Please check the examination details below before entering your candidate information

Candidate surname	Other names

Pearson Edexcel International GCSE

Centre Number | | | | | |

Candidate Number | | | | |

Wednesday 16 January 2019

Morning (Time: 1 hour 30 minutes) | Paper Reference **4ET1/02**

English Literature

Paper 2: Modern Drama and Literary Heritage Texts

You must have:
Question Booklet (enclosed)

Total Marks

Instructions

- Use **black** ink or ball-point pen.
- **Fill in the boxes** at the top of this page with your name, centre number and candidate number.
- Answer **ONE** question from each section.
- Answer the questions in the spaces provided
  – *there may be more space than you need.*

Information

- The total mark for this paper is 60.
- The marks for **each** question are shown in brackets
  – *use this as a guide as to how much time to spend on each question.*
- Copies of the *Pearson Edexcel International GCSE English Anthology* may **not** be brought into the examination.
- Dictionaries may **not** be used in this examination.
- Clean copies of your set texts may be taken into the examination.

Advice

- Read each question carefully before you start to answer it.
- Check your answers if you have time at the end.

Turn over ▶

P60318A

©2019 Pearson Education Ltd.

1/1/1/1/1/1

P 6 0 3 1 8 A 0 1 1 6

Pearson

stemup.co.uk

SECTION A: Modern Drama

Indicate which question you are answering by marking a cross in the box ☒. If you change your mind, put a line through the box ☒ and then indicate your new question with a cross ☒.

Chosen question number:

Question 1 ☒ **Question 2** ☒ **Question 3** ☒

Question 4 ☒ **Question 5** ☒ **Question 6** ☒

Question 7 ☒ **Question 8** ☒ **Question 9** ☒

Question 10 ☒

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

stemup.co.uk

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA
DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA
DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

stemup.co.uk

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

stemup.co.uk

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA
DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA
DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

stemup.co.uk

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

stemup.co.uk

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA
DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA
DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

stemup.co.uk

TOTAL FOR SECTION A = 30 MARKS

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA
DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA
DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

stemup.co.uk

SECTION B: Literary Heritage Texts

Indicate which question you are answering by marking a cross in the box ☒. If you change your mind, put a line through the box ☒ and then indicate your new question with a cross ☒.

Chosen question number:

Question 11 ☒	**Question 12** ☒	**Question 13** ☒
Question 14 ☒	**Question 15** ☒	**Question 16** ☒
Question 17 ☒	**Question 18** ☒	**Question 19** ☒
Question 20 ☒	**Question 21** ☒	**Question 22** ☒

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

stemup.co.uk

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

stemup.co.uk

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA
DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA
DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

stemup.co.uk

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

stemup.co.uk

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA
DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA
DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

stemup.co.uk

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

stemup.co.uk

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA
DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA
DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

TOTAL FOR SECTION B = 30 MARKS
TOTAL FOR PAPER = 60 MARKS

stemup.co.uk

BLANK PAGE

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA
DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA
DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

stemup.co.uk

Pearson Edexcel International GCSE

Wednesday 16 January 2019

Morning (Time: 1 hour 30 minutes) | Paper Reference **4ET1/02**

English Literature

Paper 2: Modern Drama and Literary Heritage Texts

Question Booklet
Do not return this booklet with your Answer Booklet.
Clean copies of your set texts may be taken into the examination.

Turn over ▶

P60318A

©2019 Pearson Education Ltd.

1/1/1/1/1/1

P 6 0 3 1 8 A

Pearson

stemup.co.uk

BLANK PAGE

stemup.co.uk

Answer TWO questions:

Answer ONE question from Section A and ONE question from Section B.

SECTION A: Modern Drama	**Page**
A View from the Bridge, Arthur Miller	4
An Inspector Calls, J B Priestley	4
The Curious Incident of the Dog in the Night-time, Mark Haddon (adapted by Simon Stephens)	5
Kindertransport, Diane Samuels	5
Death and the King's Horseman, Wole Soyinka	6
SECTION B: Literary Heritage Texts	
Romeo and Juliet, William Shakespeare	7
Macbeth, William Shakespeare	7
The Merchant of Venice, William Shakespeare	8
Pride and Prejudice, Jane Austen	8
Great Expectations, Charles Dickens	9
The Scarlet Letter, Nathaniel Hawthorne	9

stemup.co.uk

SECTION A: Modern Drama

Answer ONE question on ONE text from this section.

You should spend 45 minutes on your chosen question.

***A View from the Bridge*, Arthur Miller**

EITHER

1 Explore the importance of Alfieri in the play.

You must consider language, form and structure in your answer.

(Total for Question 1 = 30 marks)

OR

2 Eddie: 'You can quicker get back a million dollars that was stole than a word that you gave away.'

Explore Miller's presentation of betrayal in this play.

You must consider language, form and structure in your answer.

(Total for Question 2 = 30 marks)

***An Inspector Calls*, J B Priestley**

EITHER

3 Arthur Birling describes himself as 'a hard-headed, practical man of business'.

How far do you agree with this view?

You must consider language, form and structure in your answer.

(Total for Question 3 = 30 marks)

OR

4 How does Priestley present the importance of responsibility in *An Inspector Calls*?

You must consider language, form and structure in your answer.

(Total for Question 4 = 30 marks)

stemup.co.uk

***The Curious Incident of the Dog in the Night-time*, Mark Haddon**

(adapted by Simon Stephens)

EITHER

5 How is Siobhan presented in this play?

You must consider language, form and structure in your answer.

(Total for Question 5 = 30 marks)

OR

6 Christopher: 'Does that mean I can do anything?'

Explore the theme of adventure in *The Curious Incident of the Dog in the Night-time*.

You must consider language, form and structure in your answer.

(Total for Question 6 = 30 marks)

***Kindertransport*, Diane Samuels**

EITHER

7 How does Samuels present feelings of guilt in *Kindertransport*?

You must consider language, form and structure in your answer.

(Total for Question 7 = 30 marks)

OR

8 Explore the presentation of Faith, Evelyn's daughter, in this play.

You must consider language, form and structure in your answer.

(Total for Question 8 = 30 marks)

stemup.co.uk

***Death and the King's Horseman*, Wole Soyinka**

EITHER

9 'Elesin is a weak man who deserves his fate.'

How far do you agree with this statement about Elesin?

You must consider language, form and structure in your answer.

(Total for Question 9 = 30 marks)

OR

10 Explore the theme of religion in *Death and the King's Horseman*.

You must consider language, form and structure in your answer.

(Total for Question 10 = 30 marks)

TOTAL FOR SECTION A = 30 MARKS

stemup.co.uk

SECTION B: Literary Heritage Texts

Answer ONE question on ONE text from this section.

You should spend 45 minutes on your chosen question.

***Romeo and Juliet*, William Shakespeare**

EITHER

11 How is Friar Lawrence presented as an important character in *Romeo and Juliet*?

You must consider language, form and structure and refer to the context of the play in your answer.

(Total for Question 11 = 30 marks)

OR

12 Explore the theme of death in this play.

You must consider language, form and structure and refer to the context of the play in your answer.

(Total for Question 12 = 30 marks)

***Macbeth*, William Shakespeare**

EITHER

13 Explore the theme of loyalty in *Macbeth*.

You must consider language, form and structure and refer to the context of the play in your answer.

(Total for Question 13 = 30 marks)

OR

14 Explore the significance of Macduff and his family in the play.

You must consider language, form and structure and refer to the context of the play in your answer.

(Total for Question 14 = 30 marks)

stemup.co.uk

***The Merchant of Venice*, William Shakespeare**

EITHER

15 Explore the theme of friendship in *The Merchant of Venice*.

You must consider language, form and structure and refer to the context of the play in your answer.

(Total for Question 15 = 30 marks)

OR

16 How are Jessica and Lorenzo presented in *The Merchant of Venice*?

You must consider language, form and structure and refer to the context of the play in your answer.

(Total for Question 16 = 30 marks)

***Pride and Prejudice*, Jane Austen**

EITHER

17 How does Austen present the character of Charlotte Lucas in the novel?

You must consider language, form and structure and refer to the context of the novel in your answer.

(Total for Question 17 = 30 marks)

OR

18 Explore the importance of wealth in *Pride and Prejudice*.

You must consider language, form and structure and refer to the context of the novel in your answer.

(Total for Question 18 = 30 marks)

stemup.co.uk

***Great Expectations*, Charles Dickens**

EITHER

19 Explore the importance of family in *Great Expectations*.

You must consider language, form and structure and refer to the context of the novel in your answer.

(Total for Question 19 = 30 marks)

OR

20 How does Dickens present the character of Magwitch in the novel?

You must consider language, form and structure and refer to the context of the novel in your answer.

(Total for Question 20 = 30 marks)

***The Scarlet Letter*, Nathaniel Hawthorne**

EITHER

21 How far do you agree that the ending of *The Scarlet Letter* is successful?

You must consider language, form and structure and refer to the context of the novel in your answer.

(Total for Question 21 = 30 marks)

OR

22 'My Little Pearl!' (Hester)

Explore Hawthorne's presentation of the relationship between Pearl and her mother, Hester, in this novel.

You must consider language, form and structure and refer to the context of the novel in your answer.

(Total for Question 22 = 30 marks)

TOTAL FOR SECTION B = 30 MARKS
TOTAL FOR PAPER = 60 MARKS

stemup.co.uk

BLANK PAGE

stemup.co.uk

BLANK PAGE

BLANK PAGE

stemup.co.uk

Surname	StemUp Model Solution
Answer booklet	Write the question number in the left-hand margin at the start of each answer.

Question number

1

Alfieri is arguably the most important figure in A View from the Bridge, even though he takes almost no part in the action. Miller makes him both the storyteller and the conscience of the play: he frames Eddie's tragedy, warns him, and finally judges him, yet he is unable to change a single event. His importance lies in this painful combination of understanding and powerlessness, which turns a story about a Brooklyn longshoreman into a tragedy with the inevitability of an ancient myth.

Structurally, Alfieri controls how the audience receives the play. He opens it, speaking directly to us from outside the action, and because the whole story is told as a flashback, we know from the start that something terrible has already happened. His first speech links Eddie's case to the distant past, imagining that "another lawyer, quite differently dressed" in Sicily once heard the same complaint and "sat there as powerless as I, and watched it run its bloody course." The adjective "bloody" foreshadows violence before we have even met Eddie, and the phrase "run its course" suggests a disease or a river that cannot be stopped once it begins. Miller uses Alfieri like the chorus of a Greek tragedy, so that the audience watches Eddie with a sense of dread rather than suspense: the question is not whether he will fall, but how.

Alfieri also gives the play its setting and its moral framework. He describes Red Hook as "the gullet of New York swallowing the tonnage of the world", a harsh, animal metaphor that makes the neighbourhood sound hungry and brutal. At the same time, he explains that the people here distrust official law and prefer older, unwritten codes. He therefore stands on the "bridge" of the title, an Italian-born

Question number

American lawyer who understands both the law of the courts and the instinctive justice of the community. This makes him the perfect interpreter for the audience, because he can see why Eddie's betrayal is legal yet unforgivable.

