PEARSON EDEXCEL A-LEVEL ECONOMICS B · 9EB0

ECONOMICS



MARK SCHEME

4.5 RISK AND THE FINANCIAL SECTOR

SCORE	PERCENTAGE
/157	%

STEMUP

These questions belong to Pearson Edexcel, not to us.

The examination questions and mark schemes in this pack were written and published by Pearson Edexcel. They are past assessment materials, reproduced here so that students can revise from them.

What we do not own

STEMUP is not affiliated with Pearson Edexcel and does not own, and makes no claim to own, any of the question or mark scheme material in this pack. Pearson has not endorsed, approved or been involved in the production of it. Pearson, Edexcel and the qualification titles used here belong to their respective owners and appear for identification only.

Where to find the originals

Pearson publishes its past papers and mark schemes free of charge. Always check the current specification at qualifications.pearson.com before you revise, because content and wording can change between series.

If you hold the rights to any material in this pack and would like it amended or removed, contact admin@stemup.co.uk and we will act on it.

Mark Scheme

Q1.

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(a)	**Knowledge 1, Application 2, Analysis 2** **Knowledge/Understanding:** Identifying data 0.95 & 0.75 (1) **Application:** • Correct percentage change formula (1) • Correct data inputted into formula (1) **Analysis:** Calculation of the percentage change in the exchange rate: 0.75-0.95 / 0.95 X 100 = -21% (2) **N.B. award full marks for correct answer between -21% and -21.1%** **NB If no reference to minus/fall/decrease then maximum 4 marks**	**(5)**

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(b)	**Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 2, Evaluation 2** **Knowledge/understanding:** 2 marks for identification of two factors (1+1), e.g. • falling oil prices • falling commodity prices • changing relative exchange rates • government fiscal tightening • low consumer or business confidence • increase in savings **Analysis:** 2 marks for linked explanation of how these explain the fall in Eurozone inflation (1+1), e.g. • Falling oil prices in the world economy reduce key costs of production such as transportation, reducing prices of many products in the economy **Application:** 2 marks (1+1) for reference to the data/candidates' own knowledge, e.g. • From figure 2, Eurozone inflation 0.1% in 2015 (1) • ECB predicts Eurozone inflation to rise 'by just 1 per cent in 2016' (extract A) (1) • Figure 2 shows disinflation occurring (1) • Inflation fell from just over 3% in 2011 (1) to less than 0.25% in 2015 (1) **Evaluation:** 2 marks for evaluation (1+1 / 2) • Inflation likely to rise in the future, with ECB expecting 1.6% in 2017 • Oil prices are very volatile and could easily rise rapidly due to world events such as instability in the Middle East • Rising GDP in the Eurozone may mean more demand-pull inflation in the future • Depends on extent of fiscal tightening by government • Oil prices a significant cost of production for businesses	**(8)**

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(c)	**Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 2,** Likely impacts on the current accounts include: • Reduced demand for Eurozone exports as they appear more expensive when converted into other currencies. • Increased demand for Eurozone imports as they appear cheaper when converted into other currencies.	**(6)**

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1–2	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no link between causes and consequences.
Level 2	3–4	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response or the answer may lack balance.
Level 3	5–6	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using relevant and focused examples which are fully integrated. Economic ideas are applied appropriately to the broad elements of the question.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(c) continued	**Evaluation 4** • Euro may not have appreciated against all currencies. • Euro may have appreciated against currencies of countries who do not receive many Eurozone exports. • Magnitude discussion • May change in the long term • Marshall-Lerner condition • J-curve effects • Non-price factors may be more important	**(4)**

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1–2	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/ reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	3–4	Evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning and appropriate reference to context. Evaluation recognises different viewpoints and/or is critical of the evidence.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(d)	**Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 4,** ECB QE programme is effective: • Explanation of process of QE • should encourage banks to increase lending and thus hopefully increase consumption/investment • Inflation/GDP may well have been much lower without QE • Confidence of markets would have been much lower without QE • QE programme to be extended for a further 6 months • Lower interest rates encourages consumption/investment, thus increasing AD and therefore inflation • Low government bond yields for Eurozone countries • Depreciation of the Euro affecting X-M NB One side of this argument should count as KAA and the opposing argument as Evaluation	**(8)**

