loss has two consequences. Okonkwo is haunted, unable to eat for days, which shows that he grieves even as he denies it. More importantly, something gives way inside Nwoye, which Achebe compares to the feeling he had when he heard twins crying in the forest. This loss is the seed of Nwoye's later rejection of his father and his clan.

Achebe also explores loss through Ekwefi. She has borne ten children, and nine have died in infancy; the clan believes they are an ogbanje, a wicked spirit child who keeps returning to its mother's womb only to die again. The careful detail of the medicine man mutilating a dead child to discourage it from returning shows how a community tried to make sense of grief. Infant mortality was extremely high in pre-colonial Igbo society, and Achebe treats Ekwefi's fear for Ezinma, her only surviving child, with deep compassion. Her desperate night-long pursuit of Chielo shows that the fear of losing a child can make a mother braver than any warrior.

Okonkwo's exile brings another kind of loss: the loss of status. At Ezeudu's funeral, his gun explodes and kills the dead man's sixteen-year-old son, and his compound is destroyed by the clan. Obierika mourns his friend's calamity and asks why a man should suffer so terribly for an offence committed inadvertently, which reveals that some in the clan already question its traditions. In Mbanta, Okonkwo

Question number

feels that his chance of becoming one of the lords of the clan has gone, and Achebe writes that his life had been ruled by a great passion to become one of them. The loss of seven years means that, when he returns, others have taken his place.

During exile he also loses his son. When Nwoye joins the Christians, Okonkwo is tempted to violence, then decides that a son like this is not worth fighting for, and he reflects that "Living fire begets cold, impotent ash". The metaphor shows how deeply wounded he is: he sees himself as fire and his son as dead ash, and he cannot imagine that Nwoye might be seeking the compassion his father never gave him. Achebe presents this as a mutual loss, caused partly by Okonkwo's own coldness.

The greatest loss is cultural. In the final part of the novel, the church welcomes outcasts and twins, the government builds a court, and the clan's ancestral authority crumbles. Obierika's lament that the white man has put a knife on the things that held them together, so that they have fallen apart, echoes the title directly and makes the cultural loss explicit. Even the prestige of titles is fading: Okonkwo and Obierika regret that the ozo title has been debased in other villages, so the old structures were already under strain. Achebe, writing in 1958 shortly before Nigerian independence, presents Igbo society with its flaws as well as its richness, partly in response to novels such as Conrad's Heart of Darkness, which depicted Africa as savage and without history. The loss he records is therefore that of a real, complex civilisation.

Okonkwo's suicide unites the personal and cultural losses. When Umuofia fails to go to war after he kills the messenger, he realises that the clan he fought for has gone, and he hangs himself. Obierika's furious words to the District Commissioner,

Question number

that he drove one of the greatest men in Umuofia to kill himself and now he will be buried like a dog, show that Okonkwo has lost even his right to an honourable burial. The final loss belongs to the reader: the Commissioner plans to reduce his story to a reasonable paragraph in "The Pacification of the Primitive Tribes of the Lower Niger". Achebe's novel exists to reverse that loss, restoring the voice and history that colonialism would have erased.