Within the action, Alfieri's two meetings with Eddie are turning points. Miller has him describe Eddie through striking similes: "His eyes were like tunnels" and his feeling was "a passion that had moved into his body, like a stranger." The tunnel image suggests darkness and a single direction with no way out, while the "stranger" implies that Eddie is possessed by something he does not recognise in himself. Alfieri sees what Eddie cannot. His gentle warning that sometimes love becomes "too much, and it goes where it mustn't" is tactful, almost euphemistic, because he is circling a truth that Eddie will not hear. When Eddie returns, Alfieri's language becomes more urgent and more absolute: "You won't have a friend in the world, Eddie!" The exclamation and the sweeping phrase "in the world" show that he understands the scale of the isolation that betrayal will bring. His natural imagery, that "a river will drown you if you buck it now", presents Catherine's marriage as an unstoppable force of nature, and Eddie's resistance as self-destruction. Yet Eddie leaves and makes the phone call, so Alfieri's wisdom only intensifies the tragedy: we feel the waste of a warning ignored.

In Act Two, Alfieri's importance extends to Marco. He secures bail only when Marco promises not to harm Eddie, and he insists that "Only God makes justice." This short, firm sentence sets American law against Marco's Sicilian code of honour, and the fact that Marco breaks his promise shows the limits of Alfieri's world. The lawyer represents reason and compromise, but the play's climax belongs to passion and pride.

Finally, Alfieri delivers the play's verdict. His closing speech is surprisingly tender.

Question number

He admits that most of the time "we settle for half", the sensible compromise he praised at the beginning, yet he confesses a kind of admiration for Eddie because he "allowed himself to be wholly known." This complicates the audience's response: Eddie has done something shameful, but Alfieri recognises a tragic grandeur in a man who could not live by halves. His respect, which he admits is mixed with alarm, leaves us uncomfortable, unsure whether to condemn Eddie or mourn him.

Alfieri is therefore essential, not because he acts, but because he sees. He shapes the structure, raises Eddie to the level of tragic hero, and voices the moral questions at the heart of the play, while his powerlessness reminds the audience how little reason can do against overwhelming feeling.

2 Miller presents betrayal as the ultimate crime in A View from the Bridge, worse in the eyes of the Red Hook community than any breach of the official law. Eddie's own words, "You can quicker get back a million dollars that was stole than a word that you gave away", are deeply ironic, because the man who explains the sacredness of loyalty becomes the play's great betrayer. Through structure, foreshadowing and the shifting language of honour and "name", Miller shows how betrayal destroys not only its victims but the betrayer himself.

The theme is planted early. When the cousins are about to arrive, Eddie warns Catherine and Beatrice to say nothing, insisting that "if everybody keeps his mouth shut nothin' can happen". The image of the shut mouth turns silence into protection. He then tells the story of Vinny Bolzano, the boy who "snitched to the Immigration" and whose family dragged him down the stairs; afterwards "They spit on him in the street". The physical violence and public humiliation show the community's justice in action, and the colloquial "snitched" carries contempt.

Question number

Structurally, this anecdote is a clear example of foreshadowing: the audience is shown in miniature exactly what will happen to Eddie. His hyperbolic comparison of a stolen "million dollars" with a "word" given away makes trust more valuable than money, and because Eddie speaks it so confidently, the audience later feels the full horror of his hypocrisy.

Miller also explores betrayal on a more personal, emotional level. Eddie experiences Catherine's love for Rodolfo as a betrayal of himself. His repeated claims that Rodolfo is "only bowin' to his passport" try to reframe the romance as a deception, so that Eddie can see himself as the protector rather than the jealous rival. When Catherine decides to leave, his blunt command "You ain't goin' nowheres" reveals possessiveness rather than care. Beatrice, too, is betrayed. Her marriage has been emotionally and physically neglected, and her outburst "You want somethin' else, Eddie, and you can never have her!" forces the hidden truth into the open. The short, accusing sentence exposes Eddie's feelings for Catherine as a betrayal of his wife that began long before the phone call.

The central act of betrayal is placed at the climax of the play's structure. Alfieri's warning, "You won't have a friend in the world, Eddie!", predicts the consequences precisely, yet Eddie walks straight to a phone booth. Miller makes the moment quietly devastating: a small, ordinary action that destroys a family's hopes. The arrest of Marco and Rodolfo, with two other illegal immigrants hiding upstairs, makes the betrayal public and ugly. Marco's accusation "That one! I accuse that one!" is a powerful piece of drama because it reverses the usual direction of justice: the officer is irrelevant, and the community, watching in silence and turning away from Eddie, delivers the real verdict. Marco spits in Eddie's face, a gesture that deliberately echoes the treatment of Vinny Bolzano and shows that Eddie has become what he once despised.

Question number

Betrayal in this play is closely tied to the idea of a "name". Eddie becomes obsessed with his reputation, complaining that "Marco's got my name", and in the final scene he demands Marco give it back. The noun suggests that identity in Red Hook is a public possession, given by the community and taken away by it. Ironically, Eddie wants the honour he has already sold. His desperate final confrontation, in which he pulls a knife and is killed with his own weapon, is a fitting structural irony: the betrayer is destroyed by the instrument he raised against another.

Miller complicates the theme further by suggesting that betrayal can be committed by those who feel wronged. Marco breaks his promise to Alfieri that he will not harm Eddie, choosing his own code of vengeance over the law that bailed him out. Alfieri's insistence that "Only God makes justice" is ignored, which suggests that betrayal breeds further betrayal in a chain that ends only in death.

Ultimately, Miller presents betrayal as both a social and a personal tragedy. Eddie betrays his community, his wife and the cousins he sheltered, but most of all he betrays himself, the man who once spoke so proudly about keeping one's word. By framing the story through Alfieri and foreshadowing it with Vinny Bolzano, Miller makes Eddie's fall feel inevitable, and leaves the audience reflecting on how easily passion can override the principles we believe we live by.

3 Arthur Birling certainly sees himself as "a hard-headed, practical man of business", and in a narrow sense he is one: he is driven by profit, status and self-interest. However, I only partly agree with his view. Priestley undermines the label at every turn, showing that Birling's "practical" mind is actually blinkered, insecure and

Question number

morally short-sighted, so that the phrase becomes less a description of his strengths than a mask for his limitations.

At the start of the play, Birling's business sense is clear. Even at his daughter's engagement dinner he turns the celebration into a commercial opportunity, hoping for a time "when Crofts and Birlings are no longer competing but are working together" for "lower costs and higher prices". The language of the boardroom intrudes on a family occasion, suggesting that for Birling even marriage is a merger. His treatment of Eva Smith confirms the hard-headedness. He sacked her for leading a strike over a few shillings, explaining that "it's my duty to keep labour costs down" and that if he did not "come down sharply" on such people, "they'd soon be asking for the earth". The abstract noun "duty" is revealing: he presents ruthlessness as a responsibility, but only to profit. In this respect, his self-description is accurate.

Yet Priestley quickly makes us question how "practical" Birling's judgement really is. His long speeches in Act One are full of confident predictions that the audience knows to be wrong. He dismisses the possibility of war and describes the Titanic as "unsinkable, absolutely unsinkable". The repetition and the absolute adverb show his arrogance, while dramatic irony turns his certainty into foolishness. A truly practical businessman would read the world accurately; Birling simply sees what suits him. Priestley uses this irony to make the audience distrust everything else he says, including his "hard-headed" philosophy that "a man has to mind his own business and look after himself and his own". When he mocks the idea that we are all "mixed up together like bees in a hive", the simile is meant to sound ridiculous, but the Inspector's arrival interrupts him at once, a structural choice that quietly mocks his confidence.

Question number

The label also hides a social insecurity that is anything but hard. The opening stage directions describe him as "heavy-looking, rather portentous" and "rather provincial in his speech", suggesting a man who is weighty and self-important but not quite polished. He is eager to impress Gerald, mentioning that the port is the same as Gerald's father buys and hinting about a possible knighthood. His wife even corrects him for complimenting the cook. These details reveal that Birling's self-image is partly a performance: he wants to be seen as a solid man of business because he is anxious about being looked down on by the Crofts.

Under the Inspector's questioning, Birling's practicality shrinks into self-protection. His reaction to the investigation is always about consequences rather than morality. When Eric's theft is revealed, he is more outraged about the money than about the death of a young woman, and he worries about "a public scandal". His offer, "I'd give thousands", which he immediately repeats for emphasis, shows that his first instinct is to solve a moral problem with money, which is a kind of practicality that misses the point entirely. Priestley exposes how limited this mindset is: it can calculate costs, but not guilt.

The structure of Act Three completes the attack on his self-image. Once it seems the Inspector may be a hoax, Birling quickly returns to his old confidence, mocking the "famous younger generation" and laughing with relief. Sheila and Eric have learned something; Birling has learned nothing. Then the final telephone call announces that a girl has died and an inspector is on his way. The cyclical ending leaves Birling stunned, and his "practical" belief that everything can be managed collapses in the final moment.

In conclusion, Birling is hard-headed in that he is ruthless and self-interested, but Priestley shows that he is far less practical than he believes. His judgement is

Question number

wrong about the world, his confidence covers insecurity, and his business mind cannot grasp moral responsibility. The description ultimately reveals Birling's blindness more than his strength, and Priestley invites the audience to see that a man who values only profit is not wise but dangerously limited.

4 Responsibility is the moral centre of An Inspector Calls. Priestley presents it as something every individual owes to others, not only to family or business, and he structures the play as a kind of trial in which each character is tested and either accepts or refuses it. The Inspector's message, "We are members of one body. We are responsible for each other", is set against the Birlings' selfishness, and the play's ending suggests that refusing responsibility will bring terrible consequences.

Priestley first establishes the opposite view through Arthur Birling. Before the Inspector arrives, Birling lectures Eric and Gerald that "a man has to mind his own business and look after himself and his own". The phrase "his own" limits responsibility to family and property. He sneers at the idea of everyone looking after everyone else "like bees in a hive", a simile meant to sound absurd, yet the image of a hive actually suggests cooperation and shared survival, so Priestley allows the audience to see the wisdom Birling mocks. Crucially, the doorbell rings at this exact moment. This structural interruption implies that the Inspector has arrived to answer Birling's philosophy.

The Inspector's method then makes responsibility a chain. He questions the characters one at a time, linking each person's action to the next stage of Eva Smith's decline. Birling sacked her for asking for higher wages and still insists he "can't accept any responsibility". Sheila had her dismissed from Milwards out of jealousy and vanity. Gerald kept her as his mistress and then ended the affair. Mrs

Question number

Birling refused her charity, and Eric got her pregnant and stole money to support her. This episodic, almost detective-story structure builds tension but also teaches the audience that responsibility is collective: as the Inspector puts it, "each of you helped to kill her". The verb "helped" is careful, since no single person is guilty, yet everyone shares the blame.

Priestley uses the characters' responses to divide them. Sheila is the first to accept responsibility, saying she will "never, never do it again to anybody". The repetition of "never" shows sincere remorse, and her later warnings to her mother show her developing moral insight. Eric, too, eventually admits that "the fact remains that I did what I did". In contrast, Mrs Birling is the play's most striking refusal. She declares that the father of the child should be found and blamed: "It's his responsibility." This is a brilliant use of dramatic irony, because the audience realises before she does that the father is Eric. Without knowing it, she is condemning her own son, and the moment shows how refusing responsibility can rebound on those who deny it. Her pride is also clear in her claim that she accepts no blame at all.

