

PEARSON EDEXCEL A-LEVEL ECONOMICS B • 9EB0

ECONOMICS



EXAM QUESTION PACK

2.5 THE ECONOMIC CYCLE
AGGREGATE DEMAND

SCORE

/992

PERCENTAGE

%

STEMUP

These questions belong to Pearson Edexcel, not to us.

The examination questions and mark schemes in this pack were written and published by Pearson Edexcel. They are past assessment materials, reproduced here so that students can revise from them.

What we do not own

STEMUP is not affiliated with Pearson Edexcel and does not own, and makes no claim to own, any of the question or mark scheme material in this pack. Pearson has not endorsed, approved or been involved in the production of it. Pearson, Edexcel and the qualification titles used here belong to their respective owners and appear for identification only.

Where to find the originals


Pearson publishes its past papers and mark schemes free of charge. Always check the current specification at [qualifications.pearson.com](https://www.pearson.com/qualifications) before you revise, because content and wording can change between series.

If you hold the rights to any material in this pack and would like it amended or removed, contact admin@stemup.co.uk and we will act on it.

Questions

Q1.

Real GDP in selected economies (the base year 2008=100)



(Source: <http://www.ons.gov.uk/ons/rel/elmr/gdp-and-the-labour-market/q1-2014-may-gdp-update/sty-gdp-g7-economies.html?format=print>)

(a) Which **one** of the following can be inferred from the chart?

(1)

- ☒ A The UK's real GDP is smaller in 2014 than it was in 2008
- ☒ B Between 2008 and 2014 France's real GDP growth rate was higher than Italy's
- ☒ C Between 2008 and 2014 inflation was lower in France than in the UK
- ☒ D France experienced a greater fall in real GDP than the UK in 2014

(b) Define the term 'real GDP'.

(2)

.....


.....

.....

.....

(c) Illustrate a negative output gap on the diagram below.

(1)



(Total for question = 4 marks)

Q2.

The table below shows GDP per capita at purchasing power parities (PPPs) for a selection of European countries in 2013.

Country	GDP per capita (US\$, at PPPs, 2013, rounded)
UK	38 500
Spain	33 000
Italy	35 500

(Source: <http://data.worldbank.org/indicator/NY.GDP.PCAP.PP.CD>)

(a) Define the term 'purchasing power parities'.

(2)

.....

.....

.....

.....

(b) The Office for National Statistics estimates that the UK population was 64 million in 2013. Using the data, what was the UK's total GDP in 2013?

(1)

☒ **A** \$2 464 000 million


☒ **B** \$2 272 000 million

☒ **C** \$1 662 000 million

☒ **D** \$2 112 000 million

(c) Diagram A shows the aggregate demand and aggregate supply for a country. Illustrate actual economic growth on Diagram A.

(1)



(Total for question = 4 marks)

Q3.

Read Figures 1 to 4 and extracts C and D before answering this question.

The German economy

Figure 1: German government budget balance, percentage of GDP, 2010 to 2019




Figure 2: German national debt, percentage of GDP, 2010 to 2019




Figure 3: Annual percentage change in real GDP, Germany and average of developed economies, 2005 to 2021 (forecasted data for 2020 and 2021)





Figure 4: Unemployment rate in Germany, percentage of labour force, 2005 to 2020

(2020 figure is forecasted)



(Source adapted from: bundesagentur für arbeit.de and <https://www.ft.com/content/5486b247-c73e-4887-a2b2-528b23e301a6>)

Extract C

Why Germany keeps to budget rules despite a slowdown in growth

Germany's economic boom is over, as it has entered recession. During the last ten years of economic growth well over 4 million jobs were created.

The fear of recession has revived a debate in Germany: should the government spend more to stimulate growth? It is written into the German constitution that the fiscal deficit cannot be greater than 0.35% of GDP, once the effects of the economic cycle have been removed. Germany's budget has been in surplus since 2014 and the government is always reluctant to increase spending which would create a deficit. In 2018, aided by booming employment and low interest costs on existing debt, the budget ran to a surplus 1.9% of GDP.

Germany's main trading partners have long been angered by German fiscal policy. The French President criticised Germany's budget and current account surpluses that "always occur at the expense of others".

Large parts of Germany's infrastructure need significant investment. As the economy has slowed, a decision to run a balanced-budget policy has become harder to defend. In wealthy regions of Germany, crumbling schools have been closed for fear of collapse, and information and mobile technology on a wide scale needs to be modernised. The World Economic Forum reported that accessibility of fibre optic broadband also "remains the privilege of the few". However, private sector firms, such as major motor manufacturers, are still willing to invest in new technology and the profitability of some of these firms, in the long run, benefits as a result.

The state development bank puts Germany's investment shortfall at €138 billion (£120 billion). Arguments for a much more expansionary fiscal policy have failed to influence government policy. Big government programmes, such as a recent package to reduce Germany's carbon emissions, are only implemented when they satisfy fiscal rules.

(Source adapted from: The Economist Nov 14th 2019 and <https://www.ft.com/content/3cec4908-d164-11e9-8367-807ebd53ab77> 7th September 2019 and <https://www.ft.com/content/198f072e-4e42-11ea-95a0-43d18ec715f5> and <https://www.ft.com/content/d89d9404-c586-11e9-a8e9-296ca66511c9> and <https://www.ft.com/content/8f87c03c-93dc-11e8-b67b-b8205561c3fe>)

Extract D

Germany drops to number 7 in the Global Competitiveness Index

Despite being the largest economy in the European Union, Germany's competitiveness is declining, according to the World Economic Forum (WEF). Germany dropped four places in the WEF's Global Competitiveness Index, coming in as the seventh-most competitive economy. Out of the 103 indicators used in the report, Germany received lower scores in 53 areas in 2019.

5

(Source: <https://www.dw.com/en/germany-falls-in-global-competitiveness-ranking/a-50745071> 8 October 2019)

(a) Explain **one** reason why a country such as Germany wants to avoid an increase in the national debt relative to GDP (Figure 2).

(5)

(b) Examine **two** likely effects of the forecast change in the rate of unemployment between 2019 to 2020, on firms in Germany. Refer to Figure 4 in your answer.

(8)

(c) Discuss the likely impact of investment in new technology on the profitability of firms in Germany, as described in Extract C line 20. Use a cost and revenue diagram to support your answer.

(12)

EITHER

(d) Evaluate the microeconomic and macroeconomic factors which are likely to determine the rate of economic growth in Germany relative to other developed economies.

(25)

OR

(e) Evaluate the microeconomic and macroeconomic impacts of 'a much more expansionary fiscal policy' (Extract C line 23) on the German economy.

(25)

(Total for question = 50 marks)

Q4.

UK Consumer Prices Index (the base year 2005=100)

September 2013	126.8
September 2014	128.4
September 2015	128.2

(Source: <http://www.ons.gov.uk/ons/publications/re-reference-tables.html?edition=tcn%3A77-323657>)

(a) Calculate the percentage change in the UK Consumer Prices Index from September 2014 to September 2015. You are advised to show your working.

(2)

.....

.....

.....

.....

(b) Define the term 'deflation'.

(1)

.....

.....

(c) Which **one** of the following is most likely to be a cause of deflation? A fall in:

(1)

- ☒ A oil prices
- ☒ B unemployment
- ☒ C income tax rates
- ☒ D interest rates

(Total for question = 4 marks)

Q5.

Mozambique

Figure 2: Interest rates (bank rate of the central bank) and inflation rates of selected

African countries, 2017

Selected country	Central bank rate of interest	Inflation rate (CPI measure)
Congo (D.R.)	14%	13.8%
Ghana	21%	9.1%
Kenya	10%	10.1%
Malawi	18%	21.4%
Mozambique	21.75%	18.0%
Nigeria	14%	21.1%

(Source: official government websites, and other news sources, July 2017)

Extract D

Rising debt levels in Africa

Increases in national debt have brought several African governments towards a debt-servicing crisis when the repayment of debt and interest become unsustainable. Between 2010 and 2015, many sub-Saharan countries raised debt totalling more than £20 billion. Back then, with commodity prices soaring and foreign loans available at very low interest rates, everyone agreed that borrowing was the way to grow an economy with expansionary fiscal policy. Since 2015, some African governments – beneficiaries of big debt write-offs at the start of the century – have taken to private debt markets too eagerly, leaving them with heavy repayment schedules at a time of lower commodity prices.

5
10

Until recently, the International Monetary Fund (IMF) has played down African debt concerns, pointing to better management of public resources and greater transparency. But it was shaken by Mozambique's default on more than £2 billion of secret loans used to purchase a non-existent tuna-fishing fleet, raising fears of hidden debt in other African countries with similar levels of corruption. The median level of debt in sub-Saharan Africa had risen sharply from 34% of gross domestic product in 2013 to 48% in 2017. Although that is low by international standards, analysts said debt burdens were heavier than they appeared because of most African countries' low tax base. "The real thing to look for is debt to revenue, or debt-service as a percentage of government spending," said John Ashbourne, Africa Economist at Capital Economics. In several countries, he said, debt payments were above 20% of government revenue, with an opportunity cost in terms of government spending.

15
20

(Source: adapted from African debt worries intensify as levels near tipping point by David Pilling © Financial Times 2017 <https://www.ft.com/content/939808dc-b4d8-11e7-a398-73d59db9e399>)

Extract E

Mozambique's economic stability is being put to the test

The economy of Mozambique, which gained independence from Portugal in 1975, has continued to under-perform. Large-scale emigration, especially of skilled workers, economic dependence on South Africa, a severe drought, a prolonged civil war and political tensions have hindered the country's development. More than half of Mozambique's 26 million people continue to live below the poverty line. 5

GDP growth declined to 3.6% in 2016 due to fiscal tightening and a slowdown in foreign direct investment. A weak manufacturing sector employs just 3.2% of the population, and is made up of small enterprises (90%), many of which were set up with the aid of microfinance. Traditional export earnings dropped due to depressed global demand. In addition a wide-scale drought seriously affected agricultural production. Foreign currency inflows have weakened – as large-scale gas projects were put on hold, and 14 external lenders suspended direct budget support, as a lesson to be learned from the tuna-fleet scandal. The state budget deficit was 10.7% of GDP in 2017. High interest rates have reduced aggregate demand, and import costs added to inflation following further depreciation of Mozambique's currency, the *metical*, to a new low of 100 meticals to £1. 10 15

Mozambique needs urgently to improve its investment environment and confidence in its institutions. The World Economic Forum's global competitiveness ranking placed Mozambique 136 out of 137 countries.

Longer term, Mozambique's economic prospects are promising. There has been progress in talks on restoring international confidence in the government's running of the economy, leading to a lasting and sustainable agreement between rival political groups. The development of gas fields off Mozambique's coast discovered in 2011 is set to transform the economy, coming into production in the 2020s. A rise in coal and electricity exports should help growth to increase. But in the short term, it remains uncertain whether Mozambique can deliver badly needed economic stability. 20 25

(Source: adapted from <http://www.africaneconomicoutlook.org/en/country-notes/mozambique> and <https://www.chathamhouse.org/expert/comment/mozambique-s-stability-being-put-test> 6 October 2017 Dr Alex Vines OBE)


Extract F

Microfinance in Mozambique

Microfinance in Mozambique started in the late 1980s through projects initiated by international relief organisations. The sector has expanded to include many private banks and non-government organisations (NGOs), see Figure 3. This has resulted in wider use (over 100 000 borrowers) and many new business start-ups which could not have gained finance from any other source. Evidence suggests that there is unfulfilled demand for microfinance and a large potential for expansion. 5

(Source: adapted from <http://www.mftransparency.org/microfinance-pricing/mozambique/>)

Figure 3: The cost of microfinance loans in Mozambique, 2015



(Source: <http://www.mftransparency.org/microfinance-pricing/mozambique/>)

(a) With reference to Extract D line 21, explain why 'opportunity cost' is a problem for governments of developing countries when servicing debt.

(5)

(b) Examine **two** reasons, apart from access to finance, why 90% of the manufacturing sector in Mozambique 'is made up of small enterprises' (Extract E, line 9).

(8)

(c) Discuss whether borrowers benefit from microfinance. Make reference to Mozambique in your answer.

(12)

EITHER

(d) Evaluate the microeconomic and macroeconomic factors, apart from access to credit and banking, influencing growth and development in Mozambique.

(25)

OR

(e) Evaluate the likely microeconomic and macroeconomic effects of relatively high inflation rates in many African countries.

(Total for question = 50 marks)

Q6.

Some questions must be answered with a cross in a box (☒). If you change your mind about an answer, put a line through the box (☒) and then mark your new answer with a cross (☒).

Use the data to support your answers where relevant. You may annotate and include diagrams in your answers.

The table below shows the UK's nominal Gross Domestic Product (GDP) and population, 2016 to 2018.

Year	GDP (£ millions)	Population (millions)
2016	1 995 478	65.6
2017	2 033 234	66.0
2018	2 061 408	66.4

(Source: ONS, <https://www.ons.gov.uk/>)

(a) Define the term 'nominal'.

(1)

.....

.....

.....

.....

(b) Using the above GDP data, which **one** of the following represents the index number for 2016, if 2017 is the base year?

(1)

- ☒ A 96.8
- ☒ B 98.1
- ☒ C 101.9

✗ D 103.3

(c) Calculate the UK's nominal GDP per capita in 2018. You are advised to show your working.

(2)

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

(Total for question = 4 marks)

Q7.

The British pound fell by over 10% to a 30-year low against the US dollar after the UK voted to leave the European Union.


To what extent will this depreciation impact on future economic growth in the UK?

(Total for question = 25 marks)

Q8.


The UK economy

Figure 1: Unemployment - International Labour Organisation (ILO) and the claimant count, millions, 2010-2016



(Source: ONS Datasets, <https://www.ons.gov.uk/>)


Figure 2: Household savings*, 2010-2015



* Savings as a percentage of household disposable income

(Source: <http://www.tradingeconomics.com/united-kingdom/personal-savings>)

Figure 3: UK average house prices, 2005-2016



(Source: <http://www.telegraph.co.uk/property/house-prices/the-state-of-the-uk-housing-market-in-five-charts/>)

Extract A

Soaring house prices

House prices are still rising rapidly. The average UK house price was £214 000 in June 2016, according to the Office for National Statistics (ONS). This is £24 000 more than it was at its peak in September 2007, just before the economic downturn. First-time buyers have experienced the largest rise in house prices.