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1–2	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no chains of reasoning or links between causes and consequences.
Level 2	3–5	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response; chains of reasoning are developed but the answer may lack balance.
Level 3	6–8	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using relevant and focused examples which are fully integrated. Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems. The answer demonstrates logical and coherent chains of reasoning.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(d) continued	**Evaluation 4** ECB QE programme isn't effective: • Inflation likely to remain below target into at least 2017 • Low inflation is largely down to factors outside the central bank's control (e.g. oil prices) • Lack of confidence among firms and consumers meaning they are not responding as the ECB would like to the QE programme • Banks are still reluctant to lend money to firms and consumers • Time lags • Extension of QE programme suggests that it hasn't worked • Other policies may be more effective NB One side of this argument should count as KAA and the opposing argument as Evaluation	**(4)**

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1–2	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	3–4	Evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning and appropriate reference to context. Evaluation recognises different viewpoints and/or is critical of the evidence.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(e)	**Knowledge 3, Application 3, Analysis 3** **Potential policies may include:** • Fiscal policies, e.g. reduced corporation tax to increase investment and therefore increase AD • Supply-side policies, e.g. increased investment in education to create a more highly-skilled and therefore more productive workforce N.B. Candidates may discuss more than one of each type of policy N.B. Candidates must discuss both fiscal and supply-side policies for level 3	**(9)**

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1–3	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no chains of reasoning or links between causes and consequences.
Level 2	4–6	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response; chains of reasoning are developed but the answer may lack balance.
Level 3	7–9	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using relevant and focused examples which are fully integrated. Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems. The answer demonstrates logical and coherent chains of reasoning.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(e) continued	**Evaluation 6** Evaluation of the policies, e.g. • Governments in many Eurozone countries are having to focus on reducing national debt and can't afford policies such as tax cuts • Supply-side policies take a long time to have an impact on the economy	**(6)**

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1–2	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	3–4	Evidence of evaluation of alternative approaches which is unbalanced. Evaluative comments with supporting evidence/reference to context and a partially-developed chain of reasoning.
Level 3	5–6	Evaluative comments supported by relevant chain of reasoning and appropriate reference to context. Evaluation recognises different viewpoints and/or is critical of the evidence.

Q2.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
	Knowledge 4, Application 4, Analysis 8, Evaluation 9 Arguments that QE **has been** effective: • QE provided financial institutions with additional funding to allow them to increase lending to businesses, thus increasing investment in the economy and therefore economic growth • QE provided financial institutions with additional funding to allow them to increase lending to consumers, thus increasing consumption in the economy and therefore economic growth • Without QE the Eurozone economy may have gone into deflation, thus significantly prolonging the recession due to further reduced consumer demand in anticipation of further falls in price • QE was necessary as an additional monetary policy tool for the central bank after they had already cut interest rates as low as possible and the economy had not responded • Commercial interest rates did not drop as much as the ECB base rate due to confidence concerns from banks, so this acted as another way to reduce interest rates	
	Arguments that QE **has not been** effective: • Many financial institutions used QE funding to improve their own financial stability, increase their liquid assets- rather than increasing lending to businesses/consumers • Lack of consumer/business confidence meant there was a lack of demand for loans • Consumers and firms were increasing savings rather than borrowing due to concerns about stability of the Eurozone economy • Effect was limited by contractionary fiscal policy in many Eurozone countries • Significant spare capacity in European economies during recession which QE is unable to make up for • If recession proves short-lived too much QE could be inflationary • Different European countries experiencing different economic situation so a 'one size fits all' QE approach may be too much for some countries & not enough for others Candidates can use either side of this argument as KAA and the other side as evaluation	**(25)**

Knowledge, application and analysis		
Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1–4	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no chains of reasoning or links between causes and consequences.
Level 2	5–8	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response or superficial, two stage chains of reasoning only.
Level 3	9–12	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to apply economic concepts and relate them directly to the broad elements of the question with evidence integrated into the answer. Analysis is clear and coherent, although it may lack balance. Chains of reasoning are developed but the answer may lack balance.
Level 4	13–16	Demonstrates precise knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using appropriate examples. Analysis is relevant and focused with evidence fully and reliably integrated. Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems. The answer demonstrates logical and coherent chains of reasoning.