The Inspector's language gives responsibility a moral and almost religious weight. He reminds Birling that "public men" have "responsibilities as well as privileges", balancing two abstract nouns so that power and duty are inseparable. His final speech is the climax of the theme. The polysyndeton of "millions and millions and millions of Eva Smiths and John Smiths" expands one girl's death into a vision of countless ordinary people, while the common names suggest that anyone could be Eva. He ends with a prophecy that if people do not learn this lesson, they will be taught it "in fire and blood and anguish". The imagery is apocalyptic, like the warning at the end of a sermon, and his sudden exit leaves the words hanging in the air.

Question number

The final act tests whether the lesson has been learned. When Gerald suggests the Inspector was not real, Birling and Mrs Birling rush to escape responsibility, treating the evening as a joke. Gerald's comfortable "Everything's all right now, Sheila" shows how easily they return to complacency. Sheila and Eric, however, recognise that the truth of their actions does not depend on who the Inspector was. Priestley uses this split between the generations to show that responsibility is a choice of conscience, not a matter of legal proof.

Finally, the telephone rings and a real inspector is announced. The cyclical structure suggests that those who refuse responsibility will have to face judgement again, perhaps more harshly. Priestley's presentation of responsibility is therefore urgent and didactic: through the Inspector's voice, the chain-like structure and the ironic ending, he insists that we are all bound to each other, and that ignoring this truth has consequences.

5 In Simon Stephens' adaptation, Siobhan is far more than Christopher's teacher. She is presented as his calm, trusted guide, the person who understands him best, and also as a vital dramatic device, because she reads his book aloud and so becomes a bridge between Christopher's mind and the audience. Through her, Stephens turns a first-person novel into a play while also showing what patient, respectful care looks like.

From the opening scenes, Siobhan's main function is as a narrator. The play is framed by the idea that Christopher has written a book about his investigation, and Siobhan reads it. She often speaks in Christopher's first-person voice, for example describing how he likes to climb into the airing cupboard and "slide in

Question number

beside the boiler" when he wants to be alone. This is an unusual and powerful choice. Christopher finds it hard to explain his feelings in conversation, yet through Siobhan his inner thoughts are spoken clearly and calmly. The audience therefore gains access to his fears and reasoning, such as his chilling deduction after learning the truth: "Father had murdered Wellington. That meant he could murder me." Spoken in Siobhan's measured voice, the flat logic of these short sentences becomes even more disturbing, because it shows how literally and seriously Christopher processes the world.

Siobhan is also presented as someone who knows Christopher deeply and respects his way of thinking. She does not try to force him to be like other people; instead she asks questions that help him understand himself. When she checks that he knows "Sherlock Holmes isn't a real person", her gentle questioning tag shows care without condescension: she is making sure he is safe in his detective fantasy rather than mocking it. Unlike many adults in the play, she never shouts, lies or breaks his trust, which matters enormously because both of Christopher's parents have deceived him. Structurally, she becomes the one constant in a story where his family relationships are falling apart.

This role becomes most important during Christopher's journey to London. The stage is filled with overwhelming noise, crowds and signs, but Siobhan's voice repeatedly cuts through the chaos. Her instructions, such as "In your head imagine a big red line across the floor", are simple, visual and practical, offering him a strategy rather than reassurance. Stephens presents her as a voice inside Christopher's head, which suggests that her teaching has become part of how he copes. The contrast between the loud, physical staging and her steady voice dramatises the difference between the frightening outside world and the inner calm she has helped him build.

Question number

Siobhan's care is also shown in small, human moments. When Christopher returns to school after running away to his mother, she asks him "Are you ok?" The simplicity of the question is touching, because it shows she is concerned first with his wellbeing rather than with what happened. She also helps him to fulfil his ambition of taking A level Maths. Her question "How's your brain when you think about Maths?" is carefully chosen: rather than asking how he feels in general, which he might find hard to answer, she frames it in terms he understands. This shows her skill as a teacher and her deep knowledge of the way he thinks.

In the second half, Stephens makes Siobhan even more significant through metatheatre. She encourages Christopher to turn his book into a play, so the second act becomes a performance of the very story we are watching. Christopher comments on how the scenes should be done, and Siobhan helps to manage the performance. This structural device emphasises that the play is Christopher's own creation and that Siobhan has empowered him to tell his story in his own way. She even resists his wish to explain his maths answer, suspecting the audience may not want to hear it, which leads to the postscript after the curtain call. This gently comic moment shows the warmth and honesty of their relationship.

Finally, it is Siobhan whom Christopher asks, "Does that mean I can do anything?" The play ends without a simple answer, but the fact that he asks her shows how much he relies on her judgement and belief in him. Siobhan is therefore presented as both a caring mentor and the key to the play's form, a figure who gives Christopher a voice and helps the audience see the world as he does.

6 The final question of the play, "Does that mean I can do anything?", captures the

Question number

spirit of adventure that drives The Curious Incident of the Dog in the Night-time. For most people, Christopher's adventures would seem small: investigating a neighbour's dog, catching a train, sitting an exam. Yet Stephens presents them as epic quests, because for Christopher each one means facing a world that is noisy, confusing and frightening. Adventure in this play is therefore less about distance travelled than about courage, independence and growth.

The first adventure is a detective story. Discovering Wellington's body with a garden fork through it, Christopher decides, "I am going to find out who really killed Wellington and make it a project." The word "project" is revealing: he frames adventure in the orderly language of school work, which makes it feel manageable to him. His model is Sherlock Holmes, and the play borrows the shape of a mystery story, with clues, suspects and a hidden truth. For Christopher the investigation forces him to break his own rules. He tells a neighbour, "I don't talk to strangers. But I'm doing detective work." The contrast between the two short sentences shows the adventure pushing him beyond his comfort zone, as the role of detective gives him permission to be brave.

Stephens then uses structure to turn this small mystery into a much larger journey. The discovery of his mother's letters, hidden in a shirt box in his father's room, is a turning point: the detective adventure reveals not only who killed the dog but that his father has lied about his mother's death. Once he learns that his father killed Wellington, Christopher no longer feels safe, and his decision to travel to London alone is born out of fear as much as daring. This makes the adventure more complex: it is not a carefree escapade but a necessary flight.

The journey to London is presented as the play's most dramatic and theatrical adventure. Stephens fills the stage with an overwhelming collage of sounds and

Question number

signs, such as "Sock Shop" and "Millie's Cookies". These fragments of everyday advertising, which most people ignore, are presented as a bombardment, allowing the audience to experience the station as Christopher does. The staging, with movement, light and voices crowding in, turns an ordinary journey into a dangerous quest through unknown territory. Christopher hides from the policeman on the train, and later climbs down onto the Underground tracks to rescue his pet rat, Toby. The Man with Socks begs him, "Mate, please, you're going to get yourself killed." This moment is the dramatic climax of the adventure, where excitement turns into real physical danger, and the audience's tension is heightened by the approaching train.

Christopher's sense of adventure is also linked to space and the vastness of the universe. He dreams of being an astronaut, and his reflection that "some of the stars don't exist anymore" because their light has taken so long to reach us gives the play an epic, almost cosmic scale. Being alone in a small capsule far from other people appeals to him, which suggests that his idea of adventure is shaped by his need for order and solitude. Stephens uses these references to show that Christopher's imagination is enormous, even if his everyday life is tightly controlled.

The final adventure is intellectual. Taking A level Maths early, when the headteacher points out that nobody has ever done so at the school before, is presented as another challenge. Christopher's confident ambition, "I'm going to get an A grade", shows how far his self-belief has grown. Structurally, this achievement leads into the closing moments, where he lists what he has done, going to London alone, solving the mystery and finding his mother, before asking whether it means he can do anything. The repetition of the question suggests both excitement and uncertainty; he is looking forward to future adventures but still seeks reassurance. Leaving the question open allows the audience to decide,

Question number

perhaps hopefully, that the answer is yes.

Even after the play ends, Stephens adds a final adventure in the postscript, where Christopher returns to explain his exam answer and triumphantly proves that the triangle is "RIGHT". The capitals convey his joy. Adventure in the play, then, is presented as a journey of self-discovery: each challenge Christopher overcomes expands his world, and by the end the boy who feared strangers believes the future might be limitless.

7 Guilt runs through Kindertransport like a hidden inheritance. Samuels presents it as something that cannot be cleaned away or locked in an attic: Eva's guilt at surviving and at rejecting her mother shapes the woman Evelyn becomes, damages her relationship with Faith, and is even shared by Helga and Lil. By weaving past and present together on one stage, Samuels shows that guilt is not a single feeling but a burden passed down through three generations of women.

The play's structure is central to this presentation. From the opening, the 1930s scenes of Helga preparing Eva for the journey to England are placed alongside the 1980s scenes in which Evelyn helps Faith pack to leave home. The parallel makes us see two mothers and two daughters at a moment of separation, and it creates dramatic irony, because the audience slowly realises that Evelyn is the grown-up Eva. Helga's careful tenderness as she teaches Eva practical skills for the journey makes Evelyn's later coldness all the more painful. The love of the past haunts the present, and the audience begins to sense the guilt that Evelyn has never confronted.

Evelyn's guilt is shown most clearly through her behaviour. She is controlled,

Question number

anxious and obsessively tidy, and her constant cleaning suggests a desperate attempt to keep herself pure and orderly. Samuels uses glass as a recurring image of fragility. Evelyn's metaphor, "A chipped glass is ruined forever", suggests that she sees damage as permanent and unforgivable. On one level she is talking about objects, but on another she is speaking about herself and about her relationship with Helga: once broken, it can never be mended. The image reveals a mind convinced that the past cannot be repaired, which is why she has tried to bury it.

Eva's gradual transformation into Evelyn is presented as both survival and betrayal, and this is the root of her guilt. As she grows up in England she abandons the Jewish traditions her mother asks her to keep. When Helga's letter asks her to remember "The Passover story", Eva makes excuses, saying she cannot ask Mrs Miller to do a seder. The polite excuse hides a growing distance from her identity, and later she converts to Christianity and changes her name. Similarly, when she later tries to sell her jewellery, she says, "I don't want these on me any more." The phrase "on me" suggests that the jewels, gifts from her family, feel like a physical weight, as if her heritage is something to be removed from her body. Samuels presents these choices sympathetically, as ways for a frightened child to survive, but they also create the guilt that will torment her.

The most powerful dramatisation of guilt comes when Helga, who has survived the camps, finally arrives in England to take her daughter to New York. Seventeen-year-old Eva refuses to go with her. Samuels heightens the pain of this moment by letting Evelyn, Lil and Faith watch the scene from the present, breaking the boundary between past and present. The audience sees Evelyn witnessing her own rejection of her mother, which suggests that she relives this moment endlessly. Helga's flat, devastating account of her husband's death, "He was sick and they put him in line for the showers", adds another layer of survivor

Question number

guilt, because Eva was safe while her parents suffered. The understated language makes the horror more shocking, and it is clear that Helga, too, carries guilt for losing him and for sending her daughter away.

Guilt is also shared by Lil and Faith. Lil has kept Evelyn's secret for years, and when Faith questions her about her mother she is caught in an uneasy position of divided loyalty. Faith feels guilty for searching the attic and for upsetting her mother, yet she cannot stop wanting to know. Samuels suggests that keeping secrets breeds guilt in everyone around them.

Finally, the figure of the Ratcatcher, from Eva's childhood storybook, gives guilt a frightening, almost mythic shape. Played by the same actor who takes on the other male roles, he seems to haunt every stage of Eva's life, a reminder of the trauma and loss she cannot escape. By the end of the play the past has broken into the present, and although Faith resolves to understand her history, the audience is left feeling that Evelyn's guilt will never be entirely laid to rest. Samuels presents guilt, then, as the lasting wound of survival, a feeling that passes silently from one generation to the next.