5

Even the loss of consumer confidence over Britain's vote to leave the EU did not stop house prices from rising – annual growth increased from 5.2% in July 2016 to 5.6% in August 2016. House price growth was the strongest in London, with annual average prices rising by 9.6%. However, in Wales average house prices actually fell by 0.5% during the same period. In its first post-Brexit referendum forecast, estate agency Countrywide said that house prices will fall by 1% across the UK in 2017, before rising by 2% in 2018.

10

Real household income in the UK fell by 10.4% in the years following the financial crisis. This increased the difference between real income and house prices. In 1997, a house cost little more than twice the average income. By early 2015, the average price of a house was five times the average annual income.

15

The rate of home ownership for 25 to 34-year-olds has fallen to 35.8% over the last twenty-five years as houses became less affordable. First-time buyers have to wait longer to save up for a house deposit. Conversely, home ownership has risen to 78.6% among those aged 65 to 74.

(Source: adapted from 'The state of the UK housing market in five charts', The Telegraph, <http://www.telegraph.co.uk/property/house-prices/the-state-of-the-uk-housing-market-in-five-charts/>; 'UK house price growth slows as demand cools after Brexit vote', The Guardian, <https://www.theguardian.com/business/2016/sep/30/uk-house-price-growth-slows-demand-cools-brex-it-vote-nationwide>)

Extract B

UK migration trends in the year ending June 2016

Inward migration levels are among the highest ever recorded with an estimated 650 000 people moving to the UK. This is an increase of around 11 000 from the previous year.

Employment remains the most common reason for long-term migration (312 000) to the UK, with around 182 000 of these coming with a definite job and 130 000 looking for work. 5

Long-term migration to the UK for study was estimated to be 163 000. In addition, 80 000 long-term migrants arrived in the UK to accompany their families. There were 41 280 refugees applying for asylum, including dependants, of which 10 547 people were granted asylum. Asylum applicants cannot usually accept offers of employment while their case is being processed. 10

(Source: adapted from 'Migration Statistics Quarterly Report: December 2016', ONS, <https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/populationandmigration/internationalmigration/bulletins/migrationstatisticsquarterlyreport/dec2016>)

(a) With reference to Figure 1, explain one reason why the claimant count and the ILO measure of unemployment differ.

(4)

(b) With reference to Extract A, assess the likely macroeconomic effects of an increase in house prices. Use an aggregate demand and aggregate supply diagram in your answer.

(10)

(c) With reference to the information provided, explain **one** likely factor, other than changes in house prices, that could influence the level of savings of UK households.

(5)

(d) Explain **two** factors that might cause a rise in the value of the multiplier.

(6)

(e) With reference to Extract B and Figure 1, discuss the likely impact of migration on employment **and** unemployment in the UK.

(15)

EITHER

(f) Evaluate government policies, apart from migration policies, that could be used to increase the employment rate in the UK.

(20)

OR

(g) Evaluate the likely impact of high inflation on the UK government's macroeconomic objectives.

(20)

(Total for question = 60 marks)

Q9.

Read extracts A and B before answering this question.

Hand car wash (HCW) firms in the UK

Extract A

What is the true human cost of your £5 hand car wash (HCW)?

The UK's hand car washes (HCWs) are extremely price competitive, but they have also been linked to modern slavery. Are they ever fair for workers?

There is little agreement about how many HCWs there are in the UK. Estimates range from 10 000 to 20 000. This lack of accurate information about the industry makes government regulation very difficult. Automated car washes, with their fierce rotating bristles, used to be the first option for drivers in a hurry. Now there is more choice. While the economy slows and incomes fail to keep up with inflation, demand for HCWs has grown. Many people see paying £5 for a car washed by someone else, rather than cleaning it at home, as a small expense which yields a high utility. But what is the true cost of a £5 car wash – and what should we be paying?

The growth of HCWs is partly the result of changes in the structure of industry in the UK. Many petrol stations have closed as drivers fill up at supermarkets. Garages and their forecourts have closed as cars become more reliable and locked into service agreements. The available sites for HCWs have therefore increased significantly and rents have fallen.

HCW entrepreneurs have identified available land and have benefitted from changes in the labour market, partly as a result of EU migration. UK drivers are now able to obtain cheap and effective hand car washing. For many migrants, car washes are a first job. "They accept car washing for a short period while they improve their language skills and move into other industries," says Ian Clark, a professor of work and employment at Nottingham Business School. "But there are also car-wash workers without networks who are in a dead end, working there for long periods."

Many drivers are only interested in getting the cheapest wash. If the price is very low, it probably means that workers are receiving less than the minimum wage and working in poor conditions. Crude calculations illustrate the problem. A £5 HCW employing five workers for 10 hours a day would need to wash 79 cars a day to just cover the wage costs. This assumes the workers are paid the minimum wage. This is one car every seven and a half minutes. Even if the profit can be higher on valet services, the price of which can be as little as £12 for a full inside-and-out clean, it's hard to see how a car wash price as low as £5 pays a living wage. This ignores all other costs which HCWs incur such as business rates and rent.

Evidence from car-wash workers is limited but Clark and others have been able to build a picture of some of the tougher conditions on drenched forecourts. "Like nail bars and small garment manufacturers, car washes are what we call 'hard-to-reach places,'" Clark explains. As part of the research, Clark and his team spoke to workers from 45 HCWs in the Midlands.

Clark and his team met and observed workers who lacked waterproof boots or trousers, or hi-vis jackets and gloves. "They're spraying around hydrochloric acid solution for alloy wheels, breathing in the vapour and fumes," Clark says. Some workers were paid a little over half the minimum wage.

(Source adapted from: <https://www.theguardian.com/world/2018/jul/16/true-human-cost-5-pound-hand-car-wash-modern-slavery>)

Extract B

Government intervention in the HCW industry

There are three main areas of government intervention that might impact on labour intensive firms such as HCWs:

First, there is the planning issue which focuses on the impact on the environment, for example, the disposal and recycling of waste water and chemicals. There could be planning regulations to prevent the use of tarmac rather than concrete on forecourts. Tarmac allows waste water and chemicals to seep into the sub soil. It could also be a requirement to have a sludge trap to stop the waste entering waterways. 5

Second, there is the health and safety issue for workers. Prolonged exposure to chemicals and lack of protective clothing puts the health of workers at risk. Performance targets could involve minimum levels of protective clothing and rest breaks for the workers. 10

Third, there is the issue of tax. The informal nature of the business type makes tax evasion easier.

Not all UK HCWs violate regulations. There are legitimate, regulated HCW firms as well as examples of good practice by independent outlets. One national supermarket, Tesco, has banned all independent hand car washes from its car parks. It is now in a partnership agreement with national car wash operator Waves. It uses a WashMark certificate of quality and compliance which was introduced by the industry to improve working conditions. Other major supermarkets are considering similar changes. One adviser believes £9 is a reasonable minimum price for a basic wash. Some pressure groups have developed a mobile phone app where evidence of unreasonable conditions can be reported by drivers. 15 20

Involving drivers in the issue, and making them demand fairer car washes, creates an incentive for good businesses to improve practices and come forward to get a WashMark certificate. 25

(Source adapted from: <https://www.parliament.uk/documents/commons-committees/environmental-audit/Hand-Car-Wash-evidence.pdf> research into HCWs by Professor Ian Clark, Nottingham Business School May 2018)

- (a) Using the information provided, explain the market structure that best describes the hand car wash (HCW) industry in the UK. (5)
- (b) Examine **two** reasons why the demand for HCWs increases during a period when consumer 'incomes fail to keep up with inflation' (Extract A line 8). (8)
- (c) Discuss the likely effects of changes in the level of migration on firms such as HCWs in the UK. Use a labour market diagram and the information provided to support your answer. (12)

EITHER

- (d) Evaluate the microeconomic and macroeconomic factors which determine the number of firms in an industry. Refer to HCWs or another industry of your choice. (25)

OR

(e) Evaluate the microeconomic and macroeconomic effects of increased government intervention in an industry. Refer to HCWs or another industry of your choice.


(25)

(Total for question = 50 marks)

Q10.

The chart below shows the annual percentage change in average weekly nominal earnings and the inflation rate in the UK as measured by changes in the CPI from March 2004 to March 2014.

**Annual percentage change in average weekly nominal earnings and inflation rate (CPI),
March 2004 to March 2014**



(Source: ONS)

(a) Which **one** of the following can be inferred from the chart?

(1)

- A** Throughout the period shown, average weekly nominal earnings have risen.
- B** From March 2004 to March 2008, average weekly real earnings were falling.
- C** Throughout the period shown, average weekly real earnings have risen.
- D** From April 2010 to December 2013, average weekly real earnings were falling.

Answer

☐

(b) Explain the likely effect of a decrease in average weekly real earnings on the equilibrium level of real national output in the UK. Include an aggregate demand and aggregate supply diagram in your answer.

(4)

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

(Total for question = 5 marks)

Q11.

Use the data to support your answers where relevant. You may annotate and include diagrams in your answers.

The International Monetary Fund has called on the G20 group of large industrialised countries to boost government spending on infrastructure.

(Source: <https://www.theguardian.com/business/2016/jul/23/imf-calls-for-more-government-spending-as-rate-cuts-lose-their-impact>)

(a) Draw an aggregate demand and aggregate supply diagram to show the likely impact of an increase in government spending on infrastructure on a country's price level and real output.

(4)



(b) Which **one** of the following would be an example of government spending on infrastructure?

(1)


An increase in:

- ☒ **A** construction work on new railway lines
- ☒ **B** interest payments on the national debt
- ☒ **C** salaries for teachers
- ☒ **D** unemployment benefit payments

(Total for question = 5 marks)

Q12.

The chart below shows UK inflation as measured by the Consumer Prices Index (CPI), 2011 to 2015.



(Source: http://www.ons.gov.uk/ons/dcp171780_427182.pdf)

(a) Which **one** of the following statements is correct about the UK's inflation record between September 2011 and January 2013?

Based on the data shown, the UK experienced:

(1)

- ☒ A deflation
- ☒ B disinflation
- ☒ C falling average prices
- ☒ D falling money supply

(b) With reference to the data provided, explain the process of calculating the rate of inflation in the UK using the Consumer Prices Index. Refer to the concept of weights in your answer.

(4)

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Q13.

Chile

Figure 1: Copper prices, US dollars per tonne, 2007-2015





Figure 2: US dollar per 100 Chile pesos exchange rate, 2007-2015



Figure 3: Chile: copper output and real GDP growth, 2007-2015



Extract A

Chile's economic outlook brightens

Chile has been hit hard by a worldwide fall in commodity prices since 2011. Copper accounts for 20% of Chile's GDP and 60% of its exports; one third of the world's copper is produced by Chile. China purchased 40% of the world's copper, so a slowdown in China combined with increased global over-supply has meant copper prices have collapsed (see Figure 1). Chilean government income from copper exports had reached \$11.5 billion a year before copper prices fell, but now tax revenues from this source have fallen drastically. Growing numbers of copper mines struggle to break even at current prices.	5
Chile's GDP is now growing, helped by a weak currency that has boosted export industries outside the mining sector, such as its successful wine and salmon industries. There are strengths in tourism and high-tech products. Public services are good in Chile, and poverty rates have been falling fast. On top of this, a large and diversified financial sector with high domestic savings provides a useful safety net, given high levels of corporate debt and the government's need to finance a fiscal deficit of 3% of GDP.	10 15
Chile's economy is often regarded as the best run in the region. This is attributed to the credibility of its financial institutions, relatively low levels of national debt (about 15% of GDP) and its free-trade model, which is unrestricted by government interventionism that has distorted the economies of countries such as Argentina and Venezuela. "Chile is an example of how credible institutions can smooth the economic cycle and make adjustments less traumatic," said Mr Valdés, the minister of finance in Chile, pointing to its widely respected and independent central bank and a well-established fiscal rule that give officials the freedom to implement counter-cyclical policies.	20
However, there are worries that without enough spare capacity in the economy, expansionary fiscal and monetary policies could end up increasing inflation rather than economic growth. Meanwhile monetary policy is restricted by inflation that has reached 5%, well outside the central bank's 2–4% target range, fuelled by a weaker exchange rate. Crucially, investment remains low because of uncertainty over the outcome of the Prime Minister's reforms, which are aimed at reducing inequality. A recent rise in corporation tax from 20% to 25% and labour market reforms that strengthen the power of trade unions may have a negative effect on business confidence.	25 30
Despite a "mildly contractionary" budget, Valdés insisted that the government would continue with costly reforms. Increased taxes on those on higher incomes are considered by the government to be necessary to sustain economic development in Chile. "We do want to change society, while recognising all the good things that have been done in the past 25 years," said Mr Valdés, referring to an average growth rate of 5.3% over the past three decades, but under 2% in 2015. There is broad consensus that investment in education is the key to unlocking Chile's growth potential.	35

(Sources: adapted from <http://www.ft.com/cms/s/0/89926ce8-df96-11e4-a6c400144feab7de.html#axzz3pCnzT3PC> FT 20 April 2015 and <http://www.ft.com/cms/s/0/d60ac2b2-7453-11e5-a129-3fcc4f641d98.html?siteedition=uk#axzz3pCnzT3PC> FT 19 October 2015 and <http://www.ft.com/cms/s/0/d60ac2b2-7453-11e5-a129-3fcc4f641d98.html?siteedition=uk#axzz3pCnzT3PC> FT 19 October 2015 all by Benedict Mander)

Extract B

Chile's copper mining on a downward track

There was a time when investing in Chilean mining meant guaranteed success. After 1990, when military rule was replaced by an elected government, market reforms and restored relations with the US and UK meant foreign companies were keen to exploit vast copper reserves. The existence of large copper reserves in a stable country with a business-friendly government is rare, making Chile much more attractive to investors than countries such as Zambia.	5
By mid-2015, however, the copper price hit a six-year low. Chilean mines are becoming less productive. After 20 years of heavy digging, the ore is lower grade, and much further down. The deeper pits take longer to mine, and use more fuel. Wages are high, and trade unions are powerful. A mining truck driver earns \$70 000 a year, \$10 000 more than the US equivalent. Many mining projects that were planned are being postponed, and investors are looking to Peru; even the US copper mining industry is becoming more competitive.	10
Energy supply is also a worry as Chile produces virtually no fossil fuels and relies on imported coal and liquid natural gas to power its mines. Energy costs account for 18% of the cost of copper production.	15
Water supply is also becoming a major problem. Farmers and communities accuse mining companies of causing water shortages to keep their operations running. A prolonged drought has not helped. Mining firms are turning to desalination plants or using untreated seawater. However pumping water 200 kilometres from the Pacific Ocean to the copper mines is costly.	20
Chile's environmentalist movement has forced the government to tighten regulations. In 2001, it took 236 days to get an environmental impact assessment of a new mine approved. By 2013, this had increased to 506 days.	25

(Source: adapted from <http://www.ft.com/cms/s/0/ea060ef4-6ae2-11e5-8171-ba1968cf791a.html#axzz3p20if45k> Gideon Long September 27, 2015)

(a) With reference to Figure 2, explain **one** likely reason for the change in the Chile peso exchange rate between 2013 and 2015.