Evaluation		
Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1–3	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	4–6	Evidence of evaluation of alternative approaches which is unbalanced leading to unsubstantiated judgements. Evaluative comments with supporting evidence/reference to context and a partially-developed chain of reasoning.
Level 3	7–9	Evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning and appropriate reference to context. Evaluation recognises different viewpoints and is critical of the evidence provided and/or the assumptions underlying the analysis enabling informed judgements to be made.

Q3.

Question Number		Mark
(a)	**Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 1** **Knowledge and analysis:** 1 mark for identification of price inelastic demand and 1 mark for definition of price inelastic demand (a change in price leads to a less than proportionate change in quantity demanded). A further mark for explanation of either of the following: • Price and total revenue moving in the same direction – indication that demand is price inelastic • Demand price inelastic because there are no good substitutes. **Application:** 2 references from Extract 3: (2 marks) • 'Some analysts say that trend (higher prices) should still help lift revenue, even if Brazil produces less' (1) • 'Last year, coffee export revenue fell 19% even though the volume sold rose 10.2% from a year earlier'. (1)	**(5)**

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(b)	**Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 2, Evaluation 2** **Knowledge and analysis:** 1 mark for coffee beans as a cost of production; 1 for affect on supply curve. A further 2 marks for further development/explanation • Supply and demand diagram illustrating a leftward shift in the supply curve and rise in the price of a cup of coffee Or verbal explanation **Application:** up to 2 marks for 2 references to Figure 4: e.g. • Coffee represents 3.6% of the cost of a cup of coffee. (1) • Other costs e.g. rent, packaging, wages and profit represent £ 2.12 out of £2.20 of the price of a cup of coffee. (1) **Evaluation:** (2 marks for any valid point): • Coffee beans only a small proportion of price of a cup of coffee • Competition might mean that coffee shops/chains absorb increased costs by reducing profit margins • Consideration of interdependence under oligopoly.	**(8)**

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(c)	**Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 4** • Understanding of contestability - low barriers to exit (sunk costs) and entry • Examples of exit barriers and entry barriers in the context of coffee bean farmers and coffee shops • Coffee beans - large number of coffee farmers suggesting low barriers to exit and entry. High threat of potential competition suggesting that the market is contestable • Coffee shop market - dominated by three large chains suggesting low contestability • Sunk costs for coffee shops include - heavy advertising; strong global brand names. These limit the threat of potential competition so making the market less contestable.	**(8)**

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1 - 2	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no chains of reasoning or links between causes and consequences.
Level 2	3 - 5	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response; chains of reasoning are developed but the answer may lack balance.
Level 3	6 - 8	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using relevant and focused examples which are fully integrated. Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems. The answer demonstrates logical and coherent chains of reasoning.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(c) continued	**Evaluation 4** • Coffee bean producers - contestability limited because coffee beans can only be grown in certain climates; long growing period • Coffee shops - fairly contestable because; many small independent coffee shops; low start-up costs; few sunk costs: shops and equipment can be leased or rented; skills required relatively low.	**(4)**

Level	Marks	Descriptor
0	0	No evaluative comments
1	1-2	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
2	3-4	Evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning and appropriate reference to context. Evaluation recognises different viewpoints and/or is critical of the evidence.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(d)	**Knowledge 4, Application 4, Analysis 8,** **Evaluation 9** Microeconomic effects: • fluctuating revenues for farmers • uncertainty - difficult for producers to plan investment and output • implications for how the farmer uses his land. Macroeconomic effects: • fluctuating export revenues • fluctuations in the country's balance of payments on current account • could be reflected in fluctuations in the country's GDP • implications for the country's economic development • resources might not be allocated efficiently.	