8 Faith, Evelyn's daughter, is presented as the play's questioner and the bearer of its hope. On the surface she is an ordinary young woman in her early twenties preparing to leave home, but Samuels uses her as the key that unlocks the past. Through her curiosity, the audience discovers Evelyn's secret, and through her determination at the end, the play looks towards healing. Her very name suggests belief and trust, qualities that her mother seems to have lost.

At the start of the play, Faith is presented as uncertain and still emotionally

Question number

dependent on her mother. Evelyn is helping her sort through what to take to her new flat, and Evelyn seems oddly eager for her to go, telling her, "You've made a commitment to moving into that place. Stick by it." The brisk imperative shows Evelyn's controlled, practical nature, but it also hints that she wants to keep feelings at a distance. Faith's reply, "It feels all wrong", reveals her hesitation. Samuels deliberately places this scene alongside the 1930s scenes of Helga preparing Eva to leave for England. The parallel structure links Faith's ordinary move with Eva's terrifying separation from her mother, and it encourages the audience to see that the trauma of one departure has shaped the other. Faith is anxious about leaving in a way she cannot explain, perhaps because her mother's unspoken history is already affecting her.

Faith's search through the attic is the turning point of her role. Playing with old toys, she becomes childlike, singing "Runaway train went down the track". The song is innocent, yet for the audience it echoes the real train that carried Eva away from Germany. Samuels uses this dramatic irony to show that Faith is unknowingly touching her mother's past. When she finds the storybook Der Rattenfänger, the play shifts into a scene from Eva's childhood, as if Faith's curiosity has summoned the memory onto the stage. This structural device presents Faith as a link between generations: her actions in the present literally bring the past to life.

As Faith uncovers letters and documents, she begins to work out the truth about her mother's identity. She turns to Lil with her questions and asks her not to let Evelyn find out what she has discovered. This shows a more mature and secretive side to her character, and it suggests that secrecy is something Faith has inherited. At the same time she is shown to feel guilty about upsetting her mother, which makes her sympathetic: she is not simply nosy, but desperate to

Question number

understand who she is. The audience is invited to share her frustration, because Evelyn's silence denies Faith part of her own heritage.

The confrontation between Faith and Evelyn reveals the deepest tension in the play. Faith wants openness and connection, while Evelyn wants to keep her past locked away. Evelyn's wish that Faith could remain her "little girl forever" is poignant. It suggests that Evelyn's fear of loss, created by her own separation from Helga, makes her cling to her daughter, even as she pushes her away emotionally. Faith's anger at being kept in the dark exposes how her mother's trauma has damaged their relationship. Samuels presents Faith not as a villain who intrudes, but as a daughter demanding the truth that she has a right to know.

By the end of the play, Faith has grown in confidence and purpose. She declares: "I'm going to find out what everything means. Get in touch with my relatives. I want to meet them." The series of short, decisive sentences shows a determination that contrasts sharply with her earlier uncertainty about moving out. Where Evelyn has spent her life cutting herself off from her German Jewish family, Faith wants to reconnect with it. Her resolution offers the possibility that the damage of the past can begin to be repaired, at least in the next generation.

Faith is therefore presented as a crucial character. Dramatically, she drives the plot by uncovering the secret; structurally, she mirrors the young Eva and links past to present; and thematically, she represents the hope of recovering a lost identity. Samuels uses her to show that the effects of trauma can be inherited, but also that honesty and curiosity might allow a family to begin to heal. In this sense, her name seems carefully chosen: Faith embodies the belief that the truth, however painful, is worth knowing.

Question number	
9	I agree only in part. Soyinka certainly presents Elesin as weak at the decisive moment: a man whose appetite for life overpowers the will his role demands. Yet "deserves his fate" is too simple a judgement, because the play shows his failure as shaped by forces beyond him, and his final act restores something of the dignity he lost. Elesin is better understood as a tragic figure whose weakness is human rather than contemptible. From his first entrance, Soyinka builds the case for weakness through Elesin's vitality. The stage directions introduce a man with "an infectious enjoyment of life", and the opening market scene is full of music, banter and dance. This is not presented as a flaw at first; the audience is drawn in by his charm. However, the energy that makes him magnetic is exactly what binds him to the world he must leave. His confident declaration, "Has no one told you yet / I go to keep my friend and master company", sounds heroic, but the very insistence of his boasting suggests a man performing resolve rather than possessing it. The Praise-Singer's repeated questioning works structurally as a test, and Elesin's eloquent answers, including his long tale of the Not-I bird, ironically set up the audience to watch him become one of the creatures who flinch from death. The turning point is the young woman. Soyinka's imagery turns lush and sensual as Elesin describes her, with "thighs whose ripples / Shamed the river's coils", and the comparison to the river makes desire seem as natural and irresistible as the landscape itself. His demand to marry her is framed in the language of entitlement: "I deserve a bed of honour to lie upon". The word "deserve" is telling. Elesin believes his sacrifice earns him one last pleasure, and this pride is where his weakness truly lies. It is significant, though, that Iyaloja agrees to the marriage. The community, anxious not to send the Horseman away displeased, shares in the choice, so Soyinka spreads responsibility beyond one man. In this sense the

Question number

statement is unfair: Elesin is weak, but he is not weak alone.

The greatest complication is the colonial intervention. Pilkings, acting on the idea that ritual suicide is barbaric, arrests Elesin at the moment of passing. A reader could argue that Elesin's will was already faltering, and his own confession supports this: "My powers deserted me. My charms, my spells, even my voice lacked strength". The list builds through the things that once defined his authority, and the admission is painfully honest. He even admits to Iyaloja that, for a moment, he let himself wonder whether the stranger's interference might be the will of the gods, a thought that offered him an escape. Yet Soyinka also stages the arrest as a violent rupture, and Elesin's anguished cry that Pilkings has "shattered the peace of the world for ever" shows him grasping the cosmic consequences. His failure is therefore a meeting of private weakness and external force, which makes "deserves" a harsh verdict.

The structure of the final scene pushes the audience towards pity rather than condemnation. Elesin is caged, stripped of the costume and ceremony of the opening, and the reversal is total: the man who commanded the market is now mocked by Iyaloja, whose bitter questions expose his shame. When Olunde's body is carried in, having died in his father's place, the dramatic irony is crushing. Elesin had rejected his son for leaving for a British education, yet it is Olunde who fulfils the tradition Elesin abandoned. The son's sacrifice measures the father's failure, but it also breaks him. Elesin's suicide, strangling himself with his chain, comes too late to serve its purpose, yet it is a choice made in full understanding. His earlier plea, "White man, you must let me out. I have a duty to perform", already shows his dignity returning as he accepts responsibility.

Iyaloja's closing words, "Now forget the dead, forget even the living. Turn your

Question number

mind only to the unborn", move the play beyond judging Elesin. Through the repeated "forget", Soyinka suggests that the tragedy belongs to a whole world, and that what matters now is continuity. Elesin pays an enormous price: his honour, his son and his place in the passage. In my view, Soyinka invites us to see him as weak but deeply human, a man asked to cast aside worldly love for spiritual duty and found wanting at the last step. He suffers his fate, but the play is too compassionate, and too critical of the forces around him, to say simply that he deserves it.

10 In Death and the King's Horseman religion is not one theme among many but the ground the whole play stands on. Soyinka presents Yoruba belief as a living system in which the dead, the living and the unborn are bound together, and he sets it against a colonial outlook that sees ritual only as superstition. The tragedy comes from the collision, but also from a failure inside the faith itself, since the Horseman who should carry the King across the passage loses his will.

At the heart of the play is the ritual: thirty days after the King's death, Elesin must die so that he can lead his master through the underworld. Soyinka never treats this as a private act. The language of the market scene shows that the whole community depends on it. When the women gather round Elesin, their fear is expressed through cosmic metaphor: "For a while we truly feared / Our hands had wrenched the world / In emptiness". The idea that a single man's hesitation could unhinge the world shows how religion here is woven into the political and social order. The Praise-Singer's role reinforces this. His duty is to keep singing the Horseman's praises, so even his poetry is a religious function, and his anxious questions to Elesin dramatise the community's need for reassurance that the passage will be made.

Question number

Soyinka gives religious belief a rich, transcendent language. Elesin's request to marry the young woman is cloaked in spiritual terms: "My wish / Transcends the blotting out of thought / In one mere moment's tremor of the senses". The verb "transcends" claims a sacred meaning for desire, as if the union were a seed left behind in the world of the living. An audience may read this as genuine belief or as self-deception, and Soyinka seems to want both possibilities alive. Religion, then, is something characters can use as well as serve, and this ambiguity makes Elesin's eventual failure more troubling.

The colonial characters provide the sharpest contrast. Simon and Jane Pilkings put on the sacred egungun costume as fancy dress for a ball, and Soyinka stages the moment so that the audience sees the sacrilege before the Pilkings do. Amusa, a Muslim police sergeant, is horrified: "It belong to dead cult, not for human beings". His broken English might invite laughter, but his respect for a faith that is not his own exposes the Pilkings' arrogance. Simon's casual mockery of Joseph's "holy water nonsense", followed by his embarrassed worry that he has offended his Christian servant, shows a man who does not take any religion seriously except as a matter of manners. Soyinka's structure, cutting between the drumming in the market and the tango on the verandah, places two worlds side by side and lets the audience feel how little one understands the other.

Olunde is perhaps the most important religious figure because he bridges these worlds. Educated in England, he might be expected to reject the ritual, yet he returns home precisely to bury his father. His conversation with Jane at the ball reveals that he understands Yoruba belief more deeply than any European, and he compares the ritual to the self-sacrifice of a ship's captain in the war, a parallel Jane cannot accept. When he takes his father's place in death, Soyinka suggests

Question number

that faith is not a matter of distance from Western learning but of will and commitment.

Elesin's arrest by Pilkings turns religious crisis into catastrophe. In the cell he tells Pilkings, "You have shattered the peace of the world for ever", and the absolute "for ever" captures the Yoruba sense that a broken ritual damages the cosmic order, not just one family. Yet Iyaloja will not allow him to blame the white man alone, and her scornful questioning shows that the community judges its Horseman by spiritual standards he has not met. The structure of the final scene, with Olunde's body brought in and Elesin's late suicide, lays out the cost of a ritual completed in the wrong order.

The play closes with Iyaloja's words: "Now forget the dead, forget even the living. Turn your mind only to the unborn". Even after disaster, religion offers a way forward, since the cycle of existence continues through the unborn child. This ending suggests Soyinka's deepest purpose: to present Yoruba religion not as an exotic custom to be judged, but as a coherent metaphysical world with its own tragic logic. The colonial interference is the catalyst, yet the true drama is spiritual, played out in a man's struggle to give up life for a faith that binds his whole people.

11 Friar Lawrence is presented as one of the most important characters in Romeo and Juliet because he is the play's moral voice and its chief engineer of action. He warns repeatedly against haste, yet every major turn of the plot passes through his cell. Shakespeare makes him a wise, well-meaning man whose good intentions help to bring about the very tragedy he fears, and in doing so raises the question of how far adults are responsible for the deaths of the young.

