Please check the examination details below before entering your candidate information

Candidate surname	Other names

Pearson Edexcel International GCSE

Centre Number | | | | | |

Candidate Number | | | | |

Thursday 23 May 2019

Morning (Time: 1 hour 30 minutes) | Paper Reference **4ET1/02**

English Literature

Paper 2: Modern Drama and Literary Heritage Texts

You must have:
Question Booklet (enclosed)

Total Marks

Instructions

- Use **black** ink or ball-point pen.
- **Fill in the boxes** at the top of this page with your name, centre number and candidate number.
- Answer **ONE** question from each section.
- Answer the questions in the spaces provided – *there may be more space than you need.*

Information

- The total mark for this paper is 60.
- The marks for **each** question are shown in brackets – *use this as a guide as to how much time to spend on each question.*
- Copies of the *Pearson Edexcel International GCSE English Anthology* may **not** be brought into the examination.
- Dictionaries may **not** be used in this examination.
- Clean copies of your set texts may be taken into the examination.

Advice

- Read each question carefully before you start to answer it.
- Check your answers if you have time at the end.

Turn over ▶

P62795A

©2019 Pearson Education Ltd.

1/1

P 6 2 7 9 5 A 0 1 1 6

Pearson

stemup.co.uk

SECTION A: Modern Drama

Indicate which question you are answering by marking a cross in the box ☒. If you change your mind, put a line through the box ☒ and then indicate your new question with a cross ☒.

Chosen question number:

Question 1 ☐	**Question 2** ☐	**Question 3** ☐
Question 4 ☐	**Question 5** ☐	**Question 6** ☐
Question 7 ☐	**Question 8** ☐	**Question 9** ☐
Question 10 ☐		

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

stemup.co.uk

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

stemup.co.uk

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

stemup.co.uk

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

TOTAL FOR SECTION A = 30 MARKS

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA
DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA
DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA
DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA
DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA
DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

stemup.co.uk

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

SECTION B: Literary Heritage Texts

Indicate which question you are answering by marking a cross in the box ☒. If you change your mind, put a line through the box ☒ and then indicate your new question with a cross ☒.

Chosen question number:

Question 11 ☒	**Question 12** ☒	**Question 13** ☒
Question 14 ☒	**Question 15** ☒	**Question 16** ☒
Question 17 ☒	**Question 18** ☒	**Question 19** ☒
Question 20 ☒	**Question 21** ☒	**Question 22** ☒

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

stemup.co.uk

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

stemup.co.uk

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

stemup.co.uk

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA
DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA
DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA
DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA
DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA
DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

TOTAL FOR SECTION B = 30 MARKS
TOTAL FOR PAPER = 60 MARKS

stemup.co.uk

BLANK PAGE

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

stemup.co.uk

Pearson Edexcel International GCSE

Thursday 23 May 2019

Morning | Paper Reference **4ET1/02**

English Literature

Paper 2: Modern Drama and Literary Heritage Texts

Question Booklet
Do not return this booklet with your Answer Booklet.
Clean copies of your set texts may be taken into the examination.

Turn over ▶

P62795A

©2019 Pearson Education Ltd.

1/1

P 6 2 7 9 5 A

Pearson

stemup.co.uk

BLANK PAGE

stemup.co.uk

Answer TWO questions:

Answer ONE question from Section A and ONE question from Section B.

SECTION A: Modern Drama	**Page**
A View from the Bridge, Arthur Miller	4
An Inspector Calls, J B Priestley	4
The Curious Incident of the Dog in the Night-time, Mark Haddon (adapted by Simon Stephens)	5
Kindertransport, Diane Samuels	5
Death and the King's Horseman, Wole Soyinka	6
SECTION B: Literary Heritage Texts	
Romeo and Juliet, William Shakespeare	7
Macbeth, William Shakespeare	7
The Merchant of Venice, William Shakespeare	8
Pride and Prejudice, Jane Austen	8
Great Expectations, Charles Dickens	9
The Scarlet Letter, Nathaniel Hawthorne	9

stemup.co.uk

SECTION A: Modern Drama

Answer ONE question on ONE text from this section.

You should spend 45 minutes on your chosen question.

***A View from the Bridge*, Arthur Miller**

EITHER

1 Explore the theme of conflict in *A View from the Bridge*.

You must consider language, form and structure in your answer.

(Total for Question 1 = 30 marks)

OR

2 How does Miller present Beatrice in the play?

You must consider language, form and structure in your answer.

(Total for Question 2 = 30 marks)

***An Inspector Calls*, J B Priestley**

EITHER

3 Explore Priestley's portrayal of the older generation in the play.

You must consider language, form and structure in your answer.

(Total for Question 3 = 30 marks)

OR

4 Inspector Goole: 'And I tell you that the time will soon come when, if men will not learn that lesson, then they will be taught it in fire and blood and anguish.'

How does Priestley present the importance of the future in *An Inspector Calls*?

You must consider language, form and structure in your answer.

(Total for Question 4 = 30 marks)

stemup.co.uk

***The Curious Incident of the Dog in the Night-time*, Mark Haddon**
(adapted by Simon Stephens)

EITHER

5 Explore the significance of the play's title, *The Curious Incident of the Dog in the Night-time*.

You must consider language, form and structure in your answer.

(Total for Question 5 = 30 marks)

OR

6 How are Christopher's parents presented in the play?

You must consider language, form and structure in your answer.

(Total for Question 6 = 30 marks)

***Kindertransport*, Diane Samuels**

EITHER

7 How does Samuels present the relationship between Lil and Faith in the play?

You must consider language, form and structure in your answer.

(Total for Question 7 = 30 marks)

OR

8 Explore the theme of fear in *Kindertransport*.

You must consider language, form and structure in your answer.

(Total for Question 8 = 30 marks)

stemup.co.uk

***Death and the King's Horseman,* Wole Soyinka**

EITHER

9 How does Soyinka present the relationship between Elesin and Iyaloja in the play*?*

You must consider language, form and structure in your answer.

(Total for Question 9 = 30 marks)

OR

10 **'**Ritual is important to Yoruba culture and society.'

Explore how Soyinka presents the rituals of Yoruba culture and society in *Death and the King's Horseman*.

You must consider language, form and structure in your answer.

(Total for Question 10 = 30 marks)

TOTAL FOR SECTION A = 30 MARKS

stemup.co.uk

SECTION B: Literary Heritage Texts

Answer ONE question on ONE text from this section.

You should spend 45 minutes on your chosen question.

***Romeo and Juliet*, William Shakespeare**

EITHER

11 Explore the importance of Benvolio in *Romeo and Juliet*.

You must consider language, form and structure and refer to the context of the play in your answer.

(Total for Question 11 = 30 marks)

OR

12 How does Shakespeare use time in the play?

You must consider language, form and structure and refer to the context of the play in your answer.

(Total for Question 12 = 30 marks)

***Macbeth*, William Shakespeare**

EITHER

13 Explore the theme of death in *Macbeth*.

You must consider language, form and structure and refer to the context of the play in your answer.

(Total for Question 13 = 30 marks)

OR

14 How does Shakespeare present powerful men in the play?

You must consider language, form and structure and refer to the context of the play in your answer.

(Total for Question 14 = 30 marks)

stemup.co.uk

***The Merchant of Venice*, William Shakespeare**

EITHER

15 Explore the use of different settings in *The Merchant of Venice*.

You must consider language, form and structure and refer to the context of the play in your answer.

(Total for Question 15 = 30 marks)

OR

16 'The relationship of Portia and Bassanio is not presented as true love.'

How far do you agree with this statement?

You must consider language, form and structure and refer to the context of the play in your answer.

(Total for Question 16 = 30 marks)

***Pride and Prejudice*, Jane Austen**

EITHER

17 How does Austen present Lydia Bennet in *Pride and Prejudice*?

You must consider language, form and structure and refer to the context of the novel in your answer.

(Total for Question 17 = 30 marks)

OR

18 Explore the importance of family in the novel.

You must consider language, form and structure and refer to the context of the novel in your answer.

(Total for Question 18 = 30 marks)

stemup.co.uk

***Great Expectations*, Charles Dickens**

EITHER

19 Discuss the importance of lies and deception in *Great Expectations.*

You must consider language, form and structure and refer to the context of the novel in your answer.

(Total for Question 19 = 30 marks)

OR

20 How does the relationship between Pip and Estella develop as the novel progresses?

You must consider language, form and structure and refer to the context of the novel in your answer.

(Total for Question 20 = 30 marks)

***The Scarlet Letter*, Nathaniel Hawthorne**

EITHER

21 How does Hawthorne present the relationship between Arthur Dimmesdale and Roger Chillingworth in the novel?

You must consider language, form and structure and refer to the context of the novel in your answer.

(Total for Question 21 = 30 marks)

OR

22 Explore the importance of magic in *The Scarlet Letter*.

You must consider language, form and structure and refer to the context of the novel in your answer.

(Total for Question 22 = 30 marks)

TOTAL FOR SECTION B = 30 MARKS
TOTAL FOR PAPER = 60 MARKS

stemup.co.uk

BLANK PAGE

stemup.co.uk

BLANK PAGE

stemup.co.uk

BLANK PAGE

stemup.co.uk

Surname	StemUp Model Solution
Answer booklet	Write the question number in the left-hand margin at the start of each answer.

Question number

1

Conflict in A View from the Bridge is not simply a series of arguments; it is the engine of Miller's tragedy. Almost every clash in the play radiates outwards from Eddie Carbone, but I would argue that the most important conflict is the one inside him, between feelings he cannot name and the code of honour by which he judges everyone else. Miller shows that when this private war cannot be admitted, it spills into the family, the community and finally into violence, so that the conflict can only end with Eddie's death.

From the opening scenes, Miller roots conflict in Eddie's possessiveness towards Catherine. When she reveals that she has been offered a job, Eddie's abrupt questions, "What job? She's gonna finish school", sound protective, yet the clipped, interrogative rhythm suggests a man struggling to keep control rather than a guardian reasoning with a young woman. His pet name for her, "Madonna", is revealing. On the surface it is an affectionate compliment, but by associating Catherine with the Virgin Mary, Eddie freezes her in a state of purity and childhood. The word therefore carries the central conflict of the play: an idealised, almost religious love that is in tension with desires he refuses to recognise. The audience senses the strain long before any character speaks it aloud.

Miller then externalises this inner conflict through the arrival of Marco and Rodolfo. Rodolfo becomes the focus of Eddie's hostility because he threatens to take Catherine away. Eddie cannot admit jealousy, so he attacks Rodolfo's masculinity instead: his blond hair, his singing, his ability to cook and sew. The flat, colloquial insistence that "The guy ain't right" is typical of Eddie's language: he lacks the words for what he feels, so he relies on vague suspicion. Miller's

Question number

structure here is significant, because each confrontation escalates. The boxing lesson in Act One turns a friendly scene into a display of aggression, and Marco answers it silently by lifting the chair above Eddie's head. This piece of stage action is a wordless warning, which Miller's directions describe turning into a smile of triumph, and it establishes Marco as Eddie's true antagonist. Conflict is often most frightening in the play when nothing is said at all.

Alongside these open clashes, Miller presents a quieter conflict in Eddie's marriage. Beatrice's question, "When am I gonna be a wife again, Eddie?", is a restrained euphemism for the absence of physical intimacy, and its understated tone makes it more painful than a shouted accusation. Beatrice understands what Eddie will not, and her conflict with him grows into open confrontation in Act Two when she tells him, "You want somethin' else, Eddie, and you can never have her!" The exclamation is the moment the hidden conflict is finally voiced, and Eddie's furious denial shows how desperately he resists self-knowledge. Yet Miller keeps this conflict tender as well as bitter: Beatrice never leaves him, and he dies in her arms.