(5)

(b) Examine the likely impact of externalities of copper mining on firms and communities within Chile.

(8)

(c) Apart from externalities, discuss the problems that Chile faces as a result of dependency on copper mining.

(12)

EITHER

(d) With reference to the information provided and your own knowledge, evaluate the microeconomic and macroeconomic effects of policies that could be used to stimulate economic growth and development in Chile.

(25)

OR

(e) With reference to the information provided and your own knowledge, evaluate the microeconomic and macroeconomic impact on Chile's economy of changes in the level of investment.

(25)


(Total for question = 50 marks)

Q14.

Some questions must be answered with a cross in a box (☒). If you change your mind about an answer, put a line through the box (☒) and then mark your new answer with a cross (☒).

Use the data to support your answers where relevant. You may annotate and include diagrams in your answers.

Annual percentage change in average UK house prices



(Source: adapted from https://www.nationwide.co.uk/-/media/MainSite/documents/about/house-price-index/2021/May_2021.pdf)

(a) With reference to the chart, which **one** of the following is true?

Average UK house prices:

- ☒ A fell in August 2020
- ☒ B fell in November 2018
- ☒ C rose the fastest in May 2021
- ☒ D stayed constant in 2018

(1)

(b) With reference to the chart on the previous page, explain the likely impact of an increase in average house prices on UK consumption.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....


(Total for question = 5 marks)

Q15.

Use the data to support your answers where relevant. You may annotate and include diagrams in your answers.

Answer the question with a cross in the box you think is correct . If you change your mind about an answer, put a line through the box  and then mark your new answer with a cross .

The UK's net monthly balance of trade in goods and services, by value, January 2014 to January 2018, is shown in the chart below.



(Source: adapted from <https://tradingeconomics.com/united-kingdom/balance-of-trade>)

(a) Which **one** of the following can be inferred from the above chart over the period shown? (1)

- ☒ **A** The government was spending more than it received in taxation
- ☒ **B** The UK's balance of trade, by value, was always in equilibrium
- ☒ **C** The value of imports was greater than the value of exports
- ☒ **D** The value of inflows was greater than the value of outflows

(b) Explain **one** likely reason for the UK's balance of trade in goods and services over the period shown.

Refer to the chart above in your answer.

(3)

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....


(Total for question = 4 marks)

Q16.

Some questions must be answered with a cross in a box (☒). If you change your mind about an answer, put a line through the box (☒) and then mark your new answer with a cross (☒).

Use the data to support your answers where relevant. You may annotate and include diagrams in your answers.

Bank of England base interest rate, 2016–2020



(Source: adapted from <https://www.bankofengland.co.uk/monetary-policy/the-interest-rate-bank-rate>)

(a) With reference to the chart above, explain the likely impact of a reduction in the base interest rate on UK investment.

(4)

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

(b) Which **one** of the following would be most likely to result from lower base interest rates?

A fall in:

(1)


- ☒ **A** the average price of houses
- ☒ **B** the external value of the pound
- ☒ **C** the level of employment
- ☒ **D** the rate of economic growth

(Total for question = 5 marks)

Q17.


The Eurozone economy

Figure 1: Exchange rate of the euro (€) to the British pound (£)



(Source: <https://www.ecb.europa.eu/stats/exchange/eurofxref/html/eurofxref-graph-gbp.en.html#>)

Figure 2: Eurozone inflation rate as measured by the Consumer Prices Index (CPI)



(Source: adapted from <http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/weo/2015/02/weodata/download.aspx>)

Extract A

European Central Bank disappoints markets with weaker than expected stimulus

Mario Draghi, president of the European Central Bank (ECB), surprised financial markets in November 2015 with a less ambitious package of monetary stimulus than many had anticipated.

The ECB cut its base interest rate by 0.1% to minus 0.3% in order to encourage private banks to lend funds to companies and households rather than deposit them at the central bank. The central bank agreed to extend its €60 billion (£45 billion) monthly bond-buying quantitative easing (QE) programme for a further six months. The ECB's €1.1 trillion QE scheme had originally been due to end in September 2016. 5

"We are doing more because it works," Mr Draghi said. Yet the ECB did not increase the size of its monthly asset purchases and also disappointed those expecting that it would cut interest rates more aggressively. 10

The euro rose almost 3% against the dollar to \$1.08 after the announcement. Italian and Spanish bond yields both jumped by 0.27% to 1.62% and 1.72% respectively.

The ECB's economists reduced their inflation forecasts for the next two years. They now predict consumer prices in the Eurozone rising by just 1% in 2016 and 1.6% in 2017 – still below the central bank's ceiling of 2%. In November 2015, the inflation rate was just 0.1% and core inflation, excluding volatile items such as fuel and food, dropped to 0.9%. 15

Mr Draghi stressed again that monetary policy alone could not restore the Eurozone to economic health. He called for looser fiscal policy among member states to support aggregate demand and more rapid implementation of supply-side reforms. "In order to reap the full benefits from our monetary policy measures, other policy areas must contribute decisively," he said. 20

(Source: <http://www.independent.co.uk/news/business/news/ecb-disappoints-traders-with-weaker-than-expected-stimulus-a6759786.html>, 4th December 2015)

(a) With reference to Figure 1, calculate the percentage change in the value of the euro in pounds from the start of 2009 to the start of 2015.

(5)

(b) With reference to the information provided and your own knowledge, examine **two** factors which might explain the change in the rate of Eurozone inflation as shown in Figure 2.

(8)

(c) Since mid-2015 the euro has appreciated. Assess the likely impact of an appreciation of the euro on the current account of the balance of payments for Eurozone countries.

(10)

(d) Discuss the likely success of the ECB's quantitative easing programme in moving Eurozone inflation closer to 'the central bank's ceiling of 2%' (Extract A, line 17).

(12)

(e) Discuss 'looser fiscal policy' and 'supply-side reforms' (Extract A, lines 20 and 21) that may be used by governments of Eurozone countries to increase economic growth.


(15)

(Total for question = 50 marks)

Q18.

The chart below shows the results from a survey of UK consumers' confidence in their financial situation. A negative balance means that, on average, consumers reported their financial situation got worse, a positive balance means they reported it improved and a zero balance indicates no change. This is recorded on a scale from -100 to +100.

UK consumer confidence



(Source: http://www.ons.gov.uk/ons/dcp171778_418064.pdf)

(a) Explain **one** likely reason for the change in consumer confidence between 2012 and 2015.

(3)

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

(b) Which **one** of the following is most likely to result from an improvement in UK consumer confidence? A decrease in the marginal propensity to:

(1)

- ☒ **A** consume
- ☒ **B** tax
- ☒ **C** import
- ☒ **D** save

(Total for question = 4 marks)

Q19.

Use the data to support your answers where relevant. You may annotate and include diagrams in your answers.

The table below shows average UK house prices between July 2014 and July 2017.

Year	Average house prices (£)	Index numbers of average UK house prices (2014 is the base year)
2014	189 709	100
2015	200 141	
2016	215 127	
2017	226 185	119.2

(Source: adapted from <http://landregistry.data.gov.uk/app/ukhpi/explore> - Crown Copyright)

(a) Which **one** of the following is the most likely impact of an increase in average UK house prices on existing homeowners?

(1)

- ☒ **A** Greater confidence
- ☒ **B** Higher income
- ☒ **C** Lower consumption

☒ **D** Negative wealth effect

(b) Using 2014 as the base year, calculate the index number for

(2)

(i) 2015

.....

.....

.....

.....

(ii) 2016.

.....

.....

.....

.....

(c) Define the term 'index number'.

(1)

.....

.....

.....

.....

(Total for question = 4 marks)

Q20.

UK total bank lending to individuals, percentage change on previous year

2014	2.5%
2015	3.2%
2016	4.0%

(Source: Bank of England 2017)

(a) Explain **one** likely reason why consumer demand for bank loans has increased.

(2)

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

(b) Which **one** of the following is most likely to result from an increase in bank lending to individuals?

(1)

- ☒ **A** An improvement in net trade (X-M)
- ☒ **B** An increase in consumption
- ☒ **C** An increase in unemployment
- ☒ **D** Deflation

(c) Calculate the index number for the total value of bank lending in 2016 using 2015 as a base year.

(2)

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....


(Total for question = 5 marks)

Mark Scheme

Q1.

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(a)	B	(1)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(b)	Knowledge 2 Knowledge/understanding 1 mark for definition of GDP e.g. • Value of the total output (1) • Accept amount of final goods and services (1) • Total income / total expenditure (1) 1 mark for definition of real e.g. • Taking inflation into account (1) • Adjusted for changes in the price level (1)	(2)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(c)	Knowledge 1 Output Gap Identification of a negative output gap on the diagram, either of those illustrated by the annotations below are acceptable (N.B. only ONE is required)  Copyright: www.economicsonline.co.uk	(1)

Q2.

Question Number	Answer	Mark
	Knowledge 2 Knowledge/understanding 1 mark for partial definition, e.g. - Making a comparison between countries. 2 marks for full and accurate definition, e.g. - The rate at which the currency of one country would have to be converted into that of another country to buy the same amount of goods and services in each country - Comparison between countries, taking into account different cost of living.	(2)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
	A	(1)


Question Number	Answer	Mark
	Knowledge 1 New AD curve (shifts to the right) and a new equilibrium at Y2 (1) OR New AS curve (shifts to the right) and a new equilibrium is found at Y1 (1)	(1)

Q3.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(a)	Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 1 Knowledge and analysis: 3 marks. Points might include: • Explanation that national debt is the accumulation of past fiscal deficits (may be implicit) • National debt interest payments have an opportunity cost in terms of current spending • It may be harder to raise borrowing in the future • In case of a debt crisis, it might be impossible to raise new credit • Also could argue that increased debt worsens the fiscal deficit through debt repayments • 'Jam today not jam tomorrow' or similar idea that it's today's benefits paid for in the future Application (2 marks for 1 point, or 1 + 1) Award valid data use only e.g. • Reference to data in Figure 2 e.g. ratio falls (1) to 60% in 2019 (1) • Fig 2: debt is falling as a percentage of GDP which is either because there is a fiscal surplus (Fig 1) or because there is economic growth (Fig 3) • Use of other data, e.g. to keep surplus, 1.9% Fig 1 or Ext C • Want to stay the largest economy in the EU. Data from any of the sources can be credited, if relevant, not just Figure 2. • Maintain independence by not having to borrow	(5)

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(b)	Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 2 Evaluation 2 Knowledge/implicit understanding of two effects (1 + 1) e.g. • Consumers demand falls • Firms may pay lower wages • Lower labour turnover • Easier to recruit staff • Reduction in skills, efficiency • Deterioration of public sector finances further reducing spending on infrastructure Application: (2 marks for any relevant point, or two points 1 +1): e.g. • Unemployment is forecast to rise (1) to 6.1 percent from 5 percent (1) / 1.1 percentage points (2) • This comes after several years of a declining rate of unemployment (1) Analysis (1+1) • Development of points made Evaluation (2 marks for any relevant point, or two points 1 +1): e.g. • Demand might rise for inferior goods • Wages may be sticky downwards • This is only a forecast and given events at the beginning of 2020 might end up worse. • Firms exporting goods may not be affected as demand from abroad may remain strong if unemployment does not fall there • The rise in unemployment is small and is still below 11.7% 2005. So, the impact will be relatively small	(8)

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
2(c)	Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 4 Knowledge and analysis • Might mean costs rise in the short run and/or • Costs fall in the long term – fixed or variable • Demand for products might increase as firms improve product quality and choice Application • Some firms in Germany are expecting higher long-term profits from the investment (Extract C) • Germany still far behind other countries in the area of technology especially broadband (Extract C) Analysis Example of diagram (can use AC or ATC or not shift MC):	

	 NB for a level 3 response there must be at least one valid diagram. The diagram can show either a rise in AR/MR or a fall in costs, or both.	(8)
--	---	-----

Knowledge, application and analysis		
Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1–2	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no chains of reasoning or links between causes and consequences.
Level 2	3–5	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response; chains of reasoning are developed but the answer may lack balance.
Level 3	6–8	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using relevant and focused examples which are fully integrated. Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems. The answer demonstrates logical and coherent chains of reasoning.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(c) continued	Evaluation 4 • Discussion of whether costs would rise (short term) or fall if successful (long term) • It depends on how labour intensive the production is • It depends on what competitor countries are doing • New technology needs highly skilled labour and cannot be discussed in isolation	(4)

Evaluation		
Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1–2	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	3–4	Evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning and appropriate reference to context. Evaluation recognises different viewpoints and/or is critical of the evidence.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(d)	Knowledge 4, Application 4, Analysis 8, Evaluation 9 Microeconomic factors to explain low growth may include: • Labour force factors e.g. changing NMW will affect ULCs and therefore growth • Increased level capital by making investment more profitable/subsidy • Infrastructure spending e.g. schools crumbling, poor internet • Investment in education/skills or cutting/capping university fees • Low level of willingness to embrace modern technologies Macroeconomic influences may include: • Population changes • Trade liberalisation – may refer to tariffs or trade agreements to improve price competitiveness, changes in EU • Tax and benefit changes • Government policy improvements, e.g. weak expansion in fiscal policy, cut interest rates, subsidies, privatisation • Actions of other institutions e.g. banks, schools • Control of price level e.g. stop QE • Competitiveness – use of Extract D NB some points such as regulation, supply-side policies, changing the interest rate, can be regarded as micro or macroeconomics	

	NB for a Level 4 response there must be reference to Germany in context Possible evaluation points include: • Degree to which the factors might be a success • Depends on other countries (relative competitiveness issue) – it could be that other countries have a comparative or absolute advantage/other trade pattern and trade bloc arguments • Depends on the value of the euro/ other currency of trading partners which cannot be directly controlled in Germany • Germany might be better protected from worldwide crises such as the recent Global Health Crisis • Growth is not the only desirable economic outcome (it might be argued that slower growth is better)	(25)
--	--	------

Knowledge, application and analysis		
Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1 - 4	Displays ability to apply knowledge in context but will focus on small range of elements. Demonstrates understanding by identifying relevant information. Demonstrates knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models.
Level 2	5 - 8	Shows ability to apply economic ideas and relate them to economic problems in context. Displays knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories to make limited analysis or narrow analysis.
Level 3	9 - 12	Analysis is clear and coherent with evidence well integrated, although may focus on some of the broad elements of the question more than others. Shows ability to apply economic ideas and relate them directly to the broad elements in the question.
Level 4	13 - 16	Analysis is relevant, clear and coherent with evidence fully and reliably integrated. Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems covering both microeconomic and macroeconomic effects. A clear understanding of economic principles, concepts, theories and arguments.