Question number

When the Friar first appears in Act 2 Scene 3 he is gathering herbs, and his soliloquy establishes him as a thinker. He reflects that "Within the infant rind of this weak flower / Poison hath residence, and medicine power". The antithesis of "poison" and "medicine" introduces a key idea: the same thing can heal or kill depending on how it is used. Shakespeare uses this to foreshadow the potion he will later give Juliet, and to frame love itself as a powerful drug. His knowledge of plants would have seemed plausible to an Elizabethan audience, since some friars were known for their study of herbs as medicines, and it gives him a kind of authority over life and death that becomes central to the plot.

His relationship with Romeo is affectionate but critical. He mocks Romeo's sudden switch from Rosaline with "Holy Saint Francis, what a change is here!", and his rhyming couplets lend his advice a proverbial quality: "Wisely and slow, they stumble that run fast". Ironically, it is the Friar who then agrees to marry the lovers the very same day. His motive is political as much as religious: he hopes the "alliance may so happy prove / To turn your households' rancour to pure love". Here the Friar acts beyond his role as confessor, attempting to mend Verona's feud through secrecy. Since he stands outside the conflict and takes no side, he has access to both families, which makes him uniquely placed to influence events.

Shakespeare uses the Friar's language to foreshadow catastrophe. Just before the wedding he warns, "These violent delights have violent ends / And in their triumph die, like fire and powder". The simile of gunpowder ignited suggests an explosive, self-consuming passion, and the repetition of "violent" anticipates the bloodshed to come. The audience, who already know from the Prologue that the lovers are "star-cross'd", hear this as dramatic irony: the Friar sees the danger but still lights the fuse.

Question number

In the second half of the play the Friar becomes increasingly desperate. After Tybalt's death he scolds the suicidal Romeo, "Art thou a man? Thy form cries out thou art", challenging his masculinity in order to restore reason, and he devises the plan for Romeo to wait in Mantua. When Juliet arrives with a knife, threatening to kill herself rather than marry Paris, he answers with "I spy a kind of hope". The potion promises that "through all thy veins shall run / A cold and drowsy humour", and the detailed, almost clinical description of feigned death shows his confidence in his learning. Yet this is a dangerous gamble. Mistaken deaths were a genuine fear in Shakespeare's time, when death could be hard to diagnose, so the plan would have felt horribly plausible to an audience.

Fate then turns against him. Friar John is prevented from reaching Mantua by plague, and Friar Lawrence recognises that the undelivered letter was "full of charge, / Of dear import". At the tomb his courage fails. Hearing the watch approaching he urges Juliet to leave and then flees, saying "I dare no longer stay". This moment is perhaps the most damning in his presentation: the spiritual father abandons his charge at the moment she needs him most. Some readers see cowardice; others see an ageing man overwhelmed by events, who has just told Juliet that "A greater power than we can contradict / Hath thwarted our intents".

Structurally, it is the Friar who brings the play to its resolution. In the final scene he tells the whole story to the Prince, beginning "I will be brief, for my short date of breath / Is not so long as is a tedious tale". His confession makes reconciliation possible, as the grieving fathers finally join hands. It is significant that the Prince pardons him, accepting that he meant well. A Protestant Elizabethan audience, for whom friars no longer existed after the dissolution of the monasteries, might have viewed his secret plotting with some suspicion, yet Shakespeare keeps him

Question number

sympathetic. Ultimately Friar Lawrence is important because he embodies the play's central tension between careful wisdom and rash action: he preaches moderation but acts in haste, and the tragedy grows from the gap between his words and his deeds.

12 Death in Romeo and Juliet is not simply the ending of the story but a presence that hangs over it from the first line to the last. Shakespeare presents death as fated, as the product of a violent society, and, most strikingly, as something bound up with love itself. The lovers seem to move towards death as if towards a marriage, and the play asks whether their deaths are a waste or the only way their love can be made permanent.

The Prologue establishes death before the action begins. The Chorus speaks of "star-cross'd lovers" who "take their life" and of their "death-mark'd love". The sonnet form, usually associated with romantic love, here announces a tragedy, so Shakespeare fuses love and death from the outset. Because the audience knows the ending, every hopeful moment becomes ironic, and the structure of the play feels like a countdown. Romeo himself senses this before the Capulet feast, fearing "Some consequence yet hanging in the stars" that will bring "untimely death".

Shakespeare also shows that death was ordinary in the world of the play. The Nurse recalls her dead daughter, "Well, Susan is with God", with a matter-of-fact tenderness, and Capulet tells Paris that "Earth hath swallowed all my hopes but she". The personification of the earth as a devouring mouth suggests how many children he has lost. For an Elizabethan audience, for whom infant mortality was high and plague a constant threat, these details would have been familiar, and

Question number

they make Juliet seem all the more precious and vulnerable.

The deaths in the middle of the play show how violence breeds violence. Mercutio, mortally wounded under Romeo's arm, still jokes: "Ask for me tomorrow, and you shall find me a grave man". The pun on "grave" shows his wit surviving to the end, but it is undercut by his repeated curse, "A plague o' both your houses!". The curse works structurally like a prophecy, as both houses are destroyed, and literal plague later stops the Friar's letter. Romeo's revenge on Tybalt follows quickly, and his line "Either thou or I, or both, must go with him" shows how honour culture makes death feel compulsory. His cry "O, I am fortune's fool!" captures the turning point of the play, as comedy gives way to tragedy.

Juliet's relationship with death is particularly complex. When she first sees Romeo she says "My grave is like to be my wedding bed", an unconscious foreshadowing of the tomb. Later, facing marriage to Paris, she would rather be hidden "nightly in a charnel house" than marry him, and her soliloquy before taking the potion is laced with images of death: "a faint, cold fear thrills through my veins". She imagines waking among bones and Tybalt's corpse, and Shakespeare makes the tomb feel like a real, physical horror. It is deeply ironic that Juliet's faked death, designed to save her, leads directly to her real one.

When she is found apparently dead, Capulet personifies Death as a rival suitor: "Death is my son-in-law, Death is my heir". This image of Death marrying Juliet becomes central to the final scene. In the tomb Romeo wonders whether "unsubstantial Death is amorous" and keeps her for his "paramour". Shakespeare thus presents death as a jealous lover, so that Romeo's suicide becomes an act of possession and fidelity: "Thus with a kiss I die". The kiss, which began their love in the sonnet at the feast, now seals their death, and the structural echo makes

Question number

the ending feel both inevitable and tender. Juliet's final words, "O happy dagger, / This is thy sheath", are shocking in their calmness, the word "happy" suggesting she sees death as reunion. Romeo also kills Paris on the way, a reminder that death in this play is rarely contained to one person.

At the close, the Prince's verdict, "All are punished", shifts the meaning of death from private tragedy to public lesson. Lady Montague has died of grief, and the fathers are left childless. Only in death do the lovers achieve what life denied them, as the families promise golden statues of each other's children. An Elizabethan audience may have seen the deaths as a punishment for rash passion and the sin of suicide, yet Shakespeare's poetry invites sympathy instead. Ultimately, death in the play exposes the cost of hatred: the feud is ended, but only through the loss of the young people who represented the future of Verona.

13 In Macbeth, loyalty is the bond that holds Scotland together, and Shakespeare shows what happens when it is broken. Loyalty to the king is presented as both a political duty and a sacred one, so Macbeth's betrayal of Duncan is not only treason but a sin against the natural order. Through contrasting characters such as Banquo, Macduff and Malcolm, Shakespeare explores what true loyalty looks like, and suggests that a tyrant who rules by fear can never command it.

The play opens with loyalty under threat. The Thane of Cawdor has rebelled, and the Captain's report of the battle praises "brave Macbeth (well he deserves that name)" for defending his king. Duncan rewards him with Cawdor's title: "Go pronounce his present death, / And with his former title greet Macbeth". The rhyming couplet binds the traitor's death to Macbeth's promotion, and with hindsight it is darkly ironic, since Macbeth inherits not only Cawdor's title but his

Question number

treachery. Duncan admits that "There's no art / To find the mind's construction in the face", lamenting that he placed "absolute trust" in Cawdor. Shakespeare then has Macbeth enter at that very moment, a structural irony which shows that Duncan is about to make the same mistake again.

Macbeth is not presented as simply disloyal. He publicly declares that "The service and the loyalty I owe, / In doing it, pays itself", and his soliloquy in Act 1 Scene 7 shows that he understands what he owes. He is "here in double trust: / First, as I am his kinsman and his subject", and also as his host. The careful listing of these bonds shows a mind that knows exactly which duties it would break. He even tells Lady Macbeth, "We will proceed no further in this business", because Duncan "hath honoured me of late". Loyalty is thus his conscience, and it is only under her manipulation and his own ambition that he silences it. For a Jacobean audience who believed in the Divine Right of Kings, killing a king appointed by God would have been the ultimate disloyalty, an attack on heaven itself.

Banquo provides a contrast. He too hears the witches' prophecies, and his son is promised kingship, yet he resolves to keep his "bosom franchised and allegiance clear". The legal and moral language shows he will not trade his integrity for advancement. Shakespeare's portrayal of Banquo as loyal and principled is significant because King James claimed descent from him, so the play flatters the new king by making his ancestor a model of faithfulness. Writing shortly after the Gunpowder Plot of 1605, Shakespeare offers a clear warning to anyone tempted to betray the crown.

Macduff represents loyalty to Scotland itself. He discovers Duncan's body, refuses to attend Macbeth's coronation, and flees to England to support Malcolm. However, his loyalty to his country seems to come at the expense of his family. Lady

Question number

Macduff accuses him of desertion, and when her son asks "Was my father a traitor, mother?", she replies "Ay, that he was". Shakespeare exposes how complicated loyalty becomes under a tyrant: what is loyalty to Scotland looks like betrayal from inside his home. Malcolm, meanwhile, tests Macduff by pretending to be worse than Macbeth, claiming that "black Macbeth / Will seem as pure as snow" beside him. The test shows that in a world of treachery even loyal men must be tried before they can be trusted, and Macduff's despairing cry, "O Scotland, Scotland!", proves his devotion is to the country rather than to any one man.

As Macbeth's reign continues, loyalty drains away from him. Angus observes that those he commands "move only in command, / Nothing in love", and the contrast between obedience and love shows that fear cannot produce real allegiance. Macbeth himself recognises that honour, love, obedience and "troops of friends" are things he "must not look to have". His frantic dismissal of messengers, "Bring me no more reports", reveals a ruler isolated by his own betrayal. By contrast, Young Siward dies fighting him, and his sacrifice is praised as paying "a soldier's debt".

Shakespeare structures the play so that loyalty is restored at the end. Macduff kills the tyrant and hails Malcolm as king, and the thanes are made earls, a reward for faithful service which echoes Duncan's generosity in Act 1. In this circular structure, loyalty becomes the force that heals Scotland. Shakespeare presents it as essential to political and spiritual order, warning his audience that betraying a rightful king leads only to isolation, fear and destruction.

14 Macduff and his family are significant because they show the human cost of Macbeth's tyranny and provide the moral counterweight to it. Macduff is the man

Question number

who first sees through Macbeth and finally destroys him, while Lady Macduff and her son reveal, in a single scene, how far Macbeth has fallen. Through them Shakespeare dramatises the restoration of natural order, but also asks difficult questions about duty, masculinity and grief.