The climax of the play depends on Eddie's betrayal of his own values. Early on he tells the story of Vinny Bolzano, who informed on an uncle and was disowned by the whole neighbourhood, and he warns the family that "you can quicker get back a million dollars that was stole than a word that you gave away". Miller uses this as dramatic foreshadowing: the audience is given the rule that Eddie will break. When he telephones the Immigration Bureau, he is in conflict not only with Marco and Rodolfo but with the community of Red Hook and with his own sense of honour. Miller frames this through Alfieri, the chorus figure, who sees the law and the older code of justice pulling in different directions. Eddie wants the law to give him what his conscience cannot, and Alfieri cannot help him.

Question number

The final conflict is therefore inevitable. Marco's public accusation that Eddie has stolen food from his starving children, and his savage cry of "Animal! You go on your knees to me!" reduce Eddie's reputation to nothing in front of his neighbours. Eddie's demand to have his "name" back shows that what he is fighting for is respect, not truth. The brawl, in which Eddie draws a knife and is killed with his own weapon, gives the conflict a brutal symmetry: his aggression is turned back on him. Miller ends the play with Alfieri admitting that he mourns Eddie "with a certain alarm", leaving the audience disturbed rather than comforted. Conflict in the play is ultimately tragic because Eddie could have ended it at any point by accepting the truth about himself, and the one battle he refuses to fight, the battle within, is the one that destroys him.

2 Miller presents Beatrice as the moral and emotional anchor of the Carbone household: a loyal, warm wife whose practical good sense allows her to see the truth about Eddie long before anyone else will say it. At first she may seem a conventional housewife, defined by the kitchen and the needs of others, but as the play develops Miller gives her increasing insight and courage, so that she becomes both the voice of reason and, perhaps, the play's quietest victim.

In the opening scenes, Miller establishes Beatrice through domestic detail and anxiety. Before her cousins arrive, she worries that she has not prepared the flat properly: "I was gonna clean the walls. I was gonna wax the floors", and she regrets that she "didn't even buy a new tablecloth". The repetition of "I was gonna" creates a breathless, apologetic rhythm that reveals her eagerness to please and her nervousness about Eddie's reaction. Her identity seems bound up with the home. However, the scene also shows her generosity: she is risking a great deal to

Question number

shelter two illegal immigrants, which suggests a strong sense of family duty. Miller makes her kindness active rather than passive.

Beatrice's relationship with Catherine is central to how she is presented. Although she is Catherine's aunt, she has raised her like a daughter, and she understands that Catherine must grow up. When Eddie resists Catherine taking the stenographer's job, Beatrice argues on practical grounds, stressing the "Fifty dollars a week, Eddie". Later, in a key private conversation, she tells Catherine directly, "It means you gotta be your own self more". This line is significant because it shows Beatrice encouraging the independence that Eddie fears, and it contrasts with Eddie's language of protection and control. Beatrice speaks plainly, in the same Brooklyn idiom as Eddie, yet she uses it to release Catherine rather than hold her back. She also advises Catherine to stop walking around in front of Eddie in her slip, which hints that she has already grasped the danger in the household. Miller allows the audience to notice her awareness before she voices it to Eddie.

Miller also uses Beatrice to expose the hidden failure of the marriage. Her question, "When am I gonna be a wife again, Eddie?", is a euphemism, and its restraint is moving: she does not shout or accuse, but the plain question carries months of loneliness. Eddie's evasive reply that he has been worried shows how he avoids the issue. Structurally, these exchanges build tension because the audience realises that Eddie's feelings for Catherine are affecting everyone around him. Beatrice becomes the character through whom the unspoken truth gradually surfaces.

That truth finally breaks out in Act Two, when Beatrice confronts Eddie: "You want somethin' else, Eddie, and you can never have her!" The exclamation marks a turning point in her characterisation. The woman who once fussed over tablecloths now names the forbidden desire at the heart of the tragedy. Her bluntness is an

Question number

act of courage, and Eddie's horrified reaction shows how dangerous this knowledge is. Miller presents Beatrice as a peacemaker who is forced to become a truth-teller, and her desperation is clear when she urges Eddie to "tell her good luck" and accept Catherine's marriage. She is trying to save him from himself.

Even so, Miller does not make Beatrice a simple heroine. When Eddie forbids her to attend the wedding, she is torn between loyalty to her husband and love for Catherine. Her decision to stay with Eddie shows the strength of her devotion, but it also reflects the limits placed on a wife in this patriarchal community, where a husband's authority is assumed. The audience may admire her loyalty while also feeling frustrated that she cannot fully break free. She remains loyal to the very end, and Eddie's dying words, "My B!", suggest that he finally recognises the value of the wife he neglected. The possessive "My" is poignant: in death he reclaims the relationship he had abandoned in life.

Ultimately, Beatrice may be viewed as the play's victim as much as Eddie. By the final scene she has lost Catherine to marriage and Eddie to death, and she is left holding his body. Miller presents her as a woman of instinctive wisdom and great compassion whose understanding could have prevented the tragedy if only Eddie had listened. In this sense she embodies Alfieri's closing idea that it is better to "settle for half": she accepts imperfection, forgives, and endures, while Eddie insists on everything and loses it all.

3 Priestley portrays the older generation in An Inspector Calls as complacent, self-interested and, most damningly, incapable of change. Mr and Mrs Birling are presented as people whose authority rests on age, money and social status rather than on wisdom, and the structure of the play steadily strips that authority away.

Question number

By the final act, the parents have learnt nothing, while their children have learnt a great deal, and this reversal is central to Priestley's message about who can be trusted with the future.

From the opening stage directions, Priestley signals the limitations of the older characters. Mr Birling is a "heavy-looking, rather portentous man", and the adjective "portentous" suggests someone pompous who believes his words carry great weight. Mrs Birling is described as "a rather cold woman and her husband's social superior", which immediately links her to snobbery and emotional distance. These directions guide the audience to view the parents critically before they have said very much at all.

Mr Birling's speeches in Act One are the clearest example of how Priestley undermines the older generation. He lectures the young people, "Now you three young people, just listen to this", and the imperative reveals his assumption that age gives him the right to instruct. Yet what follows is a series of confident predictions that the audience knows to be wrong. He dismisses "silly little war scares" and declares the Titanic "unsinkable, absolutely unsinkable". Priestley's use of dramatic irony is devastating here: the repetition of "unsinkable" makes Birling's certainty sound almost comic, and if he is so mistaken about ships and wars, the audience is invited to doubt his social views too. His belief that "a man has to mind his own business and look after himself and his own" is therefore discredited by the same voice. Tellingly, the Inspector's ring at the doorbell interrupts this speech, as though the play itself is answering him.

Mrs Birling is presented as even more rigid. Throughout her interrogation in Act Two, she uses her social position as a shield, dismissing Eva as one of the "Girls of that class" and insisting she was justified in refusing help from her charity

Question number

committee. Priestley uses structure brilliantly here: Mrs Birling unknowingly condemns her own son, demanding that the father of the child should be made an example of, and the audience watches with growing dread as Eric's guilt becomes obvious. The irony exposes her hypocrisy, because her moral judgements are reserved for people beneath her. Even after the truth emerges, she declares, "I accept no blame for it at all", and the absolute phrase "at all" shows a total refusal to reflect.

Priestley sharpens his portrayal of the older generation by contrasting them with Sheila and Eric. The Inspector notes that the young ones are "more impressionable", and this proves true: Sheila is quick to feel guilt and Eric eventually confesses his part. When Mrs Birling says she is ashamed of Eric, he throws her language back at her: "But don't forget I'm ashamed of you as well". The mirroring reverses the normal power relationship between parent and child, and it marks a point in the play where moral authority has passed to the young. Eric's accusation that his father is not "the kind of father a chap could go to when he's in trouble" also suggests that the older generation's coldness has damaged their own family.

The final act confirms that the parents cannot change. Once Gerald returns with news that there is no Inspector Goole on the force, Mr and Mrs Birling are relieved rather than chastened. Birling's main concern is avoiding a "public scandal", and he mocks his children as "the famous younger generation who know it all. And they can't even take a joke". The sneering tone shows that he still sees the evening as a threat to his reputation rather than a lesson about responsibility. Gerald, who sits between the generations in age, sides with the parents, assuring Sheila that "Everything's all right now", which suggests that privilege can make even a young man think like the old.

Question number

Priestley's ending, with the telephone call announcing that a girl has died and a police inspector is on his way, seems to punish the older generation's complacency. The circular structure implies that they will have to face the same questions again, because they refused to answer them honestly the first time. Ultimately, Priestley portrays the older generation as fixed in their selfish habits, and he places his hope in the young, whose capacity to feel shame is the beginning of their capacity to change.

4 Priestley presents the future in An Inspector Calls as something the characters believe they can predict and control, but which in fact depends entirely on the moral choices they make in the present. The Inspector's warning of "fire and blood and anguish" turns the future from a comfortable prospect into a threat, and the whole play is built to make the audience feel that the future is not inevitable but a responsibility.

At the start of the play, the future seems secure and prosperous. The Birlings are celebrating Sheila's engagement to Gerald, and Mr Birling treats the marriage as a business opportunity, looking forward to a time when "Crofts and Birlings are no longer competing but are working together", with the aim of lower costs and higher prices. The vision reveals that his vision of the future is entirely economic and self-serving: the engagement is valued for profit rather than love. The comfortable dining room, with its port and cigars, suggests a family confident that tomorrow will look much like today, only richer.

Priestley then destroys this confidence through dramatic irony. Birling's long speeches about the future are full of certainty: he dismisses "silly little war

Question number

scares", promises "a time of steadily increasing prosperity", and describes the Titanic as "unsinkable, absolutely unsinkable". Because the audience knows that war and disaster are coming, every prediction exposes Birling as foolish. The repetition of "unsinkable" is especially effective, as the emphatic tone makes his blindness almost painful to watch. Priestley's point is that those who think only of themselves cannot see the future clearly. Significantly, Gerald agrees with Birling's forecast, which aligns him with the older generation's short-sighted optimism. Eric, by contrast, interrupts with "What about war?", a brief question that is brushed aside but which suggests that the young may sense dangers their parents ignore.

The Inspector is presented as a figure with a mysterious relationship to time. He seems to know everything about Eva Smith's life before the family confess, and he behaves as if the outcome is already decided. When asked what he is waiting for, his answer is "To do my duty", which hints that his purpose extends beyond this one evening. The surname Goole, with its echo of "ghoul", strengthens the sense that he comes from outside ordinary time, perhaps as a voice of conscience or even of the future itself. Priestley manipulates time so that the Inspector can describe a death that, as the final telephone call reveals, may not yet have happened.

The Inspector's final speech is the climax of the play's concern with the future. He reminds the family that there are "millions and millions" of Eva and John Smiths, and he declares, "We are responsible for each other". The quotation in the question follows: "the time will soon come when, if men will not learn that lesson, then they will be taught it in fire and blood and anguish." The conditional "if" is crucial, because it shows the future is not fixed: it depends on whether people choose to change. The triplet "fire and blood and anguish" is apocalyptic, and the repeated "and" creates a heavy, relentless rhythm that suggests suffering piling upon

Question number

suffering. The language has an almost biblical quality, as though the Inspector is a prophet. For the audience, this speech replaces Birling's confident predictions with a far more serious kind of prophecy.