Evaluation		
Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1-3	Identification of evaluative comments without explanation.
Level 2	4-6	Evaluative comments with limited explanations. Evidence of evaluation of alternative approaches which is generic or unbalanced leading to limited judgements.
Level 3	7-9	Evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning and appropriate reference to the context. Evaluation recognises different viewpoints and is critical of the evidence provided and/or the assumptions underlying the analysis enabling informed judgements to be made.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(e)	Knowledge 4, Application 4, Analysis 8, Evaluation 9 Microeconomic effects may include: • Employment improves or unemployment stops rising • Incomes rise/stop falling • Increased profits for firms e.g. motor manufacturers • Other micro effects of increased spending and welfare e.g. improved health service • Infrastructure improves if spending on this area • Higher taxes in future might have an impact on demand and other aspects of consumer behaviour Macroeconomic effects may include: • AD increases • multiplier • Shift in SRAS or LRAS might be drawn e.g. capacity increases • Increase in price level/output • increase in borrowing – cost for future generations • Diagrams might involve an increase in AD with multiplier effects • Increased debt payments in the future – opportunity cost arguments may be used NB for a Level 4 answer there must be reference to the German fiscal policy. Some effects such as lower costs or increased investment could be seen as micro or macro NB for a Level 4 response there must be reference to Germany	

	Possible evaluation points include: • Depends on Classical vs Keynesian shape of AS • Depends on size of multiplier • Depends on the cost of borrowing – currently very low • Impact on money markets and conflict with other policies • Opportunity cost arguments • Reference to budget deficit and national debt • Confidence in the markets and consumers in the fiscal policy will determine whether or not it is effective • Will interest rates remain low? • An essential action in the recent context, and without stimulus many incomes and businesses would be lost	(25)
--	---	-------------

Knowledge, application and analysis		
Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1–4	Displays ability to apply knowledge in context but will focus on small range of elements. Demonstrates understanding by identifying relevant information. Demonstrates knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models.
Level 2	5–8	Shows ability to apply economic ideas and relate them to economic problems in context. Displays knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories to make limited analysis or narrow analysis.
Level 3	9–12	Analysis is clear and coherent with evidence well integrated, although may focus on some of the broad elements of the question more than others. Shows ability to apply economic ideas and relate them directly to the broad elements in the question.
Level 4	13 - 16	Analysis is relevant, clear and coherent with evidence fully and reliably integrated. Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems covering both microeconomic and macroeconomic effects. A clear understanding of economic principles, concepts, theories and arguments.

Evaluation		
Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1–3	Identification of evaluative comments without explanation.
Level 2	4 – 6	Evaluative comments with limited explanations. Evidence of evaluation of alternative approaches which is generic or unbalanced leading to limited judgements.
Level 3	7 – 9	Evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning and appropriate reference to the context. Evaluation recognises different viewpoints and is critical of the evidence provided and/or the assumptions underlying the analysis enabling informed judgements to be made.

Q4.

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(a)	Application 2 $(128.2 - 128.4) / 128.4 \times 100$ or correct formula (1) = (-)0.15% Accept range (-)0.15 to (-)0.2 NB 2 marks for correct answer.	(2)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(b)	Knowledge 1 Knowledge/understanding 1 mark for definition of deflation e.g. • A decrease in the general level of prices (1) • Negative change in average prices (1) • Negative inflation / inflation below zero (1)	(1)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(c)	A	(1)

Q5.

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(a)	Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 1 Knowledge and analysis (3): • Definition of opportunity cost as the next best alternative foregone (1) • Governments have to pay interest (1) at the expense of other expenditure (1) • Servicing means paying back interest and minimum capital requirements (1) e.g. to prevent a default, loss of confidence, other repercussions (1) • The debt is external (1), and in this case owed by governments as they have been borrowing from abroad (1) • Use of PPF to show opportunity cost may be awarded as analysis (1) Application (2 marks for 1 point, or 1 + 1): e.g. • Debt service payments above 20% of G (1) are unsustainable (Extract D) (1) • £20billion raised 2010-2015 (Extract D) (1) • Commodity prices soaring and foreign loans available' (Extract D) (1) • 'Heavy repayment schedules at a time of low commodity prices' (Extract D) (1) • Governments have taken to 'private debt too eagerly' (Extract D) (1) • 'Median level of debt risen from 34% to 48% in 2017' (Extract D) (1) is heavy because of 'low tax base' (Extract D) (1) • High levels of poverty – half of the 26 million people (1), so many feel the effects of reduced government expenditure (Extract E) (1) • 'non-existent tuna fleet' or other hidden debt (Extract D) or corruption (1) at the expense of other government spending (1) • Opportunity costs applied to any developing country, e.g. spending on poverty alleviation, education and health spending or recent cyclone April 2019 (1). Award a maximum of 1 application mark if there is no reference to Extract D	(5)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(b)	Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 2, Evaluation 2 Knowledge/implicit understanding of two reasons why manufacturing firms stay small, (1+1) e.g. • Size of market/lack of access to export markets/low export earnings due to lack of demand • Absence of economies of scale, or insufficient incentive or ability to grow (apart from access to financial) • Problems of human capital, e.g. lack of educated workforce • Lack of infrastructure making expansion into new areas difficult, or small markets • Actions of foreign firms to foster dependency • Government or international actions to make it hard for firms to grow • Lack of TNC involvement in Mozambique/volatility of currency/capital flight • Firms want to remain close to customers- meet needs with specific goods. • Firms might be manufacturing niche products with inelastic demand and high-income elasticity • Small firms more able to manufacture and sell through selling online and have small assets. • Perfect / monopolistic competition characteristics, e.g. low barriers to entry • Non-economic factors, e.g. natural disasters, political tensions, drought • Cost of finance, cost of servicing loans, financial economies of scale and scope. Do not allow access to finance.	

	Application (1+1 or 2): e.g. • Further depreciation of the metical (Extract E) • Lack of economic stability (Extract E line 26) • Slowdown in FDI (Extract E) • Depressed global demand (Extract E) • Low levels of employment in the sector (Extract E) may imply lack of skilled workers • High interest rates (Fig. 2 and Extract E line 14) • High import costs (Extract E line 15) • Needs urgently to improve its investment environment and confidence (Extract E line 17) • Large scale emigration (Extract E) • High numbers of people 'below the poverty line' (Extract E line 6) mean the market/incomes are small • 'Economic dependence on South Africa' (Extract E) may limit prices/market power of firms.	
--	---	--

	Analysis (1+1) • Development of each point, up to 1 mark for each valid factor Evaluation (2 marks for any relevant point, two points 1+1): • The development of the gas fields (Extract E) will 'transform the economy' • Pick up in coal and electricity (Extract E) which are probably larger firms and may have impact on manufacturing • may consider whether this is because of choice or lack of opportunity • Long term 'prospects are promising' (Extract E, line 20) e.g. sustainable agreement, gas fields production in 2020s or other initiatives such as trade blocs NB Cost of finance, financial economies of scale etc are allowed. It is only ACCESS to finance e.g. unavailability of microfinance, savings gap, loss of external lenders which is excluded. NB Access to finance <i>may</i> be credited as part of the evaluation but not as KAA	(8)
--	---	-----

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(c)	Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 4 • Microfinance meaning and role • Plugs the savings gap / Harrod-Domar model • Enables firms to be set up – fixed or start-up costs • enables firms to invest in human capital and overcome primary product dependency • Use of Figure 3 to illustrate size and importance of microfinance operations • Use of Extract F – e.g. '100 000 borrowers', many new business start-ups which could not have gained finance from any other source', 'unfulfilled demand for microfinance' • How borrowers benefit – small firms can be set up or expanded, allowing firms to gain capital and materials to set up businesses, provide more employment, lead to improved living standards • Borrowers lack collateral so cannot borrow from banks in the normal way • The money is in small amounts £200 to £300, paid back regularly and over a short period of time, on which basis the firm forms a business plan for which the lender will approve or advise • Microfinance allows firms to form without the stigma of aid or the dependence of FDI • Use of cost/revenue analysis to support arguments (perfect/monopolistic competitive market) • Macro analysis (AD/AS) must link back to borrowers, e.g. increased standard of living, allows firms to expand/invest NB For Level 3, answers must link to borrowers NB The answer can take the form that there are more costs than benefits as part of the KAA, using the benefits as the evaluation	(8)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1–2	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no chains of reasoning or links between causes and consequences.
Level 2	3–5	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response; chains of reasoning are developed but the answer may lack balance.
Level 3	6–8	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using relevant and focused examples which are fully integrated. Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems. The answer demonstrates logical and coherent chains of reasoning.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(c) continued	Evaluation 4 • Use of Figure 2 to show high base rates and Figure 3 to show average 75% APR on microfinance • Large potential for expansion (Extract F) • May encounter lack of demand as more than half of the population live below the poverty line • Weak infrastructure does not allow borrowers to access markets • Alternatives to microfinance could be considered, e.g. aid, FDI, debt relief • Reasons why microfinance can be damaging to borrowers, e.g. pressure on women (98% of borrowers), over-indebtedness, poor guidance given to borrowers, macro-finance is needed for economies of scale, people borrowing multiple times, for uses which are social not business orientated. • Large numbers of people setting up similar businesses/highly competitive e.g. food stalls, so profits tend to be low/need for dynamic efficiency • Funds from private banks very different from NGOs – banks might be more cautious, and therefore more likely to succeed, might be better advice, support from specialists, softer terms from either institution might lead to moral hazard	(4)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1–2	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	3–4	Evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning and appropriate reference to context. Evaluation recognises different viewpoints and/or is critical of the evidence.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(d)	Knowledge 4, Application 4, Analysis 8, Evaluation 9 Microeconomic influences may include: • Labour force and other demographic factors e.g. emigration of skilled/educated workers, disease, low life expectancy • Small firms with low levels of capital • Low levels of personal saving/high levels of personal debt • Primary product dependency • Volatility of commodity prices • Debt – although do not award access to credit and banking. Allow arguments based on dependency or opportunity cost, for example • Lack of infrastructure/other capital • Absence of property rights • Non-economic factors e.g. natural disasters, social problems • Joint ventures with global companies Macroeconomic influences may include: • Trade liberalisation – may refer to tariffs or trade agreements • Role of FDI e.g. the tuna fleet cover-up dries up FDI • Government policy failure, e.g. weak expansion in fiscal policy, high interest rates, lack of subsidies, privatisation, interventionist strategies, e.g. management of exchange rate, buffer stocks • Actions of other international institutions e.g. IMF or NGOs • Macroeconomic stability, e.g. rate of inflation (might refer to Figure 2), rate of economic growth, exchange rate (metical at a 'new low')	

	Possible evaluation points include: • Degree to which the factors are inevitable • Signs of improvements in passages • Some factors are temporary, e.g. fall in commodity prices such as coal and drought affecting production and exports to other countries within Africa NB Do not award KAA for arguments based on ACCESS TO credit and banking, although this approach is permitted as part of the evaluation NB for a Level 4 response there must be micro and macro factors (s). There must be reference to both growth and development for Level 4	
--	---	--

	PPD/ Harrod-Domar/ debt/ aid/ debt relief/ cost of credit/ non-economic factors/currency issues / competitiveness index can be used as micro or macro.	(25)
--	--	------

Knowledge, application and analysis		
Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1-4	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no chains of reasoning or links between causes and consequences.
Level 2	5-8	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response or superficial, two stage chains of reasoning only.
Level 3	9-12	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to apply economic concepts and relate them directly to the broad elements of the question with evidence integrated into the answer. Analysis is clear and coherent, although it may lack balance. Chains of reasoning are developed but the answer may lack balance.
Level 4	13-16	Demonstrates precise knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using appropriate examples. Analysis is relevant and focused with evidence fully and reliably integrated. Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems covering both microeconomic and macroeconomic factors. The answer demonstrates logical and coherent chains of reasoning.