Macduff's first important moment is the discovery of Duncan's body. His horrified repetition, "O horror, horror, horror!", conveys a shock too great for ordinary language, and his religious imagery raises the murder to sacrilege: "Most sacrilegious murder hath broke ope / The Lord's anointed temple". The metaphor of the king's body as a temple reflects the Jacobean belief in the Divine Right of Kings, and would have deeply shocked an audience only recently shaken by the Gunpowder Plot. Some critics also notice that Macduff arrives knocking at the gate just after the Porter imagines himself as the keeper of hell's gate. This has been read as an echo of the medieval Harrowing of Hell, in which Christ descends to free the damned, so Macduff's entrance may be a symbolic announcement of the saviour who will eventually overthrow evil.

From this point Macduff quietly resists Macbeth. When Ross asks whether he will go to the coronation at Scone, he replies "No, cousin, I'll to Fife". The brevity of his answer speaks volumes: his absence is a silent accusation. The witches' apparition warns "beware Macduff", marking him structurally as Macbeth's nemesis, and Macbeth's response is to plan to "give to th'edge o'th'sword / His wife, his babes". The violence of this decision, aimed at the defenceless, shows how far Macbeth's tyranny has spread.

The Macduff family scene is crucial because it is the only time we see a domestic household in the play, a deliberate contrast to the childless, murderous Macbeths. Lady Macduff is angry that her husband has fled, claiming "He loves us not, / He

Question number

wants the natural touch". She compares herself to "the poor wren, / The most diminutive of birds", who will fight the owl to protect her young. The bird imagery presents her as small, natural and maternal, and the owl, an omen of death earlier in the play, becomes a symbol of Macbeth. Her witty exchange with her son is touching; when he asks "Was my father a traitor, mother?" and she answers "Ay, that he was", Shakespeare lets the audience see the confusion of loyalty in a land ruled by a tyrant. The scene ends abruptly with the murderers' entrance and the son's cry, a brutal moment that ensures the audience's sympathy shifts finally away from Macbeth.

Macduff's reaction to the news in England is among the most moving moments in the play. He struggles to take it in: "All my pretty ones? / Did you say all?" The repetition of "all" and the image "all my pretty chickens and their dam / At one fell swoop" return to bird imagery, turning Macbeth into a bird of prey and making the loss feel unbearably intimate. When Malcolm urges him to "Dispute it like a man", Macduff answers "I shall do so; / But I must also feel it as a man". This redefines masculinity. Whereas Lady Macbeth equated manhood with ruthlessness, Macduff shows that a real man can grieve. He then channels grief into purpose, and his patriotic cry, "Bleed, bleed, poor country!", shows that his personal tragedy is bound up with Scotland's suffering.

Structurally, Macduff is the instrument of justice. The witches' promise that "none of woman born" can harm Macbeth is undone when Macduff reveals he was "from his mother's womb / Untimely ripped". This equivocation exposes the witches' deceit, and Macbeth's courage collapses. Macduff's line "My voice is in my sword" shows a man who has turned words into action. He kills Macbeth and returns with the tyrant's head, hailing Malcolm as king. His final role as kingmaker completes the restoration of order, and Shakespeare's audience would recognise the overthrow

Question number

of a usurper as the righting of God's natural hierarchy.

Yet Shakespeare does not make Macduff simply heroic. His decision to leave his family unprotected remains troubling, and he himself wonders whether they were killed for his sins. In my view, this complexity is what makes him significant. Macduff and his family embody the cost of resisting tyranny: innocent people suffer, and goodness requires sacrifice. In contrast to Macbeth, who loses all feeling, Macduff suffers deeply and still acts, and Shakespeare presents him as the figure through whom humanity and order return to Scotland.

15 Friendship in The Merchant of Venice is presented as a powerful, self-sacrificing bond, but also as exclusive. The Christian friends of Venice support one another with money, loyalty and even life, yet their circle is defined as much by who is kept out as by who is let in. Shakespeare explores how friendship can be generous and noble while at the same time competing with marriage and hardening into hostility towards Shylock.

The play opens with friends gathered around Antonio's sadness. Salerio and Solanio try to explain it through his ships, imagining that "Your mind is tossing on the ocean". The metaphor links Antonio's emotional state to the risky world of Venetian trade, a city whose prosperity depended on merchant fleets. Yet Antonio rejects this, and the timing of his melancholy is suggestive. He asks Bassanio eagerly about the "lady" to whom he swore "a secret pilgrimage", and the religious word "pilgrimage" hints at how serious this new devotion is. Some critics have read Antonio's sadness as the pain of losing Bassanio to marriage, and argue that their friendship borders on romantic love. Whether or not we accept this, Shakespeare clearly makes Antonio's friendship intense and possessive.

Question number

That intensity drives the plot. Antonio offers Bassanio everything: "My purse, my person, my extremest means / Lie all unlocked to your occasions". The list moves from money to his body itself, ironically foreshadowing the bond in which his flesh becomes security. Bassanio's own words confirm how much he depends on Antonio: "To you, Antonio, / I owe the most in money and in love". The pairing of "money" and "love" is revealing, since in Venice friendship and finance are deeply intertwined. Friendship gives Bassanio the means to court Portia, but it also places Antonio in mortal danger.

Shakespeare uses Shylock to show the limits of Christian friendship. Shylock declares that he will "buy with you, sell with you" but will not "eat with you, drink with you, nor pray with you", drawing a sharp line between business and fellowship. Antonio, for his part, insists that the loan be made not as between friends, but "rather to thine enemy". The contrast is structural: the Christians unite, while Shylock is isolated, his only friend being Tubal. When Jessica elopes with Lorenzo, helped by Gratiano, Salerio and Solanio, even his daughter is taken from him. This presentation reflects the anti-Semitic attitudes common in Shakespeare's England, where Jews had been expelled since 1290, and it may disturb modern audiences who see that the Christians' warm friendship is built partly on excluding him.

The trial scene is where friendship reaches its climax. Antonio's letter to Bassanio, declaring that all debts are cleared if he might only see him at his death, turns financial obligation into pure affection. In court Antonio calls himself "a tainted wether of the flock, / Meetest for death", accepting sacrifice with quiet resignation, and asks Bassanio to tell his wife how he loved him. Bassanio replies that his life, his wife and all the world are not esteemed above Antonio's life.

Question number

Shakespeare adds dramatic irony here, since Portia, disguised as Balthazar, is listening, and she drily notes that his wife would give him little thanks for that. The moment exposes the competition between friendship and marriage that runs through the play.

Female friendship offers a gentler parallel. Although Nerissa is her waiting-gentlewoman, Portia confides in her as an equal: "By my troth, Nerissa, my little body is aweary of this great world". The intimate, comic tone of their conversation about the suitors, with Nerissa asking "What think you of the Scottish lord, his neighbour?", shows a friendship based on wit and trust rather than money. Their joint disguise as lawyer and clerk is an act of friendship too, since together they save Antonio.

The ring plot brings the conflict between friendship and love to its resolution. Antonio urges Bassanio to give the ring to the lawyer, weighing his "deservings" and love against "your wife's commandment". Bassanio gives it up, putting friendship first. In Act 5 Antonio offers himself as surety once more, this time for Bassanio's faithfulness, binding "my soul" rather than his body. This mirrors the bond structurally and suggests that friendship has been absorbed into marriage. Yet Antonio remains alone as the couples leave, and many productions emphasise his isolation. Shakespeare therefore presents friendship as admirable and sacrificial, but also as a bond that cannot fully coexist with marriage and that defines itself against outsiders like Shylock.

16 Jessica and Lorenzo are presented as romantic lovers whose elopement offers Jessica an escape from a joyless home, but Shakespeare does not let their story become simply idealistic. Their subplot is full of ambiguities: theft, religious

Question number

conversion, carelessness and doubt. Through them Shakespeare explores how love crosses boundaries of faith and family, and how far such a crossing can ever be complete.

Jessica first appears saying goodbye to Launcelot, and her opening line is a striking metaphor: "Our house is hell, and thou, a merry devil, / Didst rob it of some taste of tediousness". The image of home as "hell" immediately encourages sympathy, while "tediousness" suggests a life of dull confinement rather than cruelty. Her soliloquy reveals inner conflict: "Alack, what heinous sin is it in me / To be ashamed to be my father's child!". The exclamation and the word "heinous" show guilt as well as resentment. She distinguishes between being his daughter "to his blood" but not "to his manners", and resolves to "Become a Christian and thy loving wife". The rhyming couplet that closes her speech gives her decision a neat finality, as if marriage and conversion were a single solution to her unhappiness.

Shylock's treatment of her supports this reading. He orders her to "Lock up my doors" so that she will not hear the masque music in the street, and his commands present a house shut off from pleasure. For an Elizabethan audience, a daughter's duty to obey her father was absolute, so her elopement would have been scandalous; yet Shakespeare frames her father so unsympathetically here that many in the audience might have excused her.

Lorenzo is presented as a devoted, if penniless, lover. Unlike Bassanio, who openly mentions Portia's wealth, Lorenzo praises Jessica's qualities: "Beshrew me but I love her heartily, / For she is wise", and adds "And fair she is, if that mine eyes be true". The conditional "if" is charming, a lover modestly doubting his own judgement, and the balanced praise of wisdom, beauty and truth suggests genuine

Question number

love. However, Shakespeare complicates this. Jessica escapes dressed as a boy and throws down a casket of her father's money, saying she will "gild" herself with more ducats. Lorenzo is happy to take it. Although there is no clear evidence that he loves her for money, the theft makes their romance uncomfortably bound up with Shylock's wealth.

Jessica's conduct in Genoa is reported through Tubal, who tells Shylock that she has traded a ring for a monkey. Shylock's grief is piercing: "It was my turquoise! I had it of Leah when I was a bachelor". The detail of her dead mother's name gives the ring deep emotional value, and his statement that he would not have given it for "a wilderness of monkeys" makes Jessica's action seem thoughtless, even cruel. Structurally, this loss deepens Shylock's desire for revenge on Antonio, so the lovers' subplot accelerates the main plot. Shylock's confused cry, "My daughter! O my ducats! O my daughter!", reported mockingly by Solanio, blurs love and money, but it also shows a man genuinely bereaved.

Religious difference shapes how others see Jessica. Gratiano jokes that she is "a Gentile and no Jew", and the pun on "gentle" shows that the Christians accept her by stripping her of her Jewish identity. Launcelot teases her that she is damned either way and that making Christians will raise the price of pork. This humour hints that she is not entirely welcome. Conversion between faiths was rare in Shakespeare's England and Jews were officially excluded, so Jessica occupies an uncertain position, belonging neither to her father's world nor fully to Belmont.

In Act 5 the lovers share a lyrical duet: "In such a night as this". They compare themselves to famous lovers such as Troilus, Thisbe and Dido, but nearly all these stories end tragically, which creates an unsettling undertone. Jessica teases that Lorenzo stole her soul "with many vows of faith, / And ne'er a true one", and

Question number

although it is playful, it voices a doubt. Lorenzo's speech on music, "How sweet the moonlight sleeps upon this bank!", is beautiful, yet Jessica replies "I am never merry when I hear sweet music", a line that could suggest lingering sadness. Finally, the deed of gift from Shylock secures their fortune, but it comes from his forced humiliation.

Shakespeare therefore presents Jessica and Lorenzo as genuinely loving, and their escape as a form of liberation, but he surrounds them with ambiguity. Their happiness depends on theft, conversion and Shylock's ruin, and Jessica's quiet melancholy at the end suggests that love has not entirely healed the division between the two worlds she has crossed.