Priestley shows that the younger characters offer hope for a better future. Sheila absorbs the Inspector's message and repeats it to her parents, remembering "what he made me feel" and echoing the words "Fire and blood and anguish". Her repetition shows that the lesson has become part of her, and she now takes on something of the Inspector's role. Eric also accepts his guilt. In contrast, Mr and Mrs Birling are delighted when they think the Inspector was a hoax, as if the future can simply return to the comfortable path they imagined. Their refusal to learn implies that they are the ones who will be "taught" the lesson.

The ending makes the importance of the future unavoidable. Just as Birling relaxes, the telephone rings to announce that a girl has died and an inspector is coming. The cyclical structure suggests that the future has arrived to test the family again, and their failure to change leaves them exposed. Through this structure, Priestley turns the play into a warning for the audience as much as for the Birlings: the future will be shaped by whether people accept responsibility for one another, and to ignore that lesson is to invite catastrophe.

5 The title The Curious Incident of the Dog in the Night-time promises a detective story, and on one level the play delivers exactly that: a boy investigates the killing of a neighbour's dog. Yet the title is significant precisely because the "incident" turns out to be far smaller than the truths it uncovers. Stephens uses the death of Wellington as a doorway into Christopher's mind, his family's secrets and his growing independence, so that the title's mystery becomes a mystery about people

Question number

rather than about a dog.

The play begins by staging its title literally. The opening stage direction, "A dead dog lies in the middle of the stage. A large garden fork is sticking out of its side", is shocking in its bluntness, and the image dominates the audience's attention before a word is spoken. Placing the dog "in the middle of the stage" makes it the visual and dramatic centre of the play's world. Christopher's narrative, often voiced by Siobhan as she reads from his book, describes the scene with forensic precision: "It was seven minutes after midnight." The exact time and the flat, factual sentences establish the tone of an investigator's report, which matches the formal, slightly old-fashioned phrasing of the title. The word "incident" itself sounds like police language, detached and official, as if Christopher wants to treat a disturbing event as a problem to be solved.

The adjective "curious" is perhaps the most significant word in the title, because it works in two ways. The killing is curious in the sense of strange: no one knows why anyone would stab a dog with a garden fork in the dark. But "curious" also describes Christopher himself, whose curiosity drives the plot. He tells the policeman plainly, "I did not kill the dog", and once he is suspected he resolves to find the real killer. His curiosity leads him to approach neighbours he would normally avoid, asking questions such as "Do you know who killed Wellington?" The direct, repeated question shows both his determination and his difficulty with social conventions, creating moments of humour and tension. For Christopher, who finds the world confusing, investigation gives him a structure for engaging with it.

The title also echoes the Sherlock Holmes story Silver Blaze, which signals the play's genre and Christopher's admiration for logical detection. Like Holmes, Christopher believes that careful reasoning will reveal the truth. Ironically, though,

Question number

the truths he discovers cannot be solved by logic alone. When Ed tries to stop the investigation, insisting "It's a bloody dog", Christopher replies, "I think dogs are important too." The exchange is revealing: Ed dismisses the incident because he has something to hide, while Christopher's loyalty to animals reflects his sense that dogs are honest and predictable in a way people are not. The audience may also sense that the dog matters because it stands for something larger.

Structurally, the investigation leads Christopher to his mother's hidden letters, and the title's mystery suddenly expands. The audience realises, with Christopher, that his mother is not dead but has been living in London, and that his father has lied to him. Ed's confession that he killed Wellington, when the "red mist" came down after an argument with Mrs Shears, resolves the "curious incident" but in the most painful way possible. The phrase "red mist" suggests that the killing came from uncontrollable emotion, the very opposite of the cold logic Christopher values. The dog in the night-time therefore symbolises the dark, hidden emotions of the adults around him, the secrets that were present all along but unseen.

This revelation transforms the play. Because Christopher can no longer trust the man who killed a dog, he travels alone to London, and the second half of the play becomes a journey into independence. In this sense the "incident" is the catalyst for Christopher's growth: a small mystery becomes the starting point for a brave and frightening quest. Stephens's physical theatre, with its lights, projections and ensemble movement, makes the journey feel overwhelming, helping the audience share Christopher's experience.

The ending returns to dogs, giving the title a sense of resolution. Ed's gift of a "little sandy-coloured Golden Retriever" is an attempt to rebuild trust, offering a living dog to replace the dead one that broke the family apart. The puppy is a

Question number

symbol of hope and forgiveness. Overall, the title is significant because it frames the play as a mystery while gradually revealing that the real "incident" is the collapse and slow repair of Christopher's family, and that the most curious thing in the play is Christopher's courage in seeking the truth.

6 Stephens presents Christopher's parents, Ed and Judy Boone, as loving but deeply flawed people, whose struggle to cope with their son and with each other drives the whole plot. Neither is a villain and neither is a saint. Instead, the play shows two ordinary parents under enormous pressure, and it invites the audience to judge them with understanding as well as criticism, much as Christopher himself must learn to do.

Ed is the parent the audience meets first, and initially he appears to be a patient, devoted father. He lives alone with Christopher and manages his son's routines and anxieties. Stephens uses a recurring piece of stage action to show their bond: Ed "holds his right hand up and spreads his fingers out in a fan", and Christopher mirrors him so that their fingers touch. Because Christopher cannot bear to be hugged, this gesture becomes their private language of love. It is tender and restrained, and its repetition through the play allows the audience to measure the state of their relationship. Ed's determination is also evident in his battle with the school over Christopher's Maths A level. When told there are no facilities, he snaps, "Then get the facilities". The blunt imperative shows his fierce protectiveness and his frustration with a world that underestimates his son.

However, Stephens also presents Ed's anger and his capacity for deceit. His exasperated question, "How many times do I have to tell you, Christopher?", reveals the strain of daily life, and when he discovers that Christopher has continued

Question number

investigating, he loses control and the two of them fight. Most importantly, Ed has told Christopher that his mother died, hiding the truth that she left the family to live with Mr Shears. He has also hidden her letters. The discovery of these letters is a structural turning point: the audience realises that the gentle father has built Christopher's world on a lie. Ed's later confession that he killed Wellington, when the "red mist" came down, deepens this. His violence towards the dog grows out of jealousy and grief over Judy, suggesting that his patience on the surface conceals painful emotions he cannot express.

Judy, meanwhile, is absent for the first half of the play because Christopher believes she is dead. Stephens makes her absence a powerful dramatic device: she exists first as a memory and then as a voice in the letters, read aloud on stage. In these letters she is honest about her own failings, writing, "I'm not like your father. Your father is a much more patient person." The comparison is self-critical and shows her understanding of why the family broke down. She admits that she found Christopher's behaviour exhausting and that the strain damaged her marriage. One of the most poignant lines is her memory of seeing Christopher calm with Ed: "And it made me so sad because it was like you didn't need me at all." The simple, confessional language makes the audience pity her, even though her decision to leave is hard to forgive. Structurally, her voice emerging from the letters transforms Christopher's understanding of his past.

When Christopher runs away to London, Judy's presentation changes from voice to physical presence. Finding him soaked and cold on her doorstep, she becomes immediately practical and caring: "Will you let me help you get your clothes off?" The question form is important, as it shows she has learnt to respect Christopher's need for control. Her later decision to leave Mr Shears and take Christopher back to Swindon so that he can sit his exam shows her willingness to put him first.

Question number

Yet Stephens does not idealise her: her home life is chaotic, and she must rebuild trust with a son she abandoned.

By the end of the play, the parents are presented as imperfect people trying to repair the damage they have caused. Christopher lives with his mother, and Ed works patiently to win back his son's trust, buying him a puppy and agreeing to spend time with him in small, structured steps. This gradual reconciliation suggests that love is shown not through grand gestures but through consistency and honesty. In this light, the fan of fingers from earlier in the play comes to symbolise a bond that can be broken and slowly restored.

Overall, Stephens presents Ed and Judy as mirror images: Ed stays but lies, while Judy leaves but tells the truth in her letters. Both love Christopher, and both fail him. Through their flaws, the play explores how hard parenthood can be, and it asks the audience to recognise that forgiveness, like Christopher's courage, is earned step by step.

7 Samuels presents the relationship between Lil and Faith as warm, teasing and affectionate, yet complicated by a secret that both binds and divides them. Lil treats Faith as a granddaughter, and Faith clearly trusts her, but as Faith begins to uncover her mother's hidden past, their relationship becomes a site of tension, loyalty and revelation. Through it, Samuels explores how the truth about the past is passed, painfully, from one generation to the next.

At the start of the play, Lil's manner towards Faith is brisk and down to earth. Arriving from Manchester and finding Faith sorting through boxes in the attic, she instructs her, "Just get this lot boxed and neaten up the room. I'll do tea." The

Question number

short, practical sentences and the colloquial "this lot" establish Lil as a no-nonsense figure whose care is expressed through action rather than sentiment. Her line "Stop fretting and eat your Madeira cake" works in the same way: the imperative is affectionate, mixing comfort and mild scolding in the manner of a grandmother. The domestic detail of the cake makes their bond feel ordinary and familiar, which makes the later disruption more striking.

Their relationship is also shaped by gentle conflict. When Faith promises, "I will put the things away", Lil replies drily, "You said that before." The quick exchange suggests a long history of easy familiarity, and Lil's directness shows that she does not stand on ceremony with Faith. Samuels uses this playful friction to make the relationship believable, so that the audience recognises a real family bond rather than an idealised one.

The central tension emerges as Faith questions Lil about the past. Finding old letters and photographs, she asks about the "little Jewish girl you had staying with you during the war", not yet knowing that this girl was her own mother. Lil's evasiveness is dramatically charged, and Faith notices it at once: "Why are you being so cagey?" The colloquial "cagey" reveals Faith's sharpness and her sense that she is being kept out of something. Lil's instruction to "leave it" shows her divided loyalties: she wants to protect Evelyn's privacy, yet she clearly loves Faith and does not wish to lie to her. Samuels builds suspense through these half-answers, so the audience, like Faith, senses the secret before it is spoken.

The climax of this tension comes when Faith realises the truth and asks, "Is she Mum?" The short, stark question is a moment of revelation for Faith and a turning point in her relationship with Lil, because Lil is now the keeper of a history that belongs to Faith too. Interestingly, Faith is sensitive to Lil's feelings even in this

Question number

moment. Noticing that Lil is hurt to find how much Evelyn has kept from her own childhood, she asks, "It's upset you, hasn't it?" This reveals Faith's empathy and suggests that the discovery wounds Lil as well, since Evelyn has kept these traces of her childhood as Eva hidden even from the woman who raised her.

Lil's insecurity also comes to the surface. Faith's discovery that her mother was born Eva, the child of a German Jewish family, threatens Lil's place in the family story, and she asks, "Aren't I real now?" The question is poignant: Lil fears that her role as the woman who raised Evelyn will be overshadowed by Helga, the birth mother. Samuels presents Lil as vulnerable here, showing that her bond with Faith depends partly on the family history she has shared.

Lil's loyalty to Evelyn ultimately shapes her relationship with Faith. When Faith lashes out at her mother, saying "I could kill you", Lil intervenes fiercely: "I'll bloody kill you first." The shocking hyperbole and the swearing show that, however much she loves Faith, Lil will defend Evelyn above all. Yet she is also a mediator, defusing the strained silences between mother and daughter with her wry comment, "You two have the quietest arguments." The humour reveals her understanding of both women and her role as a bridge between them.