	Possible evaluation points include: • uncertainty can be reduced by forward purchases of • coffee beans at set prices • much depends on whether there is a long run upward or • downward trend in prices of coffee prices • impact on individual coffee producing countries will depend on the significance of coffee to the country' economy • degree of price fluctuation depends on price elasticities of demand and supply • price fluctuations might act as an incentive for countries to diversify and/or develop manufacturing and tertiary industries • possibility of government intervention to stabilise farmers' incomes e.g. through the introduction of a buffer stock scheme.	**(25)**

Knowledge, application and analysis		
Level	Marks	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1 - 4	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no chains of reasoning or links between causes and consequences.
Level 2	5 - 8	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response or superficial, two stage chains of reasoning only.
Level 3	9 - 12	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to apply economic concepts and relate them directly to the broad elements of the question with evidence integrated into the answer. Analysis is clear and coherent, although it may lack balance. Chains of reasoning are developed but the answer may lack balance.
Level 4	13 - 16	Demonstrates precise knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using appropriate examples. Analysis is relevant and focused with evidence fully and reliably integrated. Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems. The answer demonstrates logical and coherent chains of reasoning.

Evaluation		
Level	Marks	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1 - 3	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	4 - 6	Evidence of evaluation of alternative approaches which is unbalanced, leading to unsubstantiated judgements. Evaluative comments with supporting evidence/reference to context and a partially developed chain of reasoning.
Level 3	7 - 9	Evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning and appropriate reference to context. Evaluation recognises different viewpoints and is critical of the evidence provided and/or the assumptions underlying the analysis enabling informed judgements to be made.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(e)	**Knowledge 4, Application 4, Analysis 8, Evaluation 9** Microeconomic effects: • understanding of the impact of depreciation of the *real:* • Brazilian exports will be cheaper abroad, leading to higher demand while imports into Brazil will be more expensive resulting in a decrease in demand • primary producers: export revenues may fall since demand for many primary products is price inelastic • increase in costs for firms reliant on imported raw materials • increase in competitiveness for Brazilian exporters of manufactured goods. Macroeconomic effects: Brazil's currency depreciated by 20% in 2013 • this should increase the competitiveness of its goods and services and lead to an improvement in the current account of the balance of payments • this could lead to an increase in employment • cost push inflation • there is an increase in the real burden of foreign debt.	

	Possible evaluation points include: • 20% depreciation is significant but much depends on whether this is sustained in the medium term • impact on individual Brazilian producers depends on their reliance on imports and/or exports • inflation is a problem so any competitive advantage may be lost quickly. (Depreciation raises the cost of imported raw materials and goods) • impact on current account will depend on whether the Marshall-Lerner condition is fulfilled • Also: short run and long run effect on the current account may be analysed using the J curve effect.	**(25)**

Knowledge, application and analysis		
Level	Marks	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1 - 4	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no chains of reasoning or links between causes and consequences.
Level 2	5 - 8	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response or superficial, two stage chains of reasoning only.
Level 3	9 - 12	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to apply economic concepts and relate them directly to the broad elements of the question with evidence integrated into the answer. Analysis is clear and coherent, although it may lack balance. Chains of reasoning are developed but the answer may lack balance.
Level 4	13 - 16	Demonstrates precise knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using appropriate examples. Analysis is relevant and focused with evidence fully and reliably integrated. Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems. The answer demonstrates logical and coherent chains of reasoning.

Evaluation		
Level	Marks	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1 - 3	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	4 - 6	Evidence of evaluation of alternative approaches which is unbalanced, leading to unsubstantiated judgements. Evaluative comments with supporting evidence/reference to context and a partially developed chain of reasoning.
Level 3	7 - 9	Evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning and appropriate reference to context. Evaluation recognises different viewpoints and is critical of the evidence provided and/or the assumptions underlying the analysis enabling informed judgements to be made.