17 Austen presents Charlotte Lucas as the novel's clearest-sighted realist: a sensible, intelligent woman who understands exactly what Regency society offers a plain, poor woman of twenty-seven, and who chooses security over romance with open eyes. Although Elizabeth is horrified by her choice, Austen never fully condemns Charlotte. Instead, she uses her as a quiet challenge to the romantic ideal that the novel ultimately rewards, making the reader ask whether Elizabeth's happy ending is a luxury that most women could not afford.

From the start, Charlotte is presented through her shrewd, unsentimental judgement. After the Meryton ball she defends Darcy's pride, arguing that a man of his "family, fortune, every thing in his favour" has "a right to be proud". This is a revealing moment: where Elizabeth reacts with wounded vanity, Charlotte reasons in terms of rank and wealth, the true currency of her world. Her conversation with Elizabeth about Jane develops this. Charlotte warns that Jane should show more affection than she feels if she wants to secure Bingley, and

Question number

declares that "Happiness in marriage is entirely a matter of chance." The confident, almost aphoristic sentence sounds like a rule of life rather than an opinion. She adds that it is better to know as little as possible of the defects of the person with whom you are to pass your life, a cool, ironic view of marriage that Elizabeth laughs at as "not sound". Structurally, Austen plants these views early so that Charlotte's later actions feel consistent rather than shocking, and ironically Charlotte is proved right about Jane, whose reserve does help Darcy persuade Bingley that she is indifferent.

The engagement to Mr Collins is the turning point in Austen's presentation of her. Within days of Elizabeth's refusal, Charlotte deliberately places herself in his way, and Austen's narrator explains her motives with sharp irony. Collins is "neither sensible nor agreeable", yet marriage was "the only honourable provision for well-educated young women of small fortune", and "their pleasantest preservative from want". The noun "want" exposes the reality behind the polite language: without a husband, Charlotte faces dependence on her brothers and a lifetime as a burden. The narrator's claim that Collins proposes and is accepted "solely from the pure and disinterested desire of an establishment" is heavily ironic, since nothing could be less disinterested. Yet the irony cuts at the society that makes such calculation necessary as much as at Charlotte herself. In Regency England a woman's financial security depended on fathers and husbands, and Charlotte, with neither fortune nor beauty, is simply reading the market accurately.

Her conversation with Elizabeth shows her dignity. Charlotte tells her friend directly, knowing she will disapprove, and Elizabeth's outburst, "Engaged to Mr. Collins! My dear Charlotte, impossible!", is answered calmly: "I am not romantic, you know. I never was." The short, plain sentences suggest self-knowledge rather than shame. She asks "only a comfortable home", and believes her chance of

Question number

happiness is "as fair as most people can boast". Through free indirect discourse, however, Austen lets us see Elizabeth's view that Charlotte has "sacrificed every better feeling to worldly advantage", and the phrase "a most humiliating picture" shows how deeply Elizabeth's idealism is wounded. The reader is invited to weigh both perspectives, and many would feel that Elizabeth, protected by youth and beauty, judges too harshly.

At Hunsford, Austen shows how Charlotte makes her bargain work. She chooses a back room for herself because Collins would be in it less often, and she sensibly encourages him to spend time in his garden. She hears his most embarrassing remarks with a faint blush but appears not to notice. Elizabeth concedes that when Mr Collins could be forgotten there was a great air of comfort in the house. These small domestic details are quietly comic, but they also reveal Charlotte's intelligence: she has created a life of contentment by managing her husband rather than loving him. Her position is also financially strong, since Collins enjoys Lady Catherine's patronage and will inherit Longbourn through the entail, so Charlotte will one day be mistress of the very house the Bennets lose.

Charlotte also continues to see more than Elizabeth does. She is the first to suspect that Darcy admires her friend, and even at the novel's close she visits to share Elizabeth's happiness. In this way Austen presents Charlotte as a foil: her marriage of convenience sets off the marriage of love and respect between Elizabeth and Darcy. Yet Austen, herself unmarried and aware of the precarious position of women without money, treats Charlotte with sympathy. She is not a villain or a fool, but a woman who refuses illusions, and her choice exposes the harsh economic truth beneath the novel's romantic comedy.

Question number

18

Wealth is the hidden engine of Pride and Prejudice. Austen presents a society in which money decides who may marry whom, who is respected and who is pitied, and she exposes this with ironic comedy. Yet the novel also argues that wealth alone is not enough: the marriages Austen rewards are those where money is joined to good character and real affection, while those driven only by greed or by its absence are shown as hollow or dangerous.

The famous opening sentence sets the tone: "It is a truth universally acknowledged, that a single man in possession of a good fortune, must be in want of a wife." The grand, philosophical register is undercut by irony, since the real truth is the reverse: poor families like the Bennets are in want of rich husbands. Austen immediately links wealth and marriage as the novel's central concern, and Mrs Bennet's excitement at Bingley's "four or five thousand a year" turns his income into his chief virtue. Her obsession is comic, but it reflects a serious anxiety. Because Longbourn is entailed to Mr Collins, the Bennet daughters will lose their home when their father dies, and in Regency England women of the gentry had almost no way of earning money. The entail therefore drives the whole plot, making marriage a matter of survival.

Austen satirises how quickly wealth defines reputation. Darcy at first attracts admiration not only for his "fine, tall person" but for the report, in general circulation within five minutes of his entrance, of his having "ten thousand a year". The comic precision of "five minutes" exposes the gossiping, mercenary nature of Meryton, and the swift reversal when his manners give offence shows that money buys admiration but not affection. Austen also distinguishes between kinds of wealth. Darcy's is old landed wealth, inherited through generations, while Bingley's fortune and that of his sisters was acquired by trade. The irony that Caroline Bingley sneers at the Bennets' relations in Cheapside, when her own

Question number

family money came from commerce, reveals the snobbery of the new rich. Similarly, Sir William Lucas, having made a tolerable fortune in trade, has retired "unshackled by business", an ironic metaphor that presents his former work as a prison he is proud to escape.

Wealth also shapes the choices of the novel's women. Charlotte Lucas marries the absurd Mr Collins because marriage is the "pleasantest preservative from want", and Austen presents this as a rational, if joyless, response to poverty. Lady Catherine de Bourgh, by contrast, shows how great wealth can make a woman powerful but arrogant. As a rich widow she controls Collins's living and expects obedience, and her furious question about whether the shades of Pemberley are to be "thus polluted" by Elizabeth's family reveals her belief that money and rank should determine worth. Elizabeth's reply, "He is a gentleman; I am a gentleman's daughter; so far we are equal", rejects this hierarchy and asserts that character matters more than fortune.

Austen presents men's need for money too. Colonel Fitzwilliam explains that as a younger son he cannot marry without regard to money, and Elizabeth's teasing question, "And pray what is the usual price of an Earl's younger son?", exposes the marriage market as a kind of trade. Wickham is the darkest example. His charm hides debts and gambling, and his attempt to elope with Georgiana for her fortune of thirty thousand pounds shows how desire for money corrupts. When he runs off with Lydia, it is only money that can repair the scandal: Darcy secretly pays his debts and buys his commission. Ironically, wealth here becomes a means of goodness, as Darcy uses his fortune to protect the Bennets rather than to display his status.

Wealth is central to Elizabeth's own development. Her rejection of Darcy's first

Question number

proposal, despite his fortune, shows remarkable independence, and the reader sees that she would never marry for money alone. Yet her visit to Pemberley complicates this. Seeing the beautiful estate, she reflects that to be mistress of Pemberley might be something, and Austen's light irony allows the reader to wonder whether wealth influences her change of heart. However, it is the housekeeper's praise of Darcy's kindness to his tenants that truly moves Elizabeth: the estate becomes a symbol of his responsible use of wealth.

By the end, Austen rewards marriages that unite fortune with love and respect, those of Elizabeth and Darcy and of Jane and Bingley, while Lydia's union with Wickham is left poor and loveless. Wealth is therefore presented as essential but morally neutral: what matters is how characters gain it and use it.

19 In Great Expectations, Dickens presents family as something that cannot be taken for granted. Pip is an orphan, and almost every household in the novel is broken, cruel or artificial. Through Pip's journey, Dickens suggests that true family is defined not by blood or by money but by loyalty and love, and that Pip's greatest error is to despise the humble family he already has in favour of a false one built on expectations.

The novel opens with the absence of family. Pip's first memory is of the churchyard, where he imagines his dead parents from the shape of the letters on their gravestone, deciding that his father was a "square, stout, dark man". The childish logic is touching but also tragic: he has only stone to tell him who his family were, and the "five little stone lozenges" marking his brothers emphasise how death has surrounded him. The bleak setting of the marshes and Pip as a small "bundle of shivers" create a Gothic image of a child exposed and alone. For

Question number

Victorian readers, aware of high child mortality and the fate of orphans forced into workhouses or dangerous labour, Pip's vulnerability would have been painfully real.

The family Pip does have is sharply divided. Mrs Joe has brought him up "by hand", a phrase Dickens turns into a darkly comic pun, since her hand is mostly used to beat him with the Tickler. She resents him and treats her care as a source of credit with the neighbours, showing that family duty without love becomes a kind of cruelty. Joe, however, is presented as a true parent. He treats Pip as an equal, calling them "ever the best of friends", and his gentle catchphrase "what larks" captures the simple happiness they share. Ironically, the blacksmith with no learning becomes the novel's moral centre.

Dickens also presents false or distorted families that Pip is drawn towards. Miss Havisham adopts Estella not to love her but to use her as a weapon against men, and her later confession that she stole Estella's heart and put ice in its place reveals how a guardian can destroy a child. The frozen clocks and rotting wedding cake at Satis House symbolise a family life stopped dead by bitterness. Pip's fantasy that Miss Havisham is his benefactor, raising him to marry Estella, shows him rejecting Joe for an imagined, more genteel family.

Pip's shame is the most painful part of Dickens's presentation of family. When Joe visits him in London, Pip is embarrassed by his clumsy manners, and Joe leaves with great dignity, explaining that life is made of ever so many partings welded together. The metaphor from the forge shows Joe's wisdom: he understands that class has divided them, but he does not blame Pip. The reader feels the irony that the "gentleman" behaves far worse than the blacksmith. Dickens, who was himself sent to work in a blacking factory when his father was imprisoned for debt, knew the shame of a humble family background, and this gives Pip's snobbery a sharp

Question number

autobiographical edge.

Other families act as contrasts. The Pockets are comically chaotic, with children "tumbling up" while Mrs Pocket reads about titles, satirising a family that values rank above care. Wemmick, by contrast, keeps a tiny "castle" at Walworth where he lovingly looks after his deaf father, the "Aged P". His divided life suggests that warmth survives only when it is protected from the hard world of money and law.

The most important twist concerns Magwitch. His declaration "I'm your second father. You're my son" reveals that the convict, not Miss Havisham, is Pip's benefactor, and Pip's initial horror shows the snobbery that expectations have bred in him. Yet as Pip nurses Magwitch through his final illness, he learns to love him, and the discovery that Magwitch is Estella's father ties the novel's families together in an unexpected web. Structurally, the plot shows that the people Pip despised were bound to him all along.

By the end, Pip returns to the forge to find Joe married to Biddy, with a little son named Pip sitting by the fire. This image of a loving family is both healing and poignant, since Pip is now outside it. Dickens suggests that family, in its truest sense, is made by kindness and forgiveness, and that Pip's real expectations should always have been the love Joe offered freely from the start.