Structurally, Lil moves between the play's two time periods, appearing as a younger woman caring for Eva and as an older woman with Faith. This allows Samuels to make Lil the link between Faith and her mother's past. Through Lil, Faith gains access to her heritage, and the audience sees how memory is carried across generations. Overall, Samuels presents the relationship between Lil and Faith as loving but tested, and their bond becomes the means by which buried history is finally brought into the light.

Question number

8

Fear runs through Kindertransport like an undercurrent, shaping the choices of every character, yet Samuels shows that it changes form as the play moves through time. In 1938 and 1939 it is an immediate, external fear of persecution; by the present-day scenes it has become an internal fear of memory, identity and the past. The figure of the Ratcatcher connects these two kinds of fear, and through him Samuels suggests that terror experienced in childhood does not disappear but is buried, waiting to resurface.

The play opens with fear in its most protective form. Helga, Eva's mother, is driven by dread about what will happen to her daughter in Nazi Germany, and this fear motivates the decision to send Eva to England. Her line "There's no 'later' left, Eva" captures the urgency: the phrase "no 'later'" suggests that time itself has run out, and the quotation of Eva's own word throws her childish delay back at her. Samuels shows Helga channelling her fear into practical instructions, such as "Eva, sew on your buttons now". The imperatives reveal both her anxiety and her desire to make Eva self-reliant, because she knows she will not be there to help. Her decision to hide the watch and jewellery in Eva's shoe is a quiet but devastating sign that she fears she may never see her daughter again.

Interestingly, Samuels presents young Eva as more excited than afraid at first. From the train she calls, "Mutti! Vati! Hello! Hello! See. I did get into the carriage." The exclamations and the proud "See" reveal her innocence: she does not grasp the danger, and the audience's knowledge of the Holocaust makes her cheerfulness painfully ironic. When the train crosses the border, her relief bursts out: "The border! It's the border! Yes! We're out! Out! Stuff your stupid Hitler." The broken, repeated exclamations show how much fear she had been holding in, and the childish insult "stupid" both releases that fear and shows how young she still is.

Question number

Arrival in England brings a different kind of fear: isolation. Unable to communicate, Eva cries out "Niemand kommt?" when no one appears to collect her. Samuels's use of German here is effective because it places the audience in Eva's position of confusion and abandonment, and the question form expresses a child's terror of being forgotten. This fear of abandonment becomes central to her psychology, as she later comes to feel that her parents gave her away.

The most powerful dramatic method Samuels uses to present fear is the Ratcatcher. At the start of the play, Eva reads the story of the Ratcatcher, who lures children away into an abyss, and she asks her mother what "abyss" means. This structural choice plants the idea of children vanishing into darkness, which mirrors the fate of the children of the Kindertransport, taken from their families. Samuels then has the same actor play the Ratcatcher, the Nazi Border Official, the English Organiser, the Postman and the Station Guard. This doubling suggests that fear is not attached to one person but to every authority figure who controls Eva's fate, whether German or English. The menacing Ratcatcher music and his appearances as a shadow in the attic create an unsettling atmosphere, so that the audience feels the threat even in the safe, modern home of Evelyn.

The climax of this theme comes when Helga survives the war and arrives in England, hoping to take Eva with her to New York. Instead, Eva rejects her, accusing, "You were the Ratcatcher. Those were his eyes, his face." This is a shocking reversal: the mother who acted out of love is identified with the very figure of terror, because to Eva the separation itself was the trauma. Samuels shows that fear has distorted Eva's memory of love, and Helga's worst fear, losing her daughter, comes true in a form she never imagined.

Question number	
	In the present-day scenes, Evelyn's fear is turned inwards. She has buried her identity as Eva, and she is frightened of Faith discovering the letters, photographs and books stored in the attic. Her anxiety about the past, and about Faith leaving home, reveals that she still lives in the shadow of that early separation. The attic, crowded with hidden objects, becomes a symbol of her repressed memories, and the Ratcatcher's lingering presence there suggests that she has never escaped him. Overall, Samuels presents fear as something that passes through generations. It begins as a rational response to persecution, becomes a child's terror of abandonment, and ends as an adult's fear of her own history. By structuring the play across two time periods, Samuels allows the audience to see how deeply fear can shape a life, and how difficult it is to confront.
9	Soyinka presents the relationship between Elesin and Iyaloja as the moral spine of the play. At first it looks like the playful bond of a favoured son and an indulgent mother, but beneath the affection Iyaloja is always the guardian of the community's values, and as Elesin's will weakens their relationship reverses completely: the woman who once fed and dressed him becomes his judge. Through this shift Soyinka measures Elesin's failure not against colonial law but against the expectations of his own people. In the opening market scene the relationship is warm, theatrical and full of mutual flattery. Elesin declares, "This market is my roost. When I come among the women I am a chicken with a hundred mothers." The metaphor is affectionate but revealing: a chicken is pampered and fed, and it suggests that Elesin depends on the women's devotion as much as they honour him. Iyaloja is the "mother" of the market, and her deference seems total. When Elesin pretends to be offended, she

Question number

takes his mock anger with complete seriousness, anxiously asking what she has done wrong and ordering the women to dress him in the finest cloths. Soyinka creates gentle comedy here, yet the audience may already sense an imbalance: Elesin is performing, while Iyaloja's respect is sincere because she believes in the sacred duty he is about to perform.

The request for the young bride tests that respect. Elesin's question, "Iyaloja, who is she? I saw her enter your stall; all daughters I know well", shows his appetite for the world of the flesh at the very moment he should be leaving it. The girl is already betrothed to Iyaloja's son, so granting the request costs Iyaloja personally, yet she agrees because she cannot refuse a man on the threshold of the ancestors. What makes her a complex figure is that she agrees with open eyes. Her warning, "You wish to travel light. Well, the earth is yours. But be sure the seed you leave in it attracts no curse", uses the language of farming and fertility to remind him that his actions will bear fruit for good or ill. Even more prophetic is her prediction that "even at the narrow end of the passage" he will "look back and sigh a last regret for the flesh". This foreshadowing establishes Iyaloja as the one character who truly understands Elesin, perhaps better than he understands himself, and it builds dramatic tension, because the audience is told exactly how the ritual might fail before it begins.

Soyinka places Elesin's arrest off stage, while the Pilkingses are at the ball, so the audience does not see the moment his will fails; it simply learns that he lives. As a result, when Iyaloja visits the prison in the final scene the change is shocking. Her deference has vanished. With bitter sarcasm she reminds him of the "sweetmeats" the women heaped on him and concludes, "We called you leader and oh, how you led us on." The pun on "led us on" is cutting: it turns leadership into deception, as if Elesin had seduced the whole community as he seduced the bride.

Question number

Elesin, by contrast, is reduced to excuses: "First I blamed the white man, then I blamed the gods for deserting me." The confessional, almost broken rhythm of his speech contrasts with the confident praise-poetry he spoke in the market, so Soyinka uses language itself to show his fall from grace.

Iyaloja's most brutal weapon is Olunde. Rather than soften the news of his son's death, she uses it to shame him, describing how the son "could not bear to let honour fly out of doors" and so "stopped it with his life". Her final image, "there is nothing left in your mouth to gnash but infant gums", is devastating. The man who boasted of his virility is reduced to a toothless baby, a reversal that inverts the mother and child imagery of the opening scene: Iyaloja is still a mother figure, but now she speaks to a child who has disgraced the family.

Some audiences may find Iyaloja merciless, yet Soyinka suggests her anger is a form of loyalty to something larger than Elesin. When he strangles himself, it is she who turns the community's attention forward, telling the bride, "Now forget the dead, forget even the living. Turn your mind only to the unborn." The relationship therefore ends with Iyaloja restoring order where Elesin could not. Soyinka presents her as the true keeper of Yoruba values, and the arc of their relationship, from adoration to scorn, mirrors the arc of the tragedy itself.

10 Soyinka presents ritual not as quaint custom but as the force that holds the Yoruba world together, linking the living, the dead and the unborn. The whole play is built around a single ritual, Elesin's death as the King's Horseman, and by showing that ritual celebrated, interrupted and finally completed by another, Soyinka suggests that the order of a society depends on whether its people honour what ritual demands.

Question number

Structurally, everything in the play moves towards or away from the moment of Elesin's death. The opening scenes in the market are a kind of preparation, full of drumming, dance and praise-poetry, so ritual is present in the very form of the drama. Soyinka's language here is heightened and proverbial. When Elesin reassures the anxious Praise-Singer, he does so with the story of the "Not-I bird", a parable in which every creature claims it is not afraid of death until each one flees from it. Ritual storytelling allows Elesin to define himself against cowardice: he is the one who will not say "Not I". The irony, which only becomes clear later, is that the story contains the seed of his own failure. The tale is also performed, with the women responding to him, which reminds us that Yoruba ritual is communal: Elesin's death is not a private choice but an act carried out on behalf of everyone.

Poetic imagery gives the ritual its spiritual weight. Lines such as "The night is not so dark / That the albino fails to find his way" use proverb and paradox to suggest that the path to the ancestors is certain for one who is ready. In the third scene the Praise-Singer speaks with the voice of the dead king, so the boundary between worlds seems to thin on stage. Soyinka's stage direction describing how Elesin "appears to feel for a direction of sound" before he "slips deeper into his trance-dance" is especially poignant. The verbs "feel" and "slips" suggest both surrender and uncertainty, as though Elesin is being drawn away by music. Dance and drumming carry meaning that dialogue cannot, so the form of the play itself enacts the passage between life and death.

Ritual is also important because of what threatens it. Soyinka juxtaposes the market with the Pilkingses' bungalow, where Simon and Jane are first seen dancing to a tango in the egungun costumes they intend to wear to the ball. Amusa's horror at seeing the "uniform of death" used as fancy dress exposes the gulf

Question number

between a culture for whom these garments embody the ancestors and one that treats them as a costume. Soyinka uses the scene for satire, but it is also chilling: the casual ignorance of the colonial officers foreshadows how easily they will interrupt Elesin's passage. Even Amusa, a Muslim who works for the colonial police, cannot bring himself to address Pilkings while he wears it, which suggests how deeply ritual shapes everyone in this society.

At the same time Soyinka refuses to make ritual simply a battle between Africa and Europe. Elesin's failure also comes from within, from his desire for the young bride and the pleasures of the world. Ritual is important precisely because it demands a sacrifice that tests the individual. When Elesin fails, the consequences are cosmic as well as personal: the order of the world is thrown into confusion and the dead king is left without his companion.

The structural irony of the ending makes the importance of ritual unmistakable. Olunde, who has studied medicine in England for four years and might seem the character most likely to reject tradition, is the one who returns home and dies in his father's place. Soyinka suggests that Western education has not weakened his allegiance; if anything, his time abroad has shown him the value of his own culture. When Iyaloja has Olunde's body brought before Elesin, the son's death becomes a terrible rebuke, and Elesin's own death in the cell, by strangling himself with his chain, is too late to restore what has been lost.

Yet the play does not end in despair. Iyaloja's final words, "Now forget the dead, forget even the living. Turn your mind only to the unborn", reassert the continuity at the heart of Yoruba ritual. The young bride, who may carry Elesin's child, embodies that future, so ritual survives the disaster that has broken it. In this way Soyinka presents ritual as the means by which a society understands itself and

Question number

endures.