Q4.

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(a)	**Knowledge 2, Application 1, Analysis 1** **Knowledge/understanding of one role (2):** **E.g.** • To lend to businesses and individuals (1) to help them consume/invest (1) • To facilitate the exchange of goods and services (1) increasing consumption/investment (1) • To facilitate saving (1) so consumers can build up money to purchase expensive items (1) • To provide forward markets (1) so firms can reduce the risk of fluctuating prices (1) • To provide a market for equities (1) so firms can seek investment from shareholders (1) **Application (1):** A relevant reference to the data, e.g. • Many small businesses required a loan to help them survive the downturn in the economy (1) • Interest rate of 2.5% for up to 6 years (1) • Up to £50,000 could be borrowed for up to 6 years (1) **Analysis (1):** Linked development 1 mark for e.g. • Businesses will already have accounts, loans, etc. with banks so the banks were in a position to quickly issue these loans to their customers (1) • Loans provided finance for firms suffering a drop in demand (1) • Loans allow firms to grow/expand more quickly (1) • Helps to boost AD/increase economic growth (1) • Firms can invest in innovation (1) • Increase in productivity (1) • Impact on housing market (1) • Importance of saving to help development (1) • Bonds to finance government spending (1)	**(4)**

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(b)	**The only correct answer is A** ***B*** *is not correct because this would not lead to crowding out* ***C*** *is not correct because there is no suggestion of market rigging taking place* ***D*** *is not correct because there is no suggestion of speculation here*	**(1)**

Q5.

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(a)	**Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 1** **Knowledge/Understanding:** (1+1 or 2) marks Explanation of forward markets in currencies, e.g. • Firms buy their currency in advance (1) • Firms agree a fixed price (1) for purchase of foreign currency in the future (1) **Application:** (1+1) marks Reference to Extract A, e.g. • Currency 'has a big impact' on their business • Buying currency as soon as a large order is confirmed • 'The pound's post-Brexit referendum depreciation' Other application e.g. use of Figure 1. NB at least one piece of data must come from Extract A for the full 2 Ap marks. **Analysis:** (1) mark Linked development, e.g. • Enables firms to reduce risk/uncertainty • Firms can be certain about the cost of their imports in pounds	**(5)**

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(b)	**Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 2, Evaluation 2** **Knowledge/understanding:** 1+1 marks for identification of likely impacts of a fall in the value of sterling, e.g. • Import inflation change in cost of production for firms • Export/demand pull inflation • Changes in FDI • A change in unemployment • Economic growth • The will be an impact in the current account **Analysis:** 2 marks for linked explanation of these impacts (1+1 or 2 marks for one well developed explanation), e.g. • Fall in the value of sterling makes UK good appear cheaper to consumers in foreign countries when converted into their own currency (1) • Fall in the value of sterling makes UK imports more expensive to UK consumers when converted into pounds (1)	

	Application: (1+1) or 2 marks for reference to figure 1 and/or extract A, e.g. • Pound fell from around $1.50 (1) rapidly to $1.30 (1) after the Brexit vote • Pound fell further to a low over the period shown of just over $1.20 (1) in October/November 2016 (1) • 'pound's post-Brexit referendum depreciation' (1) • Pound fell by approximately 12% after the vote to leave the EU (2) • Trend of depreciating pound (1) **Evaluation:** 2 marks for evaluation (1+1 or 2 marks for one well developed explanation) • Short-term impact - both figure 1 and extract A show how pound has risen towards mid/late 2017 • Price elasticity of demand for UK imports/exports • J-curve effect • Marshall-Lerner condition **NB If the answer relates to a small rise in exchange rates e.g. since March 2017 then full marks can be awarded for a rise in exchange rate**	**(8)**

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(c)	**Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 2,** Likely impacts on subjective happiness: • Consumers have less real income to spend on goods/services that affect their happiness • Consumers may worry more about the state of their personal finances • Consumers may worry about ability to continue paying mortgages/other debts **NB For a level 3 answer there must be context from last paragraph of Extract C e.g. reference to credit cards and personal loan repayments**	**(6)**