Evaluation		
Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1–3	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	4–6	Evidence of evaluation of alternative approaches which is unbalanced leading to unsubstantiated judgements. Evaluative comments with supporting evidence/reference to context and a partially-developed chain of reasoning.
Level 3	7–9	Evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning and appropriate reference to context. Evaluation recognises different viewpoints and is critical of the evidence provided and/or the assumptions underlying the analysis enabling informed judgements to be made.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(e)	Knowledge 4, Application 4, Analysis 8 Evaluation 9 Microeconomic effects may include: • On people with debt (better off) and savings (worse off) • On people with fixed incomes – may refer to falling real incomes • On firms' profits, e.g. that face rising costs and if they cannot raise prices they will face falling profits, or falling sales as consumers delay purchases. This might be illustrated with cost/revenue diagrams. • On firms' costs if they face rises in interest rates as a policy corollary of high inflation, which might lead to lower profits. This might be illustrated with cost/revenue diagrams. • Opportunity cost, e.g. effects on a government's spending if costs of servicing debts fall • Effect on labour markets e.g. people might work more hours to cover increased cost of living NB do not award fall in profits or revenue or rise in costs unless a valid chain of reasoning is given Macroeconomic effects may include: • International competitiveness and balance of payments, between African countries and with the rest of the world. Exports likely to fall and imports likely to rise • Distribution of income and wealth • Confidence and investment in financial markets • Policy responses, e.g. rises in interest rates and effects on AD • Shift in AS and/or AD may be used as part of the analysis • High inflation might deter Investment and FDI	

	NB do not award rise in unemployment/fall in growth unless a valid chain of reasoning is given Possible evaluation points include that the effect depends on: • Whether wages rise in line with inflation • The degree of inflation, and the policy and international responses. • The PED of countries buying the African exports • The PED of importing countries as imports become relatively cheap	
--	--	--

	• Whether African countries are trading with each other the effects of inflation may be cancelled out e.g. use of Figure 2 to show neighbouring countries with higher inflation rates • Whether asset prices rise as to the redistributive impact • Depends on whether this is a long-term inflation problem- could be short term e.g. linked to commodity price rises. Only a problem if it is sustained. • The figures are in one month in July 2017 and may not reflect inflation story for full year. Time series data may be more useful. • Depends on the type of inflation – e.g. cost push or demand pull. • Impact on exchange rates e.g. higher inflation may erode the value of the currency. This might cancel out the impact on competitiveness NB for a Level 4 answer there must be reference to the context of African countries (not just one) NB for a Level 4 response there must be micro and macro effect (s). Costs/ shift in PPF/ currency/labour markets/competitiveness etc. can be used as micro or macro.	(25)
--	---	------

Knowledge, application and analysis		
Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1–4	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no chains of reasoning or links between causes and consequences.
Level 2	5–8	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response or superficial, two stage chains of reasoning only.
Level 3	9–12	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to apply economic concepts and relate them directly to the broad elements of the question with evidence integrated into the answer. Analysis is clear and coherent, although it may lack balance. Chains of reasoning are developed but the answer may lack balance.
Level 4	13–16	Demonstrates precise knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using appropriate examples. Analysis is relevant and focused with evidence fully and reliably integrated. Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems covering both microeconomic and macroeconomic factors. The answer demonstrates logical and coherent chains of reasoning.

Evaluation		
Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1–3	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	4–6	Evidence of evaluation of alternative approaches which is unbalanced leading to unsubstantiated judgements. Evaluative comments with supporting evidence/reference to context and a partially-developed chain of reasoning.
Level 3	7–9	Evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning and appropriate reference to context. Evaluation recognises different viewpoints and is critical of the evidence provided and/or the assumptions underlying the analysis enabling informed judgements to be made.

Q6.

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(a)	Knowledge 1 Knowledge: 1 mark for definition, e.g. • Not adjusted for inflation (1) • Figures at current prices/market value (1)	(1)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(b)	The only correct answer is B A is not correct because this figure is the index number for 2016 using 2018 as the base year C is not correct because this figure is the index number for 2017 using 2016 as the base year D is not correct because this figure is the index number for 2018 using 2016 as the base year	(1)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(c)	Application 2 Application: 1 mark for appropriate calculation, e.g. 2 061 408 / 66.4 (1) Answer = £31 045.30 Allow 31 045 / £31 000 • Award 2 marks for correct answer (£31 045.30 / £31 045 / £31 000) • If million is given after the answer, then award up to 1 mark	(2)

Q7.


Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
	Knowledge 4, Application 4, Analysis 8, Evaluation 9 Likely impacts include: • UK exports are now cheaper when priced in dollars, so should mean an improvement in UK price competitiveness leading to increased demand for UK exports and AD <i>However depends on quality of UK exports or if US firms/consumers demand what we are producing</i> • UK imports from the US are now more expensive so likely to lead to less demand for US imports which improves net trade and therefore AD <i>However, depends if there are domestic alternatives to the US imports. If not prices will simply rise</i> • Imported inflation: as prices of imports rise, particularly of necessities, then UK inflation will increase. • Lower exchange rate might attract inward investment with multiplier effects on AD • Other factors which cause growth might be considered as more than one factor • Diagrammatic analysis may be used (e.g. AD/AS) Other evaluative comments may include e.g.: • Imported inflation will be a particular problem if wages do not increase in line with inflation. • Depends if this is a temporary fall or a permanent adjustment to the exchange rate • Depends on UK exchange rate with other currencies as well • US is a significant trade partner for the UK so the impact is likely to be significant • Consideration of the Marshall-Lerner condition and J curve effect • Other factors which cause growth might be considered as evaluative comments • EU is a very significant trading partner for the UK and thus the impact is likely to be significant	(25)

Knowledge, application and analysis		
Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1–4	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no chains of reasoning or links between causes and consequences.
Level 2	5–8	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response or superficial, two stage chains of reasoning only.
Level 3	9–12	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to apply economic concepts and relate them directly to the broad elements of the question with evidence integrated into the answer. Analysis is clear and coherent, although it may lack balance. Chains of reasoning are developed but the answer may lack balance.
Level 4	13–16	Demonstrates precise knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using appropriate examples. Analysis is relevant and focused with evidence fully and reliably integrated. Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems. The answer demonstrates logical and coherent chains of reasoning.

Evaluation		
Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1–3	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	4–6	Evidence of evaluation of alternative approaches which is unbalanced leading to unsubstantiated judgements. Evaluative comments with supporting evidence/reference to context and a partially developed chain of reasoning.
Level 3	7–9	Evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning and appropriate reference to context. Evaluation recognises different viewpoints and is critical of the evidence provided and/or the assumptions underlying the analysis enabling informed judgements to be made.

Q8.

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(a)	Knowledge 2, Application 2 Knowledge/understanding Identification of one reason (1) with development (1), e.g. • Different reasons for collection/different methods of collection: imply different results • It is becoming much more difficult to claim unemployment related benefits e.g. changes in the level of savings making it difficult to claim unemployment related benefits • Stigma issues when people are unemployed with no stigma for ILO but high stigma for unemployment related benefits • Increase in frictional unemployment which would increase ILO but may show no change in unemployment related benefits • State of the economy where more vacancies in a boom so harder to claim unemployment related benefits Application 2 marks for two data references from Figure 1 (1+1), e.g. Between 2010 and 2016: • Claimant count is below ILO (1) • Claimant count fell by around 48% / 0.7m (1) • ILO unemployment fell by around 35% / 0.9m (1) • In 2010, ILO unemployment was 2.5m (1) and CC unemployment was 1.5m (1)	(4)

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(b)	Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 2 • Rise in value of houses will lead to wealth effect • An increase in householder's confidence • Encourage households to consume more (and/or save less) • Aggregate demand (AD) will increase • Lead to an increase in real output or a faster rate of economic growth • Credit for discussion of other effects besides wealth effect, e.g. impact on: • Balance of Payments • Unemployment • Budget deficit • Price level • Increase in investment from the construction industry • Diagram e.g.  "£24 000 more than it was" / "annual growth increased from 5.2% in July 2016 to 5.6% in August 2016" / Data reference to Figure 1 data NB Candidates have to show impacts with accurate AD/AS diagram presenting a relevant change in AD to access Level 3 NB Benefits to rising house prices can be seen as KAA and negative effect as Evaluation, or vice versa	(6)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1-2	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no link between causes and consequences.
Level 2	3-4	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response or the answer may lack balance.
Level 3	5-6	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using relevant and focused examples which are fully integrated. Economic ideas are applied appropriately to the broad elements of the question.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(b) continued	Evaluation 4 - Houses are a significant component of consumers' wealth and effect large proportion of households (65% owner occupied) in the UK, which will have a major effect on AD - SR vs LR – "house prices will fall 1% across the UK in 2017" / wealth effects take time to trigger spending changes - Regional differences in impact – "House price growth was strongest in London" "home ownership has risen to 78.6% among those aged 65-to-74" – it may not raise AD / consumption - Discussion of the significance of falling real wages - Significance of the elasticity of the AS curve - Consumption is approximately 2/3 of aggregate demand which implies a strong inter-relationship - Lower confidence for areas where it will be harder to move to find jobs, because of price differentials - Effect on first-time buyers – may lead to their consumption falling (discussion of real wages and home ownership)	(4)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1-2	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/ reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	3-4	Evaluative comments supported by chains of reasoning and appropriate reference to context. Evaluation is balanced and considers the broad elements of the question.

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(c)	Knowledge 1, Application 2, Analysis 2 Knowledge/understanding 1 mark for identification, e.g. - Income (1) - Interest rates (1) - Unemployment (1) - Consumer confidence (1) Application 2 marks for data reference (1+1), e.g. - real incomes in the UK have fallen (1) by 10.4% (1) - unemployment fell (1) according to ILO and CC (1) - savings ratio has fallen (1) between 2010 and 2015 (1) - Britain's vote to leave the EU – Extract A Line 6 (1) Analysis Linked development (1+1), e.g. - Fall in income is likely to reduce savings leading to a fall in the marginal propensity to save - Lower unemployment is likely to cause a rise in consumer spending due to greater disposable incomes, leading to fall in savings ratio	(5)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(d)	Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 2 Knowledge/understanding 2 marks for, e.g. - Definition/understanding of the multiplier (1) - Identification of factors which can cause the multiplier to rise (1+1) Application 1 mark for each data reference from Figure 2 or linked application (1+1), e.g. - savings ratio decreased from 11.5% in Q1 of 2010 (1) - to approximately 5.7% in Q1 of 2016 (1) - Use of multiplier formula (2) - application by means of simple numerical example (up to 2 marks) Analysis 1 mark for linked development of each factor (1+1), e.g. - A fall in withdrawals from circular flow of income will lead to a rise in the value of the multiplier (1) - An increase in mpc will cause the value of the multiplier to rise (1)	(6)

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(e)	Knowledge 3, Application 3, Analysis 3 Effects for employment: • "Work remains the most common reason for long-term migration (312 000)" – this is most likely to lead to an increase in employment • "182 000 of these coming with a definite job" – these migrants could fill those vacancies that cannot be filled by indigenous workers • Increased size of population means that there is increase in supply of labour, thus reducing wage rate and increasing demand for labour • Increased consumer spending from an increased population means more jobs are created • Increased demand for public services creating an increased demand for public sector workers Effects for unemployment: • "130 000 looking for work" – these migrants could displace the current indigenous workers • "10 547 people granted asylum" / 80 000 family members – surplus labour in the market as they might attempt to join the labour market but with inappropriate skills NB Answer must refer to both <i>employment and unemployment</i> to access Level 3	(9)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1–3	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no chains of reasoning or links between causes and consequences.
Level 2	4–6	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response; chains of reasoning are developed but the answer may lack balance.
Level 3	7–9	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using relevant and focused examples which are fully integrated. Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems. The answer demonstrates logical and coherent chains of reasoning.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(e) continued	Evaluation 6 Points may include: • Magnitude and the significance of net migration – the figures on emigration have not been provided • "Long-term migration to the UK for study was estimated to be 163 000" – so may only be short-term migrants; also it is not sure they will remain in the UK after study • If the UK economy starts to grow, it can take on more labour without increasing unemployment • Evidence might be inaccurate, e.g. reason for migration is a very loaded question/inadequate data – it does not indicate if there has been an increase or decrease in the figures shown • Depends on the skills of migrants • "Dependents" may or may not have an effect • Depends on the percentage of asylum seekers granted leave to remain (Extract B) • Different industries may be affected differently	(6)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1–2	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	3–4	Evidence of evaluation of alternative approaches which is unbalanced. Evaluative comments with supporting evidence/reference to context and a partially developed chain of reasoning.
Level 3	5–6	Evaluative comments supported by relevant chain of reasoning and appropriate reference to context. Evaluation is balanced and considers the broad elements of the question.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(f)	Knowledge 4, Application 4, Analysis 6 • Understanding / identification of government policies as fiscal policy or supply-side policy • Relevant diagram, e.g. AD/AS diagram showing rightward shift in AD and/or AS curve • Investment in education and training to provide a more skilled workforce through increases in the level of human capital • Changes in minimum wage to incentivise employment • Reduction in unemployment and associated benefits to increase incentive to find work • Grants/subsidies/tax breaks to firms • Reduction in tax rates to encourage firms to expand or set up in UK, therefore increasing employment • Reduction in income tax creating a much larger incentive to work, increasing consumption and therefore, employment • Policies to increase real wage flexibility e.g. helping to reduce real wage unemployment • Tax breaks to firms who set up in depressed areas / giving some financial assistance to unemployed workers who move to areas with high employment • Government improving the labour market flexibility by making it easier to hire and fire workers may encourage more job creation • Increase in the provision of free childcare and other measures to increase the activity rate NB Answer must be linked to <i>government</i> policies to access Level 3 or above. NB Answer must be linked to <i>employment</i> to access Level 3 or above.	(14)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1–3	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no chains of reasoning or links between causes and consequences.
Level 2	4–6	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response or superficial, two-stage chain of reasoning only.
Level 3	7–10	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to apply economic concepts and relate them directly to the broad elements of the question with evidence integrated into the answer. Analysis is clear and coherent, although it may lack balance. Chains of reasoning are developed but the answer may lack balance.
Level 4	11–14	Demonstrates precise knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using appropriate examples. Analysis is relevant and focused with evidence fully and reliably integrated. Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems. The answer demonstrates logical and coherent chains of reasoning.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(f) continued	Evaluation 6 • Magnitude of the policy change • Conflicts between the policies • Depends if there is spare capacity • Creditworthiness of the UK government • Conflicts with other UK economic objectives • Discussion of effectiveness of individual policies • External factors beyond UK government's control, e.g. slowdown in global economy • Time lags (for e.g. education takes a long time to have impact on productivity)/implementation lags Government's budget deficit makes it difficult to pay for large investments or offer significant grants/tax breaks	(6)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1–2	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	3–4	Evidence of evaluation of alternative approaches which is unbalanced leading to unsubstantiated judgements. Evaluative comments with supporting evidence/reference to context and a partially developed chain of reasoning.
Level 3	5–6	Evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning and appropriate reference to context. Evaluation is balanced and considers the broad elements of the question, leading to a substantiated judgement.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(g)	Knowledge 4, Application 4, Analysis 6, • Implicit or explicit identification of the various UK's macroeconomic objectives • Understanding of inflation • May reduce growth owing to costs of inflation • Reduction in purchasing power and real incomes • Equality e.g. effect on those who have fixed incomes (are not protected by inflation) who are often the poorest • May make the national debt smaller in real terms making it cheaper to finance and pay back • Reduction in competitiveness of British goods which worsens the current account of the balance of payments deficit and reduces international competitiveness • Unemployment may rise through increased levels of inefficiency and stagflation / using a Phillips curve • Higher inflation associated with increasing AD may have detrimental impact on environment • Possible beneficial effect on the environment as economic growth slows • Loss of both business and consumer confidence • The self-reinforcing effect on inflation through expectations: higher inflation as consumers get used to higher levels of inflation, they demand high wages to protect buying power of incomes NB KAA can be awarded for positive impacts on the objectives and evaluation as the negative impacts or vice versa	(14)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1–3	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no chains of reasoning or links between causes and consequences.
Level 2	4–6	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response or superficial, two stage chains of reasoning only.
Level 3	7–10	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to apply economic concepts and relate them directly to the broad elements of the question with evidence integrated into the answer. Analysis is clear and coherent, although it may lack balance. Chains of reasoning are developed but the answer may lack balance.
Level 4	11–14	Demonstrates precise knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using appropriate examples. Analysis is relevant and focused with evidence fully and reliably integrated. Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems. The answer demonstrates logical and coherent chains of reasoning.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(g) continued	Evaluation 6 - Depends on the cause, (e.g. cost push or demand pull) duration and magnitude of inflation – may be discussed in recent context of the UK / using AD AS diagram - Inflation might not translate through to higher wages and unemployment if the workforce has little power - If growth is low, slightly higher inflation is worth the risk to avoid deflation or depression - Impact depends on the relative inflation rates - Impact of high inflation might depend on policy response - interest rates may have to be raised - Other factors may have a larger effect: exchange rates or other macro objectives (ceteris paribus) - Possible beneficial effect on the environment	(6)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1–2	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	3–4	Evidence of evaluation of alternative approaches which is unbalanced leading to unsubstantiated judgements. Evaluative comments with supporting evidence/reference to context and a partially developed chain of reasoning.
Level 3	5–6	Evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning and appropriate reference to context. Evaluation is balanced and considers the broad elements of the question, leading to a substantiated judgement.