11 Benvolio may seem a minor character, but Shakespeare makes him important precisely because he is ordinary and reasonable in a city ruled by passion. His name, suggesting "good will", announces his role as peacemaker, and throughout the first half of the play he acts as a moral yardstick against which the violence of Verona is measured. His quiet disappearance after Act 3 Scene 1 is just as significant: once the voice of reason vanishes, the tragedy accelerates.

Shakespeare establishes Benvolio's function in the very first scene. As the servants brawl, he draws his sword only to separate them, crying "Part, fools! / Put up your swords, you know not what you do." The blunt imperative and the insult "fools" show that he sees the feud for what it is: senseless. His explanation to Tybalt, "I do but keep the peace", is met with Tybalt's furious reply, "What, drawn, and talk of peace? I hate the word / As I hate hell, all Montagues, and thee." The juxtaposition is structurally deliberate. In a single exchange Shakespeare sets up the two poles of the play's male world, peace and hatred, and the repetition of "hate" in Tybalt's line drowns Benvolio's single word "peace". To an Elizabethan audience, for whom public brawling between noble households was a real anxiety and the Prince's warning that "Your lives shall pay the forfeit of the peace" reflected genuine laws, Benvolio represents the civil order that the play's young men keep breaking.

Benvolio is also important as a confidant who reveals Romeo to us. He tells Lady Montague that he saw Romeo in the "grove of sycamore", and admits that "A troubled mind drove me to walk abroad", a small detail that gives him a reflective inner life. When Romeo pines for Rosaline, Benvolio's advice is practical: "Examine

Question number

other beauties." He promises to make Romeo "think thy swan a crow" by comparing Rosaline with other women at the feast. This has a vital structural consequence, because it is Benvolio who persuades Romeo to go to the Capulet party where he meets Juliet. The irony is painful: the character who most wants peace becomes the unwitting catalyst of the love that ends in death. Shakespeare may be suggesting that in a world governed by fate, even good intentions cannot steer events.

Shakespeare sharpens Benvolio's role through contrast with Mercutio. Mercutio's language is witty, bawdy and exuberant, whereas Benvolio's is plain and sensible, though he does join in the teasing of the Nurse, which shows he is not merely a prig. In Act 3 Scene 1 the contrast becomes dramatic. Benvolio opens the scene with a warning: "The day is hot, the Capels are abroad, / And if we meet we shall not scape a brawl." The heat imagery links the weather to "mad blood", and Benvolio's reasoning works almost as a prologue to the violence. Mercutio mockingly accuses him of being a man who would "quarrel with a man for cracking nuts", a comic reversal that tells us more about Mercutio than about Benvolio. When Tybalt arrives, Benvolio urges them to "withdraw unto some private place" or "reason coldly", the adverb "coldly" opposing the heat of the day. His words reflect the Elizabethan code that duels, though illegal, should at least be fought away from "the public haunt of men". Nobody listens, and Shakespeare shows reason being overwhelmed.

After the fight Benvolio becomes the messenger of catastrophe. His announcement "O Romeo, Romeo! Brave Mercutio's dead!" uses the exclamations and the repetition of Romeo's name to convey shock, while his elegy, "That gallant spirit hath aspired the clouds", gives Mercutio a dignified, almost heavenly exit. Once Tybalt falls, Benvolio's practical sense takes over: "Stand not amazed. The Prince

Question number

will doom thee death / If thou art taken." He saves Romeo's life by urging him to flee. He then gives the Prince an account of the fight, and Lady Capulet immediately dismisses it: "He is a kinsman to the Montague; / Affection makes him false." Her accusation reveals how family loyalty, which Elizabethans prized between cousins, poisons even the truth in Verona.

After this scene Benvolio never appears again. Some critics see this as a dramatic choice: the peacemaker leaves the stage at the moment the play turns from comedy to tragedy. His absence is felt, because no one is left to offer the calm advice that might have prevented the final catastrophe. Benvolio is therefore important not because of what he achieves but because of what he represents, the reason and good will that Verona's feud cannot accommodate.

12 Shakespeare uses time as both a structural engine and a source of tragic irony. The play is compressed into a few frantic days, so that time seems to rush the lovers towards their deaths; yet at every crucial moment time also betrays them by moving too slowly or arriving a few minutes too late. Time therefore becomes inseparable from fate, the invisible force that the Prologue tells us will destroy the "star-crossed lovers".

From the outset Shakespeare plays with our sense of time. The Prologue reveals the entire plot before a single scene has been acted and promises that it will fill "the two hours' traffic of our stage". The sonnet form, with its fixed fourteen lines, is itself a measured, controlled structure, which suggests that the lovers' lives are already written. Because we know the ending, every reference to time later in the play is charged with dramatic irony. For an Elizabethan audience who widely believed that the stars governed human lives, this framing would have made the

Question number

lovers' haste seem not merely reckless but foreordained.

Shakespeare's handling of the source shows how deliberate this is. In Arthur Brooke's poem the story unfolds over many months, but Shakespeare squeezes it into about four days. The effect is breathless. Romeo begins the play complaining that "sad hours seem long" while he pines for Rosaline, yet within hours he has met Juliet, and by the next day they are married. Before the feast Romeo even senses danger in time itself, fearing "Some consequence yet hanging in the stars" that will "bitterly begin his fearful date / With this night's revels". The word "date" is chilling, as if his life has an expiry already set. Friar Laurence's warning, "Wisely and slow. They stumble that run fast", acts as a moral the young lovers ignore, and the sharp monosyllables give it the weight of a proverb.

Juliet experiences time differently, as unbearable slowness. At the end of the balcony scene, agreeing to send a messenger at nine the next morning, she hyperbolically says the wait will feel like twenty years, and the following day she frets that the Nurse is taking hours to return. Her soliloquy in Act 3 Scene 2, "Gallop apace, you fiery-footed steeds", personifies the horses of the sun and commands them to hurry towards night. The urgent imperative and the dynamic rhythm express her desire, yet the irony is cruel: as she speaks, Tybalt is already dead and Romeo banished. Time is moving faster than she knows. In the aubade of Act 3 Scene 5 the lovers argue over whether "It was the nightingale, and not the lark". Juliet wants to deny that morning has come, but she cannot stop time, and the dawn separates them for the last time while they live.

Adults also distort time. At first Capulet tells Paris to wait "two more summers" before marrying Juliet, a gentle, seasonal image of patience. After Tybalt's death, however, he arranges the wedding for Thursday, and when Juliet appears to submit

Question number

he moves it forward to Wednesday. This sudden haste upsets the Friar's plan and forces Juliet to take the potion a day early. Shakespeare shows that the older generation is just as impulsive as the young, and that time in Verona is controlled by patriarchal will.

The final act is a tragedy of timing. The Friar promises that the potion will last "two and forty hours", a precise measurement which makes the plan sound scientific and safe. Yet his letter never reaches Mantua, because Friar John is detained by plague, and Balthasar's news reaches Romeo first. Romeo's response, "Then I defy you, stars!", shows him trying to seize control of time, but his rush back to Verona only fulfils the stars' design. He dies moments before Juliet wakes, and the Friar arrives moments too late. Shakespeare stages this agonising near miss so that the audience feels the full cruelty of time, which separates the lovers by minutes.

Ultimately Shakespeare presents time as the medium through which fate works. The lovers' haste and the adults' impatience create the conditions for disaster, but the coincidences of the last act suggest a larger pattern. By compressing the action so tightly, Shakespeare makes love and death almost simultaneous, leaving the audience to feel that the "two hours' traffic" promised in the Prologue was never long enough for happiness.

13 Death in Macbeth is never simply an event; it is a measure of moral order. Shakespeare moves from death that is honourable, in battle and in execution, to death that is secret, unnatural and increasingly meaningless, so that the play charts Macbeth's journey from heroic killer to tyrant through the deaths he causes. For a Jacobean audience, and for King James himself, the central death of the

Question number

play, the murder of a king, would have been the most terrible crime imaginable.

At the start death is glorious. The Captain describes how Macbeth's sword "smoked with bloody execution" and how he "unseamed" the rebel Macdonald "from the nave to th' chops". The violent imagery is shocking to a modern audience, but in context it is praise: killing in defence of the rightful king is noble, and Duncan rewards it. Even the traitorous Thane of Cawdor achieves dignity in death. Malcolm reports that "Nothing in his life / Became him like the leaving it", a neat antithesis suggesting that a good death can redeem a bad life. Ironically, Macbeth inherits Cawdor's title as a result of this death, so from the first act Shakespeare links Macbeth's rise to another man's end.

The murder of Duncan marks the play's turning point, and Shakespeare deliberately keeps it off stage. Instead the audience sees only Macbeth's hallucination of the dagger and hears the fragmented dialogue afterwards. Lady Macbeth's "I heard the owl scream and the crickets cry" uses natural omens to suggest that the world itself registers the crime, and the short, broken exchanges between the couple create unbearable tension. By refusing to show the act, Shakespeare makes it more horrifying, because the audience must imagine it. Macbeth's description of Duncan's wounds, which "looked like a breach in nature", and Lady Macbeth's image of "His silver skin laced with his golden blood" present the dead king as almost sacred. Under the Divine Right of Kings, killing an anointed monarch was a sin against God, and the unnatural events that follow, such as Duncan's horses eating each other, reflect the belief that regicide unbalanced the whole of creation.

As the play continues, death becomes more distant from Macbeth and more corrupt. He hires murderers to kill Banquo, hoping to be "safe", yet the murderers fail to kill Fleance. Macbeth's reaction, "There the grown serpent lies; the worm

Question number

that's fled", reduces his friend to a reptile and shows that he now thinks of death as pest control. The return of Banquo's ghost at the banquet suggests that the dead cannot be silenced. Many Jacobeans believed ghosts were real, so the apparition would have been genuinely frightening, while its silence makes it an image of conscience. Macbeth's admission that he is "in blood / Stepped in so far" that turning back would be as hard as going on reveals that each death traps him further.

The slaughter of Macduff's family is the moral nadir of the play. Shakespeare shows the killing of Macduff's young son on stage, and the child's defiance of the murderers makes his death deliberately pitiful. Ross reports it bluntly: "Your castle is surprised". Macduff's anguished repetition, "All my pretty ones?", and his image of the "one fell swoop" of a hawk on chickens turn death into a domestic tragedy. Structurally, these deaths transform Macduff into the avenger who will end Macbeth's life, so Malcolm uses his grief as a weapon.

By the final act death has lost its meaning for Macbeth. Hearing that Lady Macbeth has died, apparently by her own hand, he says, "She should have died hereafter", which could mean that she would have died some day anyway or that she should have died at a better time. His soliloquy, "Tomorrow, and tomorrow, and tomorrow", with its slow, repetitive rhythm, and the metaphor "Out, out, brief candle" present life as fragile and empty. Death is no longer glorious or even tragic but simply the end of a meaningless story. This nihilism contrasts with the death of Young Siward, whose father is consoled that he died with his wounds on the front, a reminder of the honourable death Macbeth once embodied.

Macbeth's own death completes the pattern. He fights on because he believes no man "of woman born" can harm him, but Macduff was "untimely ripped" from his

Question number

mother's womb. When Macduff enters with Macbeth's head, declaring "Behold where stands / Th' usurper's cursèd head", order is restored. Malcolm's dismissal of "this dead butcher and his fiend-like queen" gives the couple no tragic dignity at all. Shakespeare thus presents death as both punishment and restoration, warning that those who kill to seize power will themselves be destroyed, a message that would have reassured James I only months after the Gunpowder Plot.