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1–2	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no link between causes and consequences.
Level 2	3–4	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response or the answer may lack balance.
Level 3	5–6	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using relevant and focused examples which are fully integrated. Economic ideas are applied appropriately to the broad elements of the question.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(c) continued	**Evaluation 4** • Other factors affect happiness beside real income, e.g. leisure time, environment, etc. • Record low unemployment likely to lead to rising real incomes in future • Rises in real income may have a different effect on happiness than falls in real income • May be increased borrowing to make up for falling real incomes	**(4)**

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1–2	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/ reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	3–4	Evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning and appropriate reference to context. Evaluation recognises different viewpoints and/or is critical of the evidence.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(d)	**Knowledge 4, Application 2, Analysis 2,** Central Bank would use monetary policy (interest rates and quantitative easing) to control inflation: • Tighter monetary policy in response to rising inflation may create a conflict with objective of low unemployment- Phillips curve • Higher interest rates in response to rising inflation may create rising inequality if savers benefit and borrowers suffer • Higher interest rates in response to rising inflation may cause the sterling exchange rate to rise which can worsen the balance of payments on current account • Higher interest rates likely to reduce consumption/investment and therefore AD, so controlling inflation but reducing economic growth **NB Accept expansionary and contractionary monetary policy**	**(8)**

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1–2	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no chains of reasoning or links between causes and consequences.
Level 2	3–5	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response; chains of reasoning are developed but the answer may lack balance.
Level 3	6–8	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using relevant and focused examples which are fully integrated. Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems. The answer demonstrates logical and coherent chains of reasoning.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(d) continued	**Evaluation 4** There may not be a conflict between some macroeconomic objectives: • UK economy has had both low inflation and low unemployment recently so is the Phillips curve really still valid? • If incomes keep pace with inflation then inequality won't be an issue • Cutting QE reduces asset prices thereby reducing inequality • Depends on significance of change in monetary policy	**(4)**

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1–2	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	3–4	Evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning and appropriate reference to context. Evaluation recognises different viewpoints and/or is critical of the evidence.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(e)	**Knowledge 3, Application 3, Analysis 3** **Arguments that providing support to banks is the best policy:** • Economy is dependent on financial system working smoothly • People could have lost their savings if banks had been allowed to fail, leading to massive drop in confidence • Potential for risk of depression had government not intervened • Government has recouped much of the money invested into bailing out the banks anyway- Government 'recovered every penny of its investment in Lloyds' **NB Discussion of other policies, e.g. central bank regulation, can also be awarded as KAA** **NB Candidates may argue that providing support to banks is not the best policy as KAA and the opposite as evaluation**	**(9)**

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1–3	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no chains of reasoning or links between causes and consequences.
Level 2	4–6	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response; chains of reasoning are developed but the answer may lack balance.
Level 3	7–9	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using relevant and focused examples which are fully integrated. Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems. The answer demonstrates logical and coherent chains of reasoning.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(e) continued	**Evaluation 6** **Arguments that providing support to banks is not the best policy:** • Huge expenditure for government that, for example in the case of the UK, already has a significant national debt- £65bn bailout of RBS and LBG. Will have to be repaid by future generations of taxpayers. • Moral hazard: will encourage reckless behaviour from the banks in the future, expecting a bailout again • Government looking unlikely to recoup losses from RBS for some time (in contrast to Lloyds) • Other policies, e.g. expansionary fiscal policy, may have been more effective **NB Candidates may argue that providing support to banks is not the best policy as KAA and the opposite as evaluation**	**(6)**

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1–2	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	3–4	Evidence of evaluation of alternative approaches which is unbalanced. Evaluative comments with supporting evidence/reference to context and a partially-developed chain of reasoning.
Level 3	5–6	Evaluative comments supported by relevant chain of reasoning and appropriate reference to context. Evaluation recognises different viewpoints and/or is critical of the evidence.