Q9.

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(a)	Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 1 Knowledge and analysis 3 marks for identifying market structure and relevant features. Points might include: • Monopolistic competition or highly competitive (1) • Many small sellers in the market (1) • Low price setting power (1) • Firms sell differentiated goods (1) • Normal profit/low profit margins as a sign (1) of low barriers to entry/exit (1) • Allow up to 2 marks for diagrammatic analysis Application: 2 marks for data (1+1 or 2): point might include: • there are many firms 10 000 to 20 000 (1) • cheap, low skill labour are possibly migrants (1) • low level of capital required (1) • everyone paying the same £5 (1) • some offering inside and out washes (1) / similar car washing at each provider (1) • Availability of cheap premises make market entry easier (1)	(5)


Question Number	Answer	Mark
(b)	Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 2, Evaluation 2 Knowledge/ implicit understanding: (1+1) marks for two reasons and explanation e.g. • HCWs are inferior goods if demand rises when incomes fall • HCWs at £5 are a very small percentage of consumer income so they might be seen as essential or basic goods • Other factors affect demand apart from income, for e.g. availability and price of substitutes (automatic car washes [might be normal/luxury good] or car washing equipment), working patterns Application: (2 marks for any relevant point, or two points 1 +1). Points might include: • Incomes are not keeping up with inflation so real incomes are falling • HCWs at £5 are a very small percentage of consumer income so they might be seen as essential or basic goods. • Increased demand when people have lower incomes – high level of utility at small expense, Extract A paragraph 2 • Extract B: there is a decrease in the availability of automatic car washes as independent petrol retailers go out of business. • Increased number of sites and rents have fallen (Extract A paragraph 3)	

	Analysis (1 + 1): • Linked development of the two points made • Maybe shown by diagrammatic analysis Evaluation: (2 marks for any relevant point, two points 1 +1). Points might include: • Subjective happiness is yielded from an HCW, implying that it is rational to use an HCW/it is not rational as washing the car at home is cheaper when incomes fall • Incomes have not been falling over the whole period, so it is more likely that as incomes rise people are buying a HCW as a YED is low (small percentage of income) • Regional or demographic changes in incomes	(8)
--	--	-----

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(c)	Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 4 Knowledge/implicit understanding: Points might include: - Increased labour supply – workers willing to take low-paid jobs - Arguments also allowed that since the UK left the EU, many workers are leaving – question does not specify immigration or emigration - Increased demand from increased UK population also allowed as an approach Application: - Immigrants the main employees at HCWs and similar labour intensive firms (Extract A) - Implication in EU that increased immigration (Extract A paragraph 4) has caused supply to increase and therefore wages half of minimum wage (Ext A last paragraph) - HCW often a first job while the migrant's language improves on arrival in the UK (Ext A) - Following UK leaving the EU many low skilled labour may leave - Workers are not able to migrate as wages are not high enough in sector to be able to migrate to post UK leaving the EU	

	Analysis: - Labour market diagram showing increase in the supply of labour - NMW diagram showing excess supply of labour at the wage floor - Allow decrease in supply, e.g. since the UK leaving the EU - Allow backward bending supply of labour - Allow monopsony diagrams (although not required) - Some segments require more skilled workers and not suit low skilled migrant workers, e.g. valeting - Reference to any industry that relies on migrant workers, e.g. hospitality, nursing, construction NB if no valid diagram or reference to an industry, then award a maximum of Level 2 KAA	(8)
--	---	-----

Diagram, shift in demand and/or supply, or minimum wage e.g.



Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1-2	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no chains of reasoning or links between causes and consequences.
Level 2	3-5	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response; chains of reasoning are developed but the answer may lack balance.
Level 3	6-8	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using relevant and focused examples which are fully integrated. Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems. The answer demonstrates logical and coherent chains of reasoning.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(c) continued	Evaluation 4 • Impact of UK leaving the EU, Global Health Crisis etc. might put immigration figures into negative, and wages and conditions might improve • Depends on monopsony power • Evidence suggests there is not exploitation, or there is not enough evidence • Some firms are charging a fair wage • Other changes in the pipeline • The size of the changes in migration will dictate the impact on labour market • The ability of workers to move to other sectors/ speed language developed will determine impact on labour market • Within HCW there are sub-markets - some specialise in luxury vehicles - others are mobile operators who visit home - each will be affected differently	(4)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1-2	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	3-4	Evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning and appropriate reference to the context. Evaluation recognises different viewpoints and is critical of the evidence provided and/or the assumptions underlying the analysis enabling informed judgements to be made.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(d)	Knowledge 4, Application 4, Analysis 8, Evaluation 9 16 marks for KAA, for causes of the number of firms in an industry to change. Microeconomic causes may include: • nationalisation • privatisation • contestability • market power • economies of scale – natural monopoly • regulation and deregulation • oligopoly behaviour • barriers to entry e.g. factors linked to demand- tastes- preference for HCW over automatic, income, availability of substitutes- decline in automatics	

	Macroeconomic causes may include: • economic growth – e.g. a growing market means more firms will enter • trade patterns • level of protectionism • government policies e.g. supply side policies • TNCs and FDI • unemployment (especially given recent events) • inflation- if inflation is high, costs rise and if unable to increase prices, then firms may exit the market • Level of environmental protection- adds to the costs and may reduce the number of firms. • Exchange rates - if appreciated - costs to import lower and export higher - exporters may exit the market • Relative poverty high and rising - more demand low costs HCW increasing demand and market size - more firms NB for a Level 4 response there must be micro and macro cause(s). Foreign direct investment, role of TNCs, or regulation could be seen micro or macroeconomics NB for a Level 4 response there must be reference to an industry	
--	--	--

	9 marks for evaluation – points might include: • Depends on the nature of the business. Another industry could be used as a contrast, e.g. a capital intensive industry • Depends on the LRAC and the minimum efficient scale in the current situation • Changes over time – barriers to entry or exit are likely to change e.g. in the face of new technology in car wash industry or other industry • Exchange rates go up and down so could equally depreciate • Environmental protection may create more demand as it is a more ethical service and not cause the number of firms to fall	(25)
--	--	------

Knowledge, application and analysis		
Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1–4	Displays ability to apply knowledge in context but will focus on small range of elements. Demonstrates understanding by identifying relevant information. Demonstrates knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models.
Level 2	5–8	Shows ability to apply economic ideas and relate them to economic problems in context. Displays knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories to make limited analysis or narrow analysis.
Level 3	9–12	Analysis is clear and coherent with evidence well integrated, although may focus on some of the broad elements of the question more than others. Shows ability to apply economic ideas and relate them directly to the broad elements in the question.
Level 4	13–16	Analysis is relevant, clear and coherent with evidence fully and reliably integrated. Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems covering both microeconomic and macroeconomic effects. A clear understanding of economic principles, concepts, theories and arguments.

Evaluation		
Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1–3	Identification of evaluative comments without explanation.
Level 2	4–6	Evaluative comments with limited explanations. Evidence of evaluation of alternative approaches which is generic or unbalanced leading to limited judgements.
Level 3	7–9	Evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning and appropriate reference to the context. Evaluation recognises different viewpoints and is critical of the evidence provided and/or the assumptions underlying the analysis enabling informed judgements to be made.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(e)	Knowledge 4, Application 4, Analysis 8, Evaluation 9 16 marks for KAA, for effects of increased government intervention. Microeconomic effects may include: • Minimum price, living wage enforced, planning issues, tax, health and safety • Rise in wages (if wage floors introduced) will have a large impact on costs. Might be shown on increasing NMW diagram • Effect on price of car washes – consumer surplus falls, output falls, price increases • Impact on quantity of labour/marginal revenue productivity • Impact on productivity • Diagram to show the effect of the intervention approach, e.g. of minimum price as solution to market failure • Price of a car wash might be passed on to higher wages	

	Macroeconomic effects may include: • Income equality improvements – may use Gini coefficient or Lorenz curve • Prices rise – inflationary effects • reduction in levels of poverty • effects on growth – inflexibility in markets can reduce I or FDI • might improve environmental standards • Reduced employment NB for a Level 4 response there must be micro and macro effect (s). Employment or welfare issues could be seen as micro or macro, for example, can be used as either micro or macroeconomics NB for a Level 4 response there must be reference to an industry	
--	--	--

	9 marks for evaluation – points might include: • Government failure, e.g. regulatory capture, asymmetric information • depends on impact of other events such as UK leaving the EU, high unemployment from recent Global Health Crisis • effect on workforce of employment regulation depends on elasticity for demand for labour, and degree with which it can be replaced with capital • depends on PED of HCW market as a whole • consumers might also have increases in wages • some firms will face decreased demand and go out of business. Loss of jobs and other economic activity • depends on the PED and PES in factor market and product market as a whole • Wash Mark Certificates not government regulation may have more of an impact in improving quality and conditions for workers and reducing pollution than government action	(25)
--	--	------


Knowledge, application and analysis		
Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1–4	Displays ability to apply knowledge in context but will focus on small range of elements. Demonstrates understanding by identifying relevant information. Demonstrates knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models.
Level 2	5–8	Shows ability to apply economic ideas and relate them to economic problems in context. Displays knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories to make limited analysis or narrow analysis.
Level 3	9–12	Analysis is clear and coherent with evidence well integrated, although may focus on some of the broad elements of the question more than others. Shows ability to apply economic ideas and relate them directly to the broad elements in the question.
Level 4	13–16	Analysis is relevant, clear and coherent with evidence fully and reliably integrated. Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems covering both microeconomic and macroeconomic effects. A clear understanding of economic principles, concepts, theories and arguments.

Evaluation		
Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1–3	Identification of evaluative comments without explanation.
Level 2	4–6	Evaluative comments with limited explanations. Evidence of evaluation of alternative approaches which is generic or unbalanced leading to limited judgements.
Level 3	7–9	Evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning and appropriate reference to the context. Evaluation recognises different viewpoints and is critical of the evidence provided and/or the assumptions underlying the analysis enabling informed judgements to be made.

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(a)	D	(1)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(b)	Application 3, Analysis 1 Application: • AD/AS diagram with axes correctly labelled showing initial equilibrium (1) • Shift of curves to show a decrease in AD or an increase in (SR)AS (1) • New equilibrium real national output marked (1) Analysis: • A decrease in real incomes will lead to a decrease in consumption, leading to a fall in aggregate demand (1) OR • Firms' production costs will decrease, leading to an increase in (SR)AS. (1)	(4)

Q11.

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(a)	Knowledge 2, Application 2 Knowledge/understanding: Accurate diagram with correct labels on axes (1) and lines (1)  Application: Correct shift of line(s) (1) showing change in price level and/or real output (1) For example: N.B. Other AS curves are acceptable. Allow outward shift of both AD and AS.	(4)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(b)	The only correct answer is A <i>B is not correct because it is not infrastructure</i> <i>C is not correct because it is spending on salaries, not infrastructure</i> <i>D is not correct because it is spending on welfare, not infrastructure</i>	(1)

Q12.