14 Shakespeare presents several powerful men in Macbeth, but he is less interested in power itself than in where it comes from and how it is used. Duncan and Malcolm hold power that is legitimate and sanctioned by God; Macbeth seizes power that is violent and ultimately hollow; Macduff gains power through grief and moral purpose. By contrasting them, Shakespeare suggests that true power rests on goodness rather than strength, a message pointedly suited to a play written for James I.

Duncan opens the play as the rightful king, and his power is expressed through generosity. He greets Macbeth with "O valiant cousin, worthy gentleman!" and rewards his generals with titles, so his kingship works through bonds of loyalty and gratitude. He also exercises his authority decisively when he announces, "We will establish our estate upon / Our eldest, Malcolm". The royal plural "We" reflects the dignity of a monarch speaking for the nation. Yet Shakespeare hints that Duncan's power has a weakness: he admits "There's no art / To find the mind's construction in the face" just before trusting Macbeth. His goodness makes him vulnerable, and the audience is left to wonder whether a king can be too trusting.

Macbeth is the most obviously powerful man in the play, but Shakespeare presents his power as built on uncertain foundations. Even his ambition is shaped by

Question number

others. Lady Macbeth fears that his nature is "too full o' the milk of human kindness", a metaphor that associates him with maternal softness, and she manipulates him by attacking his masculinity: "When you durst do it, then you were a man". Macbeth's own reply, "I dare do all that may become a man; / Who dares do more is none", shows that he initially understands that real manhood has moral limits. His tragedy is that he abandons this belief. It is arguable that in the first half of the play Lady Macbeth is the real source of power, and Shakespeare thus questions whether Macbeth is ever fully in control.

Once crowned, Macbeth's power becomes tyranny. He rules through fear, employing murderers and spies, and after the banquet he resolves to go further, since "We are yet but young in deed". The image of youth is chilling, suggesting that his violence is only beginning. Yet power gives him no security. He complains that the witches placed "a fruitless crown" upon his head and "a barren sceptre in my gripe". The adjectives "fruitless" and "barren" reveal his fear that his power has no future because his line will not continue. Throughout the later acts he is repeatedly called "tyrant", and Shakespeare structures the play so that his thanes desert him one by one. His power, in the end, rests on nothing but the equivocal promises of the witches.

Macduff represents a different kind of power. He shows independence early by refusing to attend Macbeth's coronation at Scone, and the apparition's warning "Beware Macduff!" shows that the supernatural recognises him as a threat. His most memorable moment, however, is one of vulnerability. When told of his family's murder, he replies to Malcolm's urging to "Dispute it like a man" with "But I must also feel it as a man". Shakespeare redefines masculine power here: Macduff's strength includes the capacity to grieve. Malcolm then makes grief "the whetstone" of his sword, so emotion becomes the force that drives him to kill

Question number

Macbeth. The revelation that he was "untimely ripped" from his mother suggests that he stands outside the witches' prophecy, as if he is the agent of a higher justice.

Malcolm is perhaps the most interesting presentation of power, because it grows during the play. He flees after his father's murder, which may seem weak, but his testing of Macduff in England shows political shrewdness. By pretending to be lustful and greedy, he checks whether Macduff is loyal, and his list of "king-becoming graces" gives the audience a model of ideal kingship. His alliance with the English, under the saintly Edward the Confessor whose touch can heal, links his power to divine approval. In the final scene he restores order, rewards his thanes and promises to perform all that is needed "by the grace of Grace".

For a Jacobean audience, who believed in the Divine Right of Kings, Malcolm's ending would have confirmed that rightful power comes from God and cannot be stolen. Shakespeare therefore presents powerful men as a spectrum: Macbeth's power is the greatest and the emptiest, while the power of Duncan, Macduff and Malcolm endures because it is rooted in loyalty, feeling and grace.

15 Shakespeare builds The Merchant of Venice around a contrast between two worlds: Venice, a city of trade, law and suspicion, and Belmont, a place of wealth, music and romance. Within Venice he also creates smaller, sharply defined spaces, from the Rialto to Shylock's house and the court. These settings are not mere backdrops; they shape the values of the characters who inhabit them and allow Shakespeare to explore the tension between money and love, law and mercy.

Venice is introduced as a world dominated by commerce. The play opens with

Question number

Antonio's mysterious sadness, "In sooth, I know not why I am so sad", and Salerio immediately assumes it must be his ships: "Your mind is tossing on the ocean". The metaphor links Antonio's emotions to the sea and to the risks of trade, suggesting that in Venice even feelings are measured in cargo. The Rialto, the city's commercial heart, is where Shylock's resentment has grown: he reminds Antonio that "many a time and oft / In the Rialto you have rated me". The setting of the bond is therefore significant, because the hatred between Christian and Jew is bound up with the marketplace itself. To an Elizabethan audience, Venice was famous as a wealthy, cosmopolitan republic that protected foreign merchants, and Antonio himself acknowledges that "The Duke cannot deny the course of law", because the city's prosperity depends on its reputation for justice to strangers. Yet Venice also confined its Jews to a ghetto, and Shakespeare's play reflects this double image of tolerance and exclusion.

Shylock's house is a setting within this world that reveals the cost of that exclusion. Jessica's complaint, "Our house is hell", is stark, and she suggests that Lancelot's departure removes her only relief from tedium. Shylock commands her to "Lock up my doors" and to ignore the "vile squealing of the wry-necked fife" of the masquers, insisting that "shallow fopp'ry" must not enter his "sober house". The language of locking and enclosure presents the house as a fortress, perhaps reflecting Shylock's defensive position as an outsider in a hostile city. Some audiences see a joyless miser; others see a father protecting a daughter in a society that despises him. Either way, Jessica's escape through the window, disguised as a boy and carrying his ducats, shows the house failing to contain her, and it intensifies Shylock's later bitterness.

Belmont offers a striking contrast. Bassanio first describes it through Portia, "In Belmont is a lady richly left", and soon turns her into a legend: her "sunny locks"

Question number

are like a "golden fleece", making Belmont "Colchos' strand" where "many Jasons come in quest for her". The classical allusion turns Belmont into a mythical destination, though the image of Jason seeking treasure also hints that Bassanio's quest is partly financial. Belmont is not wholly idyllic, however. Portia's first words, "my little body is aweary of this great world", reveal that she too is trapped, bound by her dead father's casket test. Shakespeare's use of Belmont reflects a recurring pattern in his comedies of a retreat from the city into a gentler, pastoral space, yet here even the green world has its rules.

The courtroom in Act 4 is the play's climax, and its formality heightens the drama. The Duke presides, the bond is read, and Shylock sharpens his knife in public, so the setting turns legal procedure into spectacle. Portia's speech "The quality of mercy is not strained" is delivered in a place of strict law, and its gentle imagery of rain falling from heaven contrasts with the rigid language of contracts. The court then becomes the scene of reversal. Portia's "Tarry a little. There is something else" halts the action, and her ruling that the bond gives "no jot of blood" turns the law against Shylock. His punishment, including forced conversion, is meted out in the same public space that he hoped would vindicate him. Modern audiences may find the setting troubling, because the court that claims to stand for justice offers little mercy to the outsider.

Shakespeare ends not in Venice but in Belmont, by moonlight. Lorenzo and Jessica's lyrical exchange, "In such a night as this", and his praise of how "sweet the moonlight sleeps upon this bank", restore harmony through music and poetry. The structural movement from court to garden suggests a return to love and reconciliation, and the ring plot is resolved there. Yet Shylock is absent, and Antonio remains alone. Through its settings, Shakespeare suggests that the harmony of Belmont depends on the harsh world of Venice, and that its happiness

Question number

is not shared by everyone.

16 There is certainly evidence that the relationship of Portia and Bassanio begins in money and is tested by divided loyalties, so the statement has some force. However, I would argue that Shakespeare presents their love as genuine, if imperfect: it grows from a mercenary beginning into a bond that both characters choose freely and fight to keep, and the ring plot finally confirms rather than undermines it.

The strongest case for the statement comes in Act I Scene I. Bassanio explains to Antonio that he has spent beyond his means and needs a way "to get clear of all the debts I owe". His solution is Portia, and his first description of her is "In Belmont is a lady richly left". The fact that her wealth comes before her beauty or virtue is striking, and his comparison of her to a "golden fleece" sought by "many Jasons" casts him as an adventurer seeking treasure. To an Elizabethan audience this would not have been shocking, since marriage among the wealthy was often a financial arrangement, but it does make Bassanio's motives questionable. Some critics also suggest that his deepest bond is with Antonio, who risks his life for him, and Portia herself later calls Antonio Bassanio's "bosom lover", which raises doubts about where his heart truly lies.

Portia's attitude to suitors may also seem shallow. Her mocking catalogue of suitors and her dismissal of Morocco, preferring that he "should shrive me than wive me" if he has "the complexion of a devil", reveal prejudice rather than romantic idealism. Her father's casket test, which she cannot escape, reminds us that she is not free to choose for love at all. In this context the very possibility of "true love" seems limited.

Question number

Yet when Bassanio arrives in Belmont, Shakespeare's language changes. Bassanio's earlier praise that she is "fair" and "Of wondrous virtues" now becomes urgent. The couple speak in a semantic field of torture: Bassanio says he lives "upon the rack", and Portia mirrors his imagery, so their exchange suggests a shared and painful longing. Portia begs, "I pray you, tarry. Pause a day or two / Before you hazard", fearing that "in choosing wrong / I lose your company". She even orders music to play while he chooses, and some readers notice that the song's rhymes on "bred" and "head" echo "lead", as though she is hinting. Whether or not she breaks the rules, her anxiety reveals real feeling.

Bassanio's choice is also significant. He rejects gold and silver, reflecting that "The world is still deceived with ornament", and chooses lead, whose inscription demands that the chooser "give and hazard all he hath". Structurally, the man who came to Belmont for money wins by rejecting outward show, which suggests his growth beyond mercenary motives. His response, "Madam, you have bereft me of all words. / Only my blood speaks to you in my veins", conveys overwhelming emotion: words fail, and the physical image of blood suggests passion that is bodily and sincere.

Portia's speech of surrender, "You see me, Lord Bassanio, where I stand, / Such as I am", is humble and loving, describing herself as an "unlessoned girl". She gives him her house, servants and herself, and commits her spirit to him "as from her lord, her governor, her king". To a modern audience this submission may seem uncomfortable, and it reflects the law of Shakespeare's time, by which a wife's property passed to her husband. Yet her later triumph in the courtroom proves that Portia is anything but unschooled.

Question number

The trial tests their relationship. When Antonio's life is at stake, Portia immediately offers money to save him and then, in disguise, saves him herself. Bassanio, unaware that his wife is present, declares that "life itself, my wife, and all the world" are not worth more to him than Antonio's life, and Portia drily remarks that his wife would give him little thanks. This moment seems to support the statement. However, the ring plot that follows, a familiar comic device in which a disguised heroine tests her lover, allows Portia to expose Bassanio's divided loyalty and then forgive it. When he swears never again to break an oath to her, and Antonio stands surety for his faith, the ring returns as a symbol of renewed trust.

Overall, I disagree with the statement. Shakespeare shows a love that begins with money and is complicated by friendship and social convention, but through the casket test and the ring plot both characters prove their commitment. Their love may not be pure, but it is presented as true.