20 Dickens presents Magwitch as the novel's most surprising character: a terrifying convict who becomes Pip's secret benefactor and, finally, a figure of deep sympathy. Through him, Dickens challenges Victorian assumptions about class and criminality, suggesting that a man branded by society as worthless may be more loyal and generous than the gentlemen who look down on him.

Question number

Magwitch's first appearance is pure Gothic horror. In the misty churchyard, he rises among the graves as "A fearful man, all in coarse grey, with a great iron on his leg." The list of physical details, ending with the "iron", identifies him at once as an escaped convict from the Hulks, the prison ships moored off the Kent coast. His violent commands, "Hold your noise!" and "You get me wittles", are harsh and abrupt, and his threat that a young man will tear out Pip's heart and liver makes him monstrous to the child. Dickens filters this through the adult Pip's narration, so the scene is both frightening and darkly comic. Yet even here there are hints of humanity: Magwitch is starving and shivering, and when Pip brings him food, he eats like a hungry dog, making him seem pitiful as well as dangerous.

Dickens also shows Magwitch's sense of honour early on. When he is recaptured, he claims to have stolen the food himself, protecting Pip from punishment. This small act of loyalty is easily missed by the reader, but structurally it prepares us for his later devotion. His violent struggle with the other convict, Compeyson, in the ditch also hints at a secret history that the novel will slowly reveal.

The great turning point comes in the volume where Magwitch returns. Pip, now a gentleman in London, is horrified when the rough stranger reveals himself as the source of his fortune. His declaration "I'm your second father. You're my son" is emotionally overwhelming, and he says Pip is more to him "nor any son". The dialect reminds us of his lack of education, while the sentiment shows the depth of his love. The dramatic irony is cruel: Pip, who believed Miss Havisham was raising him to marry Estella, now discovers that his genteel life rests on the money of a transported felon. His physical revulsion exposes how far his expectations have corrupted his values. Magwitch's pride in having "made" a gentleman suggests that his gift is also a kind of revenge on the society that

Question number

rejected him. Transported to Australia, he became a prosperous sheep farmer through hard work, embodying the Victorian ideal of the self-made man, and he uses Pip to prove that a convict can create a gentleman as well as any aristocrat.

Magwitch's account of his life deepens the reader's sympathy. His earliest memory is of stealing turnips to survive, and he describes his life with the repetitive rhythm "In jail and out of jail, in jail and out of jail". The repetition suggests a cycle he could never escape, created by poverty rather than wickedness. His story of Compeyson is a direct attack on class injustice: at their trial, the educated, gentlemanly Compeyson received a lighter sentence, while Magwitch, rough in manner, was treated as the worse criminal. Dickens, who campaigned on social issues and knew the inside of a debtors' prison through his father, uses Magwitch to show that the justice system judges appearances.

As Pip plans Magwitch's escape, their relationship changes. Magwitch takes enormous risks in returning to England, since the penalty for a transported convict returning was death, and he bears his danger with patience. After his capture on the river, injured in his final struggle with Compeyson, he is sentenced to death but dies in the prison infirmary first. Pip holds his hand daily and finally tells him that his lost daughter is alive, a lady, and that Pip loves her. This tender moment completes the plot's structure, as Magwitch is revealed to be Estella's father, linking the convict on the marshes with the cold beauty of Satis House. Pip's prayer, "O Lord, be merciful to him a sinner!", recalls the parable of the Pharisee and the tax collector, suggesting that Magwitch, humble and penitent, is closer to grace than the respectable.

Most importantly, Pip admits that he now sees in Magwitch a much better man than he had been to Joe. Through this transformation, Dickens presents Magwitch

Question number

as the moral key to the novel: the outcast who teaches Pip that true worth lies in loyalty and love, not in the polished manners of a gentleman.

21 I largely agree that the ending of The Scarlet Letter is successful. Hawthorne draws his symbolic threads together with real dramatic power: the scaffold, the letter and Pearl all reach their final meaning, and each of the three adults receives a fitting fate. However, the ending is deliberately ambiguous, and some readers may find parts of the conclusion, such as Pearl's sudden fortune, too neat. I would argue that this mixture of resolution and uncertainty is itself the source of the ending's success.

The climax on the scaffold is the most powerful moment in the novel. Structurally, it completes a pattern: the scaffold appears at the beginning, when Hester stands alone in public shame, at the midpoint, when Dimmesdale stands there secretly at night, and finally at the end, when he climbs it in daylight with Hester and Pearl. This tripartite structure gives the ending a sense of inevitability, as if the story has been moving towards this confession from the first chapter. Dimmesdale's question to Hester, "Is not this better than what we dreamed of in the forest?", rejects their plan to escape to Europe and shows that only truth can free him. For a reader, this feels morally satisfying, since the minister's hypocrisy has been the central sin of the novel, worse in many ways than the original adultery.

The ending is also successful in its treatment of Pearl. Throughout the novel she has been presented as an "elf-child", a living symbol of the scarlet letter who refuses to let her mother forget. When she kisses her dying father, Hawthorne writes that "a spell was broken". Her tears are described as a pledge that she would grow up amid human joy and sorrow, and would not forever do battle with

Question number

the world. This is the emotional resolution the novel has prepared: Pearl becomes fully human only when her father publicly acknowledges her. The fairytale quality of the language suits the Romance form that Hawthorne chose, which allowed him to mix realism with the marvellous.

Chillingworth's fate is equally fitting. Without his victim, the purpose of his life disappears. His cry "Thou hast escaped me!" shows that revenge has become his entire identity, and afterwards Hawthorne describes him as "withered up, shrivelled away" like an uprooted weed. The imagery of decay suggests that vengeance consumes the avenger, and his death within a year delivers a kind of poetic justice. Yet Hawthorne complicates this by having Chillingworth leave his fortune to Pearl, a surprising act which hints that even he retained some trace of humanity.

However, Hawthorne refuses a simple ending. In the "Conclusion", he reports that witnesses disagreed about what they saw on Dimmesdale's breast: some described a scarlet letter imprinted in the flesh, while others denied there was any mark at all. This narrative ambiguity is typical of Hawthorne, and it is a weakness only if we demand certainty. In fact, it suits a novel about the gap between private truth and public appearance. The moral drawn from Dimmesdale's story, "Be true! Be true! Be true!", is given with triple emphasis, yet the narrator admits the many interpretations, leaving readers to judge for themselves.

The final chapter is perhaps the most thought-provoking part of the ending. Hester returns to Boston years later and resumes the letter of her own free will. It has ceased to be a stigma and has become, in Hawthorne's words, a type of something to be sorrowed over and looked upon with awe, yet with reverence too. Women come to her for counsel in their sorrows, and she believes a new truth will one day establish the relationship between men and women on surer ground.

Question number

Writing in 1850, from a nineteenth century that looked back critically on its harsh Puritan ancestors, Hawthorne, whose own forebear had been a judge at the Salem witch trials, seems to suggest that Hester has redeemed the letter through suffering and charity. Yet she does not claim to be the prophetess of this new age herself, which keeps the ending humble and realistic.

Some critics might find Pearl's fate unconvincing. She becomes the richest heiress of her day and marries into a European family, sending letters with armorial seals. This happy ending can seem too convenient, and Europe works as a fantasy escape from Puritan judgement. Still, it also suggests that the child of sin can flourish once released from the community that condemned her.

The novel closes with the single gravestone shared by Hester and Dimmesdale, bearing the heraldic inscription "On a field, sable, the letter A, gules." The black background and red letter create a sombre final image, reminding the reader that the letter outlives everyone. This ending is successful because it offers moral resolution while refusing easy comfort: the sin is forgiven in human terms, but its mark remains.

22 Hawthorne presents the relationship between Hester and Pearl as one of intense love bound up with pain. Pearl is Hester's only treasure and her only companion, yet she is also the living reminder of her sin, constantly drawing attention to the scarlet letter. Hester's cry "My little Pearl!" captures the tenderness and possessiveness of a mother who has nothing else, while the novel shows that their bond is shaped, and at times strained, by the harsh judgement of Puritan Boston.

From the beginning, Pearl is inseparable from Hester's shame. In the opening

Question number

scaffold scene Hester holds the baby against her breast, and Hawthorne notes that she instinctively tries to hide the letter with her child, before realising that one token of her shame would poorly hide another. This image establishes the central paradox: Pearl is both what Hester loves most and the visible proof of her adultery. In a Puritan society where adultery was a crime as well as a sin, the mother and child are cast out together, and their isolation in the cottage on the edge of the settlement draws them closer.

Hester's choice of name reveals her feelings. She calls the child Pearl, Hawthorne writes, as being "of great price", purchased with all she had, her mother's only treasure. The phrase echoes the parable of the pearl of great price in Matthew's Gospel, in which a merchant sells everything to buy a single pearl. The biblical allusion is deeply ironic: the Puritans see Pearl as the fruit of sin, but Hester sees her as sacred and infinitely valuable, bought at the cost of her reputation and peace.

Yet the relationship is not simple. Pearl is presented as wild and strange, an "elf-child" whose moods Hester cannot control. Hester dresses her in rich crimson and gold embroidery, so that Pearl becomes, in Hawthorne's image, the scarlet letter endowed with life. This shows that Hester's art and love are poured into her daughter, but also that she cannot escape her guilt even in the child she adores. Pearl's fascination with the letter is unsettling: as a baby she reaches for it, she throws wild flowers at it, and by the sea she makes her own letter from eelgrass and places it on her breast. These details suggest that Pearl is an instrument of conscience, unconsciously forcing her mother to confront her sin.

Hester's fierce love is most clear at Governor Bellingham's mansion, when the magistrates propose to take Pearl away. Hester's response, "God gave me the

Question number

child!", and her desperate cry "Ye shall not take her! I will die first!" show maternal passion overcoming her usual silence. The exclamatory, defiant language reveals that Pearl is the only thing keeping her alive and sane. Significantly, she turns to Dimmesdale to speak for her, and he argues that the child is both a blessing and a retribution, an interpretation that sums up Hawthorne's presentation of their bond.

Pearl's questions test the relationship further. When she asks what the scarlet letter means and why the minister keeps his hand over his heart, Hester is tempted to confide in her, but instead lies that she wears it for the sake of its gold thread. When Pearl persists, Hester threatens to shut her in the dark closet. This harsh moment shows how Hester's secret damages even her closest relationship, and how the child's honesty exposes the adults' concealment.

The forest scene is the most dramatic test of their bond. When Hester removes the letter and lets down her hair, Pearl refuses to cross the brook and stamps and shrieks until her mother pins the letter back on. Symbolically, the brook becomes a boundary between Hester's old self and the freedom she imagines, and Pearl will only accept her mother as the woman who wears the letter. Her refusal to accept Dimmesdale's kiss, washing it off in the brook, shows that she demands truth, not secret affection.

Only on the final scaffold, when Dimmesdale acknowledges her, does Pearl become fully human, her tears showing that she will no longer battle with the world. The relationship then matures into lasting love. In the Conclusion, Hawthorne tells us that Pearl was not only alive but "married, and happy, and mindful of her mother", sending letters and gifts from Europe. The tender phrase "mindful of her mother" suggests that their bond survives distance and has been purified by suffering.

Question number

Hawthorne therefore presents mother and daughter as bound by love and shame alike, with Pearl acting both as Hester's punishment and as her salvation.