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(a)	B	(1)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(b)	Knowledge 2, Analysis 1, Application 1 Knowledge/understanding: 2 marks for identifying how UK inflation is measured by the Consumer Prices Index (CPI); • Inflation rate measures change in average prices in an economy over a year (1) • A representative basket of goods and services used by average households is recorded (1) • A survey of average prices is recorded (1) • Reference to a base year (1) • Reference to Family/Household/Consumer Expenditure Survey (1) Analysis: Items are weighted according to proportion of spending on each product (1) Application: 1 mark for reference to the chart, e.g. Food and Fuel is dragging inflation downwards For example: A household expenditure survey (1) is completed to decide what goes in to the basket of goods (1). This is used to attach weights to products based on proportion of spending (1). In January 2015 CPI inflation was 0%. (1) NB: Candidates must refer to weights for the analysis mark.	(4)

Q13.

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(a)	Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 1 Knowledge: 1 mark for identification of a possible reason and 1 mark for its relevance to a fall in the value of the currency. Possible reasons: • Fall in demand for peso (1) with reason (1) • Increase in supply of peso (1) with reason (1) • Current account balance: ◦ Fall in the value of X (1) because export prices have fallen (1) ◦ Rise in the value of M (1) because of economic growth (1) • Decrease in interest rates (1) in Chile relative to other countries (1) • Fall in FDI (1) with reason e.g. reduced foreign investment in Chilean mining (1) • Change in hot money flows (1) with reason e.g. speculative selling of peso (1) • Rise in value of other countries' exchange rates (1) with a change in relative rates (1) • Relatively high inflation in Chile (1) compared to other countries with which it trades (1)	

	Application: 2 marks for data references e.g. • Copper prices have fallen, Fig. 1 (1) e.g. to \$5000 (1) • The Chilean peso has decreased in value (1) • Calculation based on data (award a range of answers because the data is given for the whole year) e.g. 0.2 to $0.14 = 30\%$ fall (or similar) (1 + 1) • Inflation 5% in Chile (1)/above 2-4% target (1)/ e.g. because of higher unit labour costs (implied by high truck drivers' wages, Extract B) (1) • Fall in FDI as mining dries up, therefore reduced demand for peso • Application of an exchange rate diagram e.g. with peso on vertical axis with shift (1) Analysis: • 1 mark for explanation of the process linking to the cause to the identified change e.g. fall in copper prices means that the value of exports fall because demand is price inelastic (1) or imports rise in value because of economic growth sucking in imports (1) diagram showing shifts in demand or supply of pesos linked to correct explanation (1) NB only award 1 mark in total for use of a diagram	(5)
--	--	-----

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(b)	Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 2, Evaluation 2 NB an answer might provide positive externalities as KAA and negative externalities as evaluation NB do not award effects on copper mining firms, unless an indirect effect. NB an answer which does not relate both to firms and communities is limited to 5/6 KAA marks. NB do not award externalities themselves, e.g. pollution, the environment. Award the <i>impact</i>. Knowledge and Analysis Knowledge/ implicit understanding of externalities (1) e.g. knowledge that externalities are an effect on third parties, or outside (or not accounted for by) the market mechanism, or the difference between private and social costs or benefits Firms (in businesses other than mining) Points showing the impact on firms might include: • farms lacking water because mining companies are using it (1+1) • high demand for energy means that other firms will face high energy costs (1+1) • high exchange rate can cause problems for other exporting firms (1+1)	

	Communities Points showing the impact on communities might include: • problems of water access for households (1+1), • large-scale transport causes noise, air pollution (1+1), • people have to move away to find work (social dislocation) (1+1) • impact on employment and incomes as mining declines (1+1) • impact on firms that supply the mining sector (1+1) Some impacts could be seen as firms and communities, e.g. impact on wider economy of higher wages as firms have to compete with unionised highly paid copper miners for employment. Application: • Externality diagram illustrating negative or positive impact using marginal analysis (up to 2 marks: 1 mark for correct MSC and MPC drawn, 1 mark for correct equilibrium shown/deadweight loss) • Water has to be pumped 200km (Extract B paragraph 4) which uses fossil fuels/is noisy/ugly/affects tourist areas • Mines are deeper and use more fuel (carbon emissions have impact on climate and therefore on welfare of many) • Desalination plants might affect other firms or consumers (this might be negative or positive)	
--	---	--

	Evaluation (2 marks for any relevant point, two points 1 +1): • Positive externalities, e.g. ◦ impact of local multiplier on economy, improved infrastructure affects other industries ◦ Improved wages and working conditions for workers (in businesses other than mining) ◦ The copper mining has already helped to fund improvements in welfare, such as the financial system and pensions ◦ Benefits of higher value of currency, e.g. terms of trade improvement • Difficult to know how large the externalities are or who is bearing the cost • The benefits might outweigh the costs, e.g. reduction in poverty, improved fiscal powers, strength of financial markets, improved local infrastructure • Other standard evaluation points, e.g. Extract B a drought has made the problem more severe, time to acquire environmental impact assessments (Extract B paragraph 5) might not be a reliable indicator	(8)
--	---	------------

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(c)	Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 4 • Link between falling copper prices and falling incomes and government revenue e.g. dramatic loss of government revenue from peak of \$11.5 billion • Exposure to risk e.g. high proportion of GDP and exports dependent on copper so this could cause risk of falling incomes • Price volatility e.g. might cause reduced FDI/investment • Impact of declining terms of trade might be used • Successful exports of copper might force up the exchange rate, other 'Dutch disease' issues e.g. impact on non-copper sector • Falling demand for copper might cause peso to fall in value, causing declining terms of trade/cost push inflation • May use diagram to illustrate effects of low PES, PED • Award use of concepts or theories such as Prebisch-Singer, with link to terms of trade and changing world incomes. • Firms may close down e.g. Extract A lines 8-9: award a microeconomics diagram showing shutdown point NB Do not award any parts of answers which are related to externalities A KAA point referring to changes in tax revenues can only be awarded Level 3 if a specific link is made to the source of the tax revenue e.g. – corporation tax or profits of a state-owned enterprise For a Level 3 answer there must be reference to dependency rather than just falls in copper prices, and the wider economy rather than just copper mining firms	(8)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1–2	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no chains of reasoning or links between causes and consequences.
Level 2	3–5	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response; chains of reasoning are developed but the answer may lack balance.
Level 3	6–8	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using relevant and focused examples which are fully integrated. Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems. The answer demonstrates logical and coherent chains of reasoning.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(c) continued	Evaluation 4 - Advantages of copper dependency for Chile's economy: - Copper dependency is an advantage when copper prices are high e.g. they have been high for a prolonged period, with many advantages - Use of data to support this, e.g. \$11.5 bn a year tax revenue, or percentage changes in prices Figure 1 - Low national debt, falling levels of poverty, inward direct investment - Other industries thriving e.g. salmon industry - Chile's economy 'best run in the region' and has financial strength to overcome copper price changes, relative to other countries who are dependent on primary products e.g. Venezuela - The fall in the exchange rate corrects the problem of over dependency - Copper prices are far less volatile than 2007-2011	(4)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1–2	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	3–4	Evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning and appropriate reference to the context. Evaluation recognises different viewpoints and is critical of the evidence provided and/or the assumptions underlying the analysis enabling informed judgements to be made.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(d)	Knowledge 4, Application 4, Analysis 8, Evaluation 9 16 marks for KAA, for effects of policies: Microeconomic effects may include: • fiscal, e.g. 'mildly expansionary policies' such as cutting income tax will increase real wages • monetary e.g. cutting interest rates can reduce the costs of borrowing for individuals and firms. • supply side policies, e.g. privatisation, free trade can make markets more competitive • growth and development strategies e.g. education, debt relief etc. can further alleviate poverty • price controls Macroeconomic effects may include: • Cutting interest rate might cause the peso to further decrease in value, which would cause inflation / increase the value of exports leading to current account improving • Privatisation or other supply side policies can increase international competitiveness NB for a Level 4 response there must be reference to both economic growth (e.g. rising incomes) and economic development (e.g. longer life expectancy through improved living standards) and a focus on effects for Level 3 and above. 9 marks for evaluation – might include: • Expansionary fiscal policies might involve an improvement in the redistribution of income • Objectives might conflict, e.g. cutting interest rates can cause inflation or widen inequality, or increased government spending to produce growth might cause inflation (e.g. Phillips Curve) • Policies might conflict, e.g. increasing length of time to gain a permit to reduce externalities can cause a negative supply side impact e.g. by raising production costs for firms.	(25)

Knowledge, application and analysis		
Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1–4	Displays ability to apply knowledge in context but will focus on small range of elements. Demonstrates understanding by identifying relevant information. Demonstrates knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models.
Level 2	5–8	Shows ability to apply economic ideas and relate them to economic problems in context. Displays knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories to make limited analysis or narrow analysis.
Level 3	9–12	Analysis is clear and coherent with evidence well integrated, although may focus on some of the broad elements of the question more than others. Shows ability to apply economic ideas and relate them directly to the broad elements in the question.
Level 4	13–16	Analysis is relevant, clear and coherent with evidence fully and reliably integrated. Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems covering both microeconomic and macroeconomic effects. A clear understanding of economic principles, concepts, theories and arguments.

Evaluation		
Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1–3	Identification of evaluative comments without explanation.
Level 2	4–6	Evaluative comments with limited explanations. Evidence of evaluation of alternative approaches which is generic or unbalanced leading to limited judgements.
Level 3	7–9	Evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning and appropriate reference to the context. Evaluation recognises different viewpoints and is critical of the evidence provided and/or the assumptions underlying the analysis enabling informed judgements to be made.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(e)	Knowledge 4, Application 4, Analysis 8, Evaluation 9 This mark scheme is based on an increase in I, but answer can be given from the point of view of a decrease Up to 16 marks for effects: Microeconomic effects may include: • Effects on workers – higher incomes, more jobs • Effect on firms, e.g. increase in productivity, or for firms that produce capital goods, a change in demand • Increase in demand as consumer and business confidence improves • Improved quality of life as a result of research into and development of products Macroeconomic effects may include: • Shift (increase) in AD, with multiplier effects, increasing real GDP • Shift (increase) in AS increasing capacity e.g. through investment in education (Extract A paragraph 5) (LRAS shift only, may be implicit) • Inflation, if linked to expansionary macroeconomics policies • Balance of payments – e.g. effects of inward FDI: short term improvement on financial account, long term impact on current account Award Keynesian and Classical approaches with equal weight. The opposing viewpoints may be used as evaluation. NB a Level 4 answer refer to <i>changes in investment</i> rather than levels. This may be implicit e.g. through a fall in AD NB do not award for impact other than on Chile. Do not award for causes rather than effects.	

	Up to 9 marks for evaluation points include: • Investment in capital might decrease employment • Investment in human capital e.g. education in Extract A, is hard to measure in terms of effectiveness • Data points to both increases and decreases in investment. Other forms of injection into the circular flow might be more sustainable, e.g. G • Depends if the investment is internal or FDI as to the effects on the balance of payments • Depends on whether there is a demand deficiency (Keynesian viewpoint) or failings in the supply side	(25)
--	---	------

Knowledge, application and analysis		
Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1–4	Displays ability to apply knowledge in context but will focus on small range of elements. Demonstrates understanding by identifying relevant information. Demonstrates knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models.
Level 2	5–8	Shows ability to apply economic ideas and relate them to economic problems in context. Displays knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories to make limited analysis or narrow analysis.
Level 3	9–12	Analysis is clear and coherent with evidence well integrated, although may focus on some of the broad elements of the question more than others. Shows ability to apply economic ideas and relate them directly to the broad elements in the question.
Level 4	13–16	Analysis is relevant, clear and coherent with evidence fully and reliably integrated. Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems covering both microeconomic and macroeconomic effects. A clear understanding of economic principles, concepts, theories and arguments.

Evaluation		
Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1–3	Identification of evaluative comments without explanation.
Level 2	4–6	Evaluative comments with limited explanations. Evidence of evaluation of alternative approaches which is generic or unbalanced leading to limited judgements.
Level 3	7–9	Evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning. Evaluation recognises different viewpoints and can be critical of the evidence provided.

Q14.

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(a)	The only correct answer is C A is not correct because house prices rose in August 2020 B is not correct because house prices rose in November 2018 D is not correct because house prices rose throughout this time	(1)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(b)	Knowledge 2, Application 1, Analysis 1 Knowledge/understanding (2): • Reference to wealth effect/houseowners are wealthier (1) • Increase in UK consumption (1) • Mortgage equity withdrawal (1) Application (1): A correct reference to the figure E.g. in November 2018 house prices increased by approximately 2% a year Analysis (1): Linked development 1 mark for e.g. • Increased consumer confidence • Consumers are less likely to save Alternative approach based on justified economic rationale: Allow answers based on people unable to buy and home improvements/saving instead E.g. Reduced consumption (K1) as consumers save more (K1) in order to be able to afford a deposit for a house (An1)	(4)

Q15.

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(a)	The only correct answer is C A is not correct because when government spending is greater than taxation, it represents a budget deficit B is not correct because the balance of trade is always in deficit as indicated by negative values D is not correct because the value of exports is smaller than the value of imports	(1)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(b)	Knowledge 1, Application 1, Analysis 1 Knowledge/understanding: 1 mark for identifying one likely reason, e.g. • Increasing real incomes (1) • Relatively low productivity (1) • Rising oil/commodity prices (1) • Appreciation of exchange rate (1) • Worsening of quality of UK exports (1) Application: 1 mark for reference to the chart, for e.g. • The UK's monthly trade balance was in a deficit of just under £2 billion at the end of 2017 (1) • Value of outflows is greater than value of inflows for the UK (1) Analysis: 1 mark for linked development, e.g. • Imports increase as UK consumers have a high marginal propensity to import (1) • Low productivity increases cost per unit: makes exports relatively more expensive, reducing the demand for domestic goods (1) • Higher oil / commodity prices increase the cost of imports as the UK is a net importer of oil and commodities (1) • Rise in the value of the pound makes exports relatively expensive and its imports relatively cheaper (1)	(3)

Q16.