17

Austen presents Lydia Bennet as a comic figure who becomes a dangerous one. At first she seems merely the loudest and silliest of the Bennet girls, but as the novel progresses Austen uses her to expose the real risks facing young women in Regency society: careless parenting, an education in nothing but flirtation, and a marriage market in which a single mistake could ruin an entire family. Lydia is never punished in the way a moralising novel might punish her, and that, perhaps, is Austen's sharpest point.

Our first impression of Lydia is physical and energetic. She is introduced as "a stout, well-grown girl of fifteen" with "high animal spirits", and the phrase "animal spirits" hints that she is driven by appetite and impulse rather than reason.

Question number

Austen makes clear that this has been encouraged: Lydia is Mrs Bennet's favourite precisely because she resembles her, and Mrs Bennet praises her as more "good-humoured" than her sisters. By letting the youngest daughter "come out" into society before the elder ones are married, Mrs Bennet breaks the usual social order, and Austen's narrator quietly signals that Lydia's confidence is the product of indulgence rather than merit. A Regency reader would recognise that a girl of fifteen chasing officers through Meryton was being exposed to real danger.

Austen's language around Lydia is consistently loud. She is "unabashed, wild, noisy and fearless", a list whose adjectives build in intensity, so that "fearless", usually a virtue, becomes a warning: Lydia has no fear because she has no sense of consequence. Her own speech is full of exclamations and trivial obsessions with officers, balls and bonnets. When she shows off a bonnet and admits "I do not think it is very pretty; but I thought I might as well buy it as not", Austen exposes a careless materialism through Lydia's own words. The casual rhythm of the sentence mirrors the way she treats money, and later people, as things to be picked up and thrown away.

Structurally, Lydia's journey to Brighton is the hinge of the novel. Elizabeth warns her father that if he will not check Lydia's "exuberant spirits" she will soon be "beyond the reach of amendment", and the reader shares Elizabeth's frustration when Mr Bennet ignores her for the sake of a quiet life. Austen therefore makes Lydia's fall partly a judgement on Mr Bennet's detachment: his witty dismissal of his daughters as among "the silliest girls in the country" is amusing early on, but here his irony becomes a moral failure. The elopement with Wickham then shifts the tone of the whole novel from social comedy towards something close to tragedy.

Question number

Austen chooses to let Lydia speak for herself through her letter to Mrs Forster. Its breathless tone, with its claim that she can "hardly write for laughing" and that it will be "a good joke", is deeply ironic, because the reader knows what Lydia cannot see: that Wickham has no intention of marrying her and that her disgrace threatens her sisters' futures too. Mr Collins's chilling letter, suggesting that her death would have been "a blessing" in comparison, shows how society viewed a fallen woman. Under the Marriage Act of 1753 an elopement without a proper wedding left a woman's reputation destroyed, and living with a man outside marriage, as Lydia does in London, was a scandal which would make respectable men avoid the whole Bennet family. Lydia's story therefore makes visible the entailment logic of the novel: with no fortune and no brother to inherit Longbourn, the Bennet girls have only their reputations to offer.

What is most striking is Lydia's lack of remorse. She returns to Longbourn with "easy assurance", flaunting her ring and taking precedence over Jane because she is now "a married woman". Austen invites us to be appalled at her triumph, yet also exposes the hypocrisy of a society which values the mere fact of marriage over its quality. Lydia never learns that Darcy's money bought her husband, and her ignorance is part of the comedy and the tragedy. Her marriage is built on physical attraction alone, and Austen's ending notes that Wickham's affection soon sank into indifference, a sharp contrast with the mutual understanding that Elizabeth and Darcy achieve.

Ultimately, Austen presents Lydia as a foil to Elizabeth. Both are lively and spirited, but Elizabeth's energy is governed by judgement while Lydia's is governed by appetite. Through Lydia, Austen suggests that a woman's happiness in a patriarchal, class-conscious world depends on education, self-knowledge and responsible parents, and that without them high spirits become a kind of

Question number

recklessness that endangers everyone around her.

18 Family is the engine of Pride and Prejudice. In Austen's Regency world a young woman's prospects depended less on her own qualities than on her family's money, connections and behaviour, and the novel explores how families can both trap and protect their members. Austen presents family as a source of embarrassment, pressure and comedy, but also suggests that the best families are not necessarily those linked by blood or rank, but those built on good sense and affection.

The novel's famous opening, "It is a truth universally acknowledged", ironically frames marriage as a family business. The "truth" is really Mrs Bennet's, and Austen immediately shows the Bennet household organising itself around the arrival of Bingley. The cause of this anxiety is structural: Longbourn is entailed on Mr Collins because there is no son, so when Mr Bennet dies his wife and five daughters could be left with very little. In a society where estates passed down the male line and genteel women could not easily earn a living, Mrs Bennet's frantic matchmaking, however comic, is grounded in a real economic fear. Austen makes us laugh at her while understanding why she behaves as she does.

The Bennet parents are presented as a cautionary example of a family built on a poor marriage. Mr Bennet admits he was "captivated by youth and beauty" in choosing his wife, and the narrator reveals that his respect for her soon vanished. Divorce was almost impossible for a family of their class, so instead he retreats to his library and mocks her. Their dialogue in the first chapter, where her excited questions meet his dry evasions, establishes a pattern of comic contrast that runs through the novel. Yet Austen gradually reveals its cost. When Mr Bennet tells Elizabeth that her mother will never see her again if she refuses Mr Collins, "and I

Question number

will never see you again if you do", the balanced antithesis is witty, but it also shows a father who uses irony rather than guidance. His failure to restrain Lydia leads directly to her elopement, the crisis which nearly ruins the whole family.

Family is also shown as a public reputation that each member carries. Darcy's letter blames the Bennets' "total want of propriety", and Elizabeth is forced to admit, painfully, that her mother's vulgarity, Mary's pedantry and her younger sisters' flirtation have damaged Jane's chances with Bingley. Austen structures the novel so that Elizabeth's private judgement of Darcy is complicated by her shame at her own relations. When Lydia elopes, Mr Collins writes that the scandal will harm all the sisters, and in the eyes of society it would. The Bennet girls rise or fall together, which shows how little individual freedom women had.

Austen contrasts the Bennets with other families to explore what family should be. Mr and Mrs Gardiner, though they live in trade near Cheapside, are kind, sensible and supportive. Austen describes Mr Gardiner as "greatly superior to his sister, as well by nature as education", a pointed comparison that suggests worth is not decided by class. It is the Gardiners who take Elizabeth to Derbyshire, act as parents to Jane and Elizabeth, and search for Lydia. Darcy's care for Georgiana, whom he protected from Wickham's attempted seduction, reveals his true character, while Lady Catherine de Bourgh represents family as a tool of status. Her outraged question, "Are the shades of Pemberley to be thus polluted?", treats family as a bloodline to be guarded, and her plan for Darcy to marry her own daughter reveals aristocratic families arranging marriages to consolidate wealth. Mr Collins similarly prizes his "connections with the family of de Bourgh", and his pompous, self-important tone exposes how ridiculous worship of rank can be.

The ending resolves the theme by reshaping family around merit. Elizabeth and

Question number

Darcy's marriage unites a gentry family under threat with a wealthy one, but Austen makes clear that it is founded on mutual respect rather than the impulse that bound Mr and Mrs Bennet. Lady Catherine is eventually reconciled, while the Gardiners, once looked down on, become welcome and loved visitors at Pemberley. Austen's structure therefore moves from a family defined by the entail and embarrassment to an extended family chosen through judgement and affection.

In conclusion, family in Pride and Prejudice is far more than a backdrop. It drives the plot, generates much of the comedy, and reflects the pressures placed on women by inheritance law and social expectation. Austen suggests that families can be foolish and constraining, but that sense, kindness and well-matched marriages can create something better.

19 Lies and deception are woven into the very structure of Great Expectations. Dickens builds his plot around concealed identities, a hidden benefactor and a secret parentage, but the most important deception in the novel is not one practised on Pip by others: it is the lie Pip tells himself about what makes a person valuable. Through this, Dickens exposes a Victorian society that judged by appearances and confused gentility with goodness.

From the opening chapters, deception is linked to guilt. Pip steals food and a file for the convict on the marshes and then has to hide the theft from Joe and Mrs Joe, and the older narrator recalls his terror with comic exaggeration, as if every creak in the house were an accusation. Yet Dickens complicates the moral picture. When Magwitch is recaptured, he claims "I took some wittles, up at the village over yonder", a lie told to protect the boy. The dialect form "wittles" marks him as low and criminal, but the lie itself is an act of loyalty. Dickens thus suggests early

Question number

on that a lie can be more honourable than the respectable truth, a contrast that will run through the novel.

Pip's first visit to Satis House produces his most memorable lies. Unable to admit that Estella called him "common" and mocked his hands and boots, he invents a fantasy of a black velvet coach and flags, in which "Estella waved a blue flag, and I waved a red one". The ridiculous detail is comic, but it is also psychologically revealing: Pip lies because he is ashamed, and the shame is class shame. He later confesses the truth to Joe, who gently advises him that lies will never make him uncommon. Dickens uses this simple episode to plant the theme of self-deception, as Pip already begins to see his own world through Estella's contemptuous eyes.

The central structural deception is the identity of Pip's benefactor. Jaggers's rule is "Take nothing on its looks; take everything on evidence", yet he never corrects Pip's belief that Miss Havisham is responsible, and Miss Havisham deliberately allows the misunderstanding to continue to tease her greedy relatives. Pip builds a whole life on this assumption: he believes he is destined for Estella and rejects Joe and Biddy. Dickens's first-person retrospective narration makes the reader share in the illusion, so the revelation that Magwitch is the source of his fortune shocks us as it shocks Pip. The convict's claim that he is Pip's "second father" turns the fairy-tale structure of the novel upside down. The mature Pip's reflection that "All other swindlers upon earth are nothing to the self-swindlers" is the moral centre of the theme: no one lied to Pip as completely as he lied to himself.

Deception also explains the novel's damaged adults. Compeyson, a gentleman swindler, deceived Miss Havisham, took her money and jilted her on her wedding day, leaving her frozen at the moment of betrayal with the stopped clocks and rotting cake. Her response is to raise Estella as an instrument of deception,

Question number

training her to break men's hearts. Estella, unusually, is honest about her dishonesty: she asks Pip whether he wants her to "deceive and entrap" him as she does others, and tells Miss Havisham "I must be taken as I have been made". Dickens presents her coldness as a learned lie rather than her true nature. Herbert's image that "no varnish can hide the grain of the wood" captures this: false appearances may be applied, but true character always shows through.

Dickens's social criticism is clearest in the trial of Compeyson and Magwitch. As Magwitch later explains, the court treated Compeyson leniently because he looked and spoke like a gentleman, while Magwitch received a harsher sentence. Here Dickens attacks a Victorian legal system in which class shaped justice, and he reveals that the image of the "gentleman" Pip longs to become can itself be a disguise for corruption. Even Wemmick, whose office self obsesses over "portable property" while his home self is warm and loving at Walworth, shows how Victorian commercial life forced people to wear masks.

The form of the novel made deception particularly valuable. Great Expectations was published in weekly instalments in All the Year Round, and Dickens needed secrets and delayed revelations to keep readers buying each issue. The gradual unravelling of Estella's parentage, revealed to be Magwitch and Molly, Jaggers's housekeeper, is a typical sensation-novel twist of the kind Wilkie Collins also exploited.

Overall, lies and deception are essential to Great Expectations. They drive the suspense of the plot, but more importantly they allow Dickens to show that the deepest deceptions are social ones: the belief that money and class make a gentleman. Pip's growth lies in seeing through these lies to recognise the true worth of Joe and Magwitch.

Question number

20

The relationship between Pip and Estella develops from childish humiliation, through obsessive and unrequited longing, to a tentative and chastened equality at the end of the novel. Dickens uses it to trace Pip's moral growth, and to show how both characters are shaped, and damaged, by the adults who adopt them and by a Victorian society obsessed with class.

Their first meeting at Satis House sets the terms of the relationship as one of power and contempt. Estella, though only a child herself, scorns Pip as "common", mocking his coarse hands and thick boots during their game of cards. Dickens shows the impact through Pip's physical reaction: he cries and kicks the brewery wall, and the older narrator admits that he had never thought of being ashamed of his hands before. Her name, meaning "star", suggests something beautiful, distant and cold, always above him. Significantly, Pip's sense of himself changes at once. Back at the forge he feels that Miss Havisham and Estella "were far above the level of such common things" as a kitchen, so Estella becomes the measure by which he judges his own home. In a society where marriage across class lines was rare and frowned upon, Pip's love is bound up from the start with his longing to rise.

As Pip grows, Dickens presents his love as compulsive and self-destructive. He confesses that "I loved her against reason, against promise, against hope, against happiness, against all discouragement that could be". The anaphora of "against" piles up everything good that this love opposes, so that the sentence enacts the obsession it describes. Miss Havisham feeds this, urging him to "Love her, love her, love her!", the repetition sounding more like a curse than a blessing, because she has trained Estella to break men's hearts as revenge for her own jilting. Estella is strikingly honest with him, warning that she has "no heart" in the ordinary sense, but Pip refuses to listen. Through first-person retrospective narration Dickens lets

Question number

the mature Pip recognise this blindness, and the reader sees his expectations of marrying her as a fantasy built on the false belief that Miss Havisham is his benefactor.

The turning point comes when Pip, now aware that Magwitch is his benefactor, declares his love before Estella marries Bentley Drummle. Her reply, "When you say you love me, I know what you mean, as a form of words; but nothing more", is cold and final. The phrase "a form of words" reduces Pip's passion to empty language, and shows that Estella has been taught to understand love only as a social performance. Yet Pip's response is his most generous moment. He tells her she is "part of my existence, part of myself", and that she has been in every scene and every line he has read. The emotional language reveals that his love has become something less selfish: he no longer wants her as a prize but grieves for what she has been made into. Dickens also creates a structural parallel here, as both Pip and Estella have been used by adoptive parents to take revenge on society, Estella by Miss Havisham and Pip by Magwitch.

Dickens deepens the relationship further when Pip discovers that Estella is the daughter of Magwitch and Molly. The proud girl who scorned him as common is herself the child of a convict, and this revelation dismantles the class barrier that seemed to separate them. Dickens suggests that the hierarchy which tormented Pip was always partly an illusion.

The ending shows both characters transformed by suffering. Estella, widowed after an abusive marriage to Drummle, meets Pip in the ruins of Satis House, and admits she has been "bent and broken", but she hopes "into a better shape". The metaphor suggests that pain has softened her coldness, making her capable of feeling at last. The setting is symbolic: the house of revenge has been demolished,

Question number

and love seems to grow from its ashes. Pip's final line, "I saw no shadow of another parting from her", is hopeful but carefully ambiguous, with its double negative of "no shadow" leaving a trace of doubt. Dickens originally wrote a bleaker ending in which they part for good, but revised it after advice from his friend Bulwer-Lytton, perhaps to satisfy Victorian readers who wanted a happier resolution.

Overall, the relationship develops from one of cruelty and inequality to one of mutual understanding. Dickens uses it to show that neither wealth nor social status can bring happiness, and that both Pip and Estella must lose their illusions before they can truly meet as equals.

21 Hawthorne presents the relationship between Arthur Dimmesdale and Roger Chillingworth as a dark parody of friendship: outwardly the bond of a devoted doctor and his saintly patient, secretly the bond of a torturer and his victim. Through it he explores the corrosive power of hidden sin and of revenge, and suggests that Chillingworth's cold violation of another man's heart is a worse crime than the passion that created Pearl.

The relationship is built on secrecy from the start. When Chillingworth arrives in Boston and sees Hester on the scaffold, he conceals the fact that he is her husband and resolves to discover the identity of her lover. The 1640s Puritan colony believed that sin had to be publicly exposed and punished, which is why Hester wears the letter, yet Dimmesdale, a respected minister, stands above her on the balcony and urges her to name the father. This dramatic irony establishes the pattern of the whole relationship: Dimmesdale's public holiness hides private guilt, and Chillingworth's public respectability hides private malice.

Question number

Hawthorne makes the doctor's motives clear through the chapter title "The Leech". The word works on two levels: in the period a leech was a physician, but it is also a bloodsucking parasite. As Dimmesdale's health declines, the townspeople regard Chillingworth's arrival as providential, and the two men eventually live in the same house, developing a kind of "intimacy". Hawthorne's choice of word is unsettling, because their closeness is not affection but surveillance. Chillingworth probes the minister's mind like a scholar searching for truth, and the narrator compares him to "a miner searching for gold", then corrects himself to say he was more like a sexton delving into a grave. The shift from treasure to grave reveals that his investigation is a desecration rather than a cure.

Structurally, Hawthorne charts the relationship through physical transformation. Dimmesdale grows paler and weaker, constantly pressing his hand over his heart, a gesture which becomes a symbol of concealed guilt. Chillingworth, meanwhile, becomes more deformed, and the narrator describes his change as evidence of man's faculty of "transforming himself into a devil" if he takes on a devil's office. The climax of the doctor's investigation comes when he pulls aside the sleeping minister's vestment and sees something on his chest. Hawthorne never says exactly what he sees, but Chillingworth's reaction of wonder, joy and horror is likened to Satan's ecstasy when a soul is lost to heaven. This ambiguity is typical of Hawthorne's romance form: the reader is left to imagine whether a letter is really there, but the moral meaning is plain.

Hawthorne also presents the bond as mutually dependent. Chillingworth's whole identity becomes defined by revenge, and in the forest Dimmesdale finally recognises the truth, declaring that the old man's revenge has been "blacker than my sin" because he has violated "the sanctity of a human heart". This is the

Question number

novel's central moral judgement. Dimmesdale's sin was one of passion, but Chillingworth's is intellectual and cold-blooded. Hawthorne, writing in 1850 and haunted by the memory of his own Puritan ancestors, including a judge at the Salem witch trials, seems to question a culture that prized exposure and punishment above compassion.

The relationship reaches its resolution on the scaffold. When Dimmesdale ascends with Hester and Pearl to confess, Chillingworth tries to stop him and cries "Thou hast escaped me!" The repeated exclamation reveals how completely he depends on his victim's secret: public confession removes the hiding place where revenge could work. Dimmesdale's response, "Thou, too, hast deeply sinned!", is remarkable because he offers forgiveness, placing the two men as fellow sinners before God rather than as hunter and prey. After the minister's death, Chillingworth withers and dies within the year, as if he had nothing left to live for. Hawthorne even reflects that love and hatred may be the same at bottom, since each makes one person dependent on another.

Finally, Chillingworth leaves his fortune to Pearl, an ending that complicates any simple judgement of him. Overall, Hawthorne presents the relationship as a study of how secrecy and vengeance destroy both parties. Dimmesdale is tortured by guilt, but Chillingworth is consumed by hatred, and through their entanglement Hawthorne warns that the desire to punish can become more sinful than the sin itself.

22 Magic is central to The Scarlet Letter, but Hawthorne treats it ambiguously. The Puritans of 1640s Boston believed that witchcraft was the literal work of the devil, while Hawthorne, writing two centuries later, uses hints of the supernatural to

Question number

suggest the hidden workings of sin, guilt and nature. Magic in the novel is therefore both a real fear within the community and a symbolic language through which Hawthorne explores the human heart.

Hawthorne prepares us for this from "The Custom-House", the introduction to the novel. He describes the romance as taking place in a "neutral territory, somewhere between the real world and fairy-land", which licenses the uncanny events that follow. When he places the faded letter on his chest, he claims to feel a burning heat, as if it were red-hot iron, and so the letter enters the novel already charged with mysterious power. He also takes shame upon himself for his Puritan ancestors, one of whom was a judge in the Salem witch trials, and asks that any curse on his family be removed. Magic is thus linked from the outset to the historical persecution of supposed witches.

The scarlet letter itself is repeatedly described in magical terms. When Hester first appears on the scaffold, it has "the effect of a spell, taking her out of the ordinary" relations with humanity and enclosing her in a sphere by herself. The noun "spell" suggests that the punishment works almost supernaturally, cutting her off from society more completely than any prison. Later, townspeople whisper that the letter throws "a lurid gleam" along dark passageways, and in the minister's midnight vigil a meteor appears to trace a great letter A across the sky. Hawthorne presents these readings through rumour and perception, so the reader is never sure whether the letter truly glows or whether guilt and superstition make people see it. This deliberate uncertainty is typical of his romance form.

Pearl is the novel's most magical figure. She is called an "elf-child", and Governor Bellingham compares her to the "children of the Lord of Misrule", associating her with the wild festivity the Puritans had banned. Her play turns sticks and rags

Question number

into "the puppets of Pearl's witchcraft", and Hester sometimes looks into her daughter's eye and sees a "fiend-like" face reflected there. Hawthorne suggests that Pearl, born outside the law, has been enclosed by destiny within "an inviolable circle", separate from other children. Structurally, she appears as a baby, then aged three and aged seven, numbers which some associate with magic. Yet her magic is not evil. In the forest the sunlight flees from Hester but lingers on Pearl, and the wild creatures seem to accept her as one of their own. This reflects Hawthorne's interest in Transcendentalism, which saw a natural, spiritual magic in the living world, and contrasts the free wilderness with the rigid town.

By contrast, Mistress Hibbins represents the darker magic of the Puritan imagination. Governor Bellingham's sister, she openly invites Hester to meet the "Black Man" in the forest, the Puritan image of the devil. Hester's refusal is telling: she says that if Pearl had been taken from her, she would have gone and "signed my name in the Black Man's book" in her own blood. Hawthorne implies that Pearl literally saves Hester from witchcraft and despair. There is irony, too, in the community's treatment of the two women: Hester is shamed publicly for one sin, while Mistress Hibbins is tolerated, probably because of her brother's status. Hawthorne based her on the real Ann Hibbins, executed for witchcraft in Boston in 1656, a reminder that the novel's tolerance is only temporary and that Puritan fear of magic could be lethal.

Magic is also linked to Chillingworth. Held captive by Native Americans, he learned their knowledge of herbs, and the townspeople begin to suspect that his skill comes from darker sources. His face seems to grow uglier and darker as he probes Dimmesdale, and Hester accuses him in language that suggests a supernatural invasion of the mind: "You burrow and rankle in his heart". After Dimmesdale's death, some witnesses believe the letter on his chest was produced by

Question number

Chillingworth's poisonous arts. Hawthorne offers several explanations without choosing one, leaving the reader to decide whether evil here is magical or simply human.

Ultimately, magic in The Scarlet Letter works as a mirror for the characters' inner lives. The Puritans see witchcraft everywhere because they fear sin, while Hawthorne uses the supernatural to reveal guilt, passion and the power of nature. By keeping every magical event ambiguous, he suggests that the real enchantments in the novel are those created by the human heart.