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(a)	Knowledge 2, Application 1, Analysis 1 Knowledge/understanding (2): - Investment will increase (1) - Lower interest rates reduce cost of borrowing (1) Application (1): A relevant reference to the data, e.g. - In 2020 interest rates fell from 0.75% to 0.1% (1) - In 2020 interest rates fell by 0.65 percentage points (1) Analysis (1): Linked development 1 mark for e.g. - Firms are more incentivised to borrow money (1) - Firms feel more confident to invest - Investments financed by borrowing are now more profitable/less risky (1) - Costs of production fall for firms (1) - Fall in return on savings stimulating consumption/sales (1)	(4)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(b)	The only correct answer is B <i>A is not correct because average house prices would be likely to rise</i> <i>C is not correct because the level of employment would be likely to rise</i> <i>D is not correct because the rate of economic growth would be likely to rise</i>	(1)

Q17.

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(a)	Knowledge 1, Application 2, Analysis 2 Knowledge/Understanding: Identifying data 0.95 & 0.75 (1) Application: • Correct percentage change formula (1) • Correct data inputted into formula (1) Analysis: Calculation of the percentage change in the exchange rate: $0.75 - 0.95 / 0.95 \times 100 = -21\% \text{ (2)}$ N.B. award full marks for correct answer between -21% and -21.1% NB If no reference to minus/fall/decrease then maximum 4 marks	(5)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(b)	Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 2, Evaluation 2 Knowledge/understanding: 2 marks for identification of two factors (1+1), e.g. • falling oil prices • falling commodity prices • changing relative exchange rates • government fiscal tightening • low consumer or business confidence • increase in savings Analysis: 2 marks for linked explanation of how these explain the fall in Eurozone inflation (1+1), e.g. • Falling oil prices in the world economy reduce key costs of production such as transportation, reducing prices of many products in the economy Application: 2 marks (1+1) for reference to the data/candidates' own knowledge, e.g. • From figure 2, Eurozone inflation 0.1% in 2015 (1) • ECB predicts Eurozone inflation to rise 'by just 1 per cent in 2016' (extract A) (1) • Figure 2 shows disinflation occurring (1) • Inflation fell from just over 3% in 2011 (1) to less than 0.25% in 2015 (1) Evaluation: 2 marks for evaluation (1+1 / 2) • Inflation likely to rise in the future, with ECB expecting 1.6% in 2017 • Oil prices are very volatile and could easily rise rapidly due to world events such as instability in the Middle East • Rising GDP in the Eurozone may mean more demand-pull inflation in the future • Depends on extent of fiscal tightening by government • Oil prices a significant cost of production for businesses	(8)

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(c)	Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 2, Likely impacts on the current accounts include: • Reduced demand for Eurozone exports as they appear more expensive when converted into other currencies. • Increased demand for Eurozone imports as they appear cheaper when converted into other currencies.	(6)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1–2	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no link between causes and consequences.
Level 2	3–4	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response or the answer may lack balance.
Level 3	5–6	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using relevant and focused examples which are fully integrated. Economic ideas are applied appropriately to the broad elements of the question.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(c) continued	Evaluation 4 • Euro may not have appreciated against all currencies. • Euro may have appreciated against currencies of countries who do not receive many Eurozone exports. • Magnitude discussion • May change in the long term • Marshall-Lerner condition • J-curve effects • Non-price factors may be more important	(4)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1–2	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/ reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	3–4	Evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning and appropriate reference to context. Evaluation recognises different viewpoints and/or is critical of the evidence.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(d)	Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 4, ECB QE programme is effective: • Explanation of process of QE • should encourage banks to increase lending and thus hopefully increase consumption/investment • Inflation/GDP may well have been much lower without QE • Confidence of markets would have been much lower without QE • QE programme to be extended for a further 6 months • Lower interest rates encourages consumption/investment, thus increasing AD and therefore inflation • Low government bond yields for Eurozone countries • Depreciation of the Euro affecting X-M NB One side of this argument should count as KAA and the opposing argument as Evaluation	(8)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1–2	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no chains of reasoning or links between causes and consequences.
Level 2	3–5	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response; chains of reasoning are developed but the answer may lack balance.
Level 3	6–8	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using relevant and focused examples which are fully integrated. Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems. The answer demonstrates logical and coherent chains of reasoning.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(d) continued	Evaluation 4 ECB QE programme isn't effective: • Inflation likely to remain below target into at least 2017 • Low inflation is largely down to factors outside the central bank's control (e.g. oil prices) • Lack of confidence among firms and consumers meaning they are not responding as the ECB would like to the QE programme • Banks are still reluctant to lend money to firms and consumers • Time lags • Extension of QE programme suggests that it hasn't worked • Other policies may be more effective NB One side of this argument should count as KAA and the opposing argument as Evaluation	(4)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1–2	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	3–4	Evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning and appropriate reference to context. Evaluation recognises different viewpoints and/or is critical of the evidence.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(e)	Knowledge 3, Application 3, Analysis 3 Potential policies may include: • Fiscal policies, e.g. reduced corporation tax to increase investment and therefore increase AD • Supply-side policies, e.g. increased investment in education to create a more highly-skilled and therefore more productive workforce N.B. Candidates may discuss more than one of each type of policy N.B. Candidates must discuss both fiscal and supply-side policies for level 3	(9)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1–3	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no chains of reasoning or links between causes and consequences.
Level 2	4–6	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response; chains of reasoning are developed but the answer may lack balance.
Level 3	7–9	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using relevant and focused examples which are fully integrated. Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems. The answer demonstrates logical and coherent chains of reasoning.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(e) continued	Evaluation 6 Evaluation of the policies, e.g. • Governments in many Eurozone countries are having to focus on reducing national debt and can't afford policies such as tax cuts • Supply-side policies take a long time to have an impact on the economy	(6)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1–2	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	3–4	Evidence of evaluation of alternative approaches which is unbalanced. Evaluative comments with supporting evidence/reference to context and a partially-developed chain of reasoning.
Level 3	5–6	Evaluative comments supported by relevant chain of reasoning and appropriate reference to context. Evaluation recognises different viewpoints and/or is critical of the evidence.

Q18.

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(a)	Knowledge 1, Application 1, Analysis 1 Knowledge/understanding 1 mark for identifying a reason e.g. - Falling unemployment (1) - Rising incomes / economic growth (1) - Lower inflation rates (1) Application 1 mark for identifying an improvement in consumer confidence (1) or other single use of figures from the chart e.g. a low of -25 in 2012 (1) Analysis 1 mark for linked development of reason (1) e.g. economic growth leads to more predictable job security	(3)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(b)	D	(1)

Q19.

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(a)	The only correct answer is A B is not correct because an increase in house prices will lead to wealth effects and not an increase in income C is not correct because an increase in house prices will raise consumer confidence and increase consumption D is not correct because an increase in house prices will lead to positive wealth effects, which will lead to greater spending by existing homeowners	(1)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(b)	Application 2 Application: 2015: $(200\ 141/189\ 709) \times 100 = 105.5$ or 106 (1) 2016: $(215\ 127/189\ 709) \times 100 = 113.4$ or 113 (1)	(2)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(c)	Knowledge 1 Knowledge/understanding: 1 mark for definition, e.g. - An economic data figure that reflects price/quantity compared with the base year (1) - Shows percentage change (increase or decrease) in price/quantity from the base year (1)	(1)

Q20.

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(a)	Knowledge 1, Analysis 1 Knowledge/understanding: Identification of one reason (1), e.g. - Lower interest rates (1) - Increase in consumer confidence (1) Analysis: Linked development (1), e.g. - Lower interest rates makes loans more affordable (1) - Higher consumer confidence encourages consumers to borrow believing they can repay in the future (1)	(2)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(b)	The only correct answer is B A is not correct because this would either not be related or would increase the trade deficit C is not correct because this would be likely to reduce unemployment D is not correct because this would be likely to cause inflation	(1)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(c)	Application 2 Application: 1 mark for correct appropriate calculation/formula e.g. Base year 2015 = 100 (1) OR 4% (1) Answer = 104 Award 2 marks for correct answer	(2)

Questions

Q1.

UK incomes, inflation and monetary policy

Figure 1 - UK average weekly household real income




Figure 2 - UK inflation rate as measured by percentage changes in the Consumer Price Index (CPI)



Extract A

Britons should not fear rise in interest rates

The Monetary Policy Committee (MPC) of the Bank of England is prepared to raise interest rates "in the near future" if inflation increases, one of its senior policymakers has warned.

Kristin Forbes, a member of the MPC, said a rise in borrowing costs would also be necessary should household debt reach unhealthy levels. However, she stressed that this was not yet a cause for concern.

5

With the UK's base rate of interest at 0.5% and inflation at a record low and expected to be negative, the MPC is currently under no pressure to raise interest rates, despite Britain's economic recovery.

UK inflation is being driven lower by the slump in global oil prices, which have roughly halved since summer 2014, and the Bank's governor Mark Carney warned earlier this month that a strong domestic economy would translate into higher UK inflation over the medium term. "The most likely next move in monetary policy is an increase in interest rates. The message is clear," Carney said.

10

Forbes said that "even the more lagged effects of the rise in the value of the pound will likely peak in the first part of this year and also gradually fade. Inflation will then most likely bounce back.

15

"Since interest rates take well over a year to be fully effective, they should be adjusted to respond to inflationary risks at that time horizon – when all of these effects have diminished – rather than respond to today's inflation."

(Source: adapted from <http://www.theguardian.com/money/2015/feb/24/britons-should-not-fear-rise-in-interest-rates-when-they-come-says-boe-member>)

Extract B

Deflation is bad news

The problem with deflation is that once you have it you can't get rid of it. Central banks know what to do about inflation but they do not have the policy tools to deal with deflation when interest rates are almost as low as they can go. Just look at Japan, which had deflation in nine separate years from 1999-2012, with two additional years at zero, averaging minus 0.3%. The highest in any single year was minus 1.3% in 2013.

5

In the European Union (EU) in 2008, at the start of the financial crisis, there were fears of deflation but at that time central banks had the ability to cut interest rates by nearly 5 percentage points. Those fears may now be coming true, with the EU experiencing deflation of 0.5% in 2015.

This was driven primarily by declines in energy prices, but there was also deflation in non-energy industrial goods and telecommunications. The collapse in the cost of shipping goods potentially suggests something deeper is going on and may lead to a more persistent form of deflation than Mark Carney has currently claimed.

10

(Source: adapted from <http://www.independent.co.uk/news/business/comment/david-blanchflower/david-blanchflower-deflation-is-bad-news--and-britain-is-likely-to-be-next-to-get-it-10078832.html>)

(a) With reference to Figure 1, explain the term 'real income'.

(4)

(b) Assess the likely impact of falling real incomes on UK consumers.

(10)

(c) With reference to Extract A, explain the likely effect of a rise in the value of the pound on aggregate demand.

(5)

(d) With reference to the data, explain **two** likely reasons for the UK's falling inflation rate.

(6)

(e) With reference to Extracts A and B and your own knowledge, discuss whether the MPC should be concerned about the risk of deflation in the UK economy.

(15)

Answer EITHER

(f) Evaluate whether the MPC has been successful in controlling the UK's inflation rate since 2011.

(20)


OR

(g) Evaluate demand-side policy responses to the Global Financial Crisis of 2008.

(20)

(Total for question = 60 marks)

Q2.




(Source: <http://www.ons.gov.uk/ons/rel/bus-invest/business-investment/q3-2013-provisional-results/stb-business-investment-q3-2013-provisional.html#tab-Business-Investment-and-other-components-of-GFCF>)

Figure 1: UK real annual business investment, 1997–2012 (seasonally adjusted)

(a) With reference to Figure 1, explain what has happened to real annual business investment since 2008.

(4)



(Source: <http://www.tradingeconomics.com/united-kingdom/currency>)

Figure 2: British pound (£) to US dollar (\$) exchange rate, 1 January 2013 to mid-February 2014

(b) With reference to Figure 2, explain the likely effect of an appreciation of the British pound (£) against the US dollar (\$) on the volume of UK imports from, and UK exports to, the USA.

(5)

Extract A

Why Britain needs a pay rise

UK growth in 2013 has been caused mainly by increases in consumption. Rising consumption tends to encourage companies to invest. The increase in demand for goods and services means firms need more productive capacity, often moving to bigger premises and buying capital equipment. Crucially, though, they only do so if they think the increase in consumption is likely to be permanent.

5

At the start of 2013, it was hard to see why consumer spending would rise. The economy had recorded little growth for two years and confidence was low. Inflation had been higher than earnings growth during 2012, making it hard for families to manage.

Three factors combined to change the picture: cheap credit, the Bank of England's funding for lending scheme (FLS), and rising consumer confidence. The Bank of England cut its official interest rate to 0.5% in early 2009, but it took a long time for the monetary stimulus to have an impact.

10

It only really did so when FLS was announced in the summer of 2012. This was a deal under which the commercial (high street) banks could borrow more cheaply from the Bank of England provided they passed on the benefits to their customers.

15

The idea was that household and business borrowers would benefit: loans would become more readily available and the interest rates charged on them would be lower. Lending to businesses was disappointing, but the impact on the mortgage market was rapid and significant: more mortgages were approved, and at lower rates of interest. This contributed to the improvement in the housing market, which in turn boosted confidence, leading to higher spending, and this led to higher growth.

20

Consumers ran down their savings to fund this higher spending. Again, this is not unusual. Households become more cautious in troubled times and they save more just to be on the safe side.

However, unless consumers are willing to save less and less and borrow more and more, there is only so long this process can continue. Eventually, consumer spending growth has to be the result of rising real incomes rather than falling savings.

25

After a year in which the consumer has been the driving force behind the expansion of the economy, 2014 should be the year when investment contributes to growth. Many of the conditions for an increase in business spending are in place: companies are cash rich, profitability is good, interest rates are set to stay low, and ageing capital stock needs replacing.

30

The one factor not in place is the most important one of all: an increase in real wages. If companies believe that consumers will carry on spending, they are much more likely to go ahead with new investment projects. If they think consumer spending will not increase, then they will be unwilling to invest. This will mean that inflationary pressures build up and the trade deficit will continue to widen.

35

(Source: adapted from 'Why Britain needs a pay rise', Larry Elliott, *The Guardian*, 29 December 2013
<http://www.theguardian.com/money/2013/dec/29/why-britain-needs-pay-rise>)

(c) With reference to Extract A, explain **two** reasons why consumer spending rose in 2013.

(6)

(d) Assess the importance of interest rates in determining the level of business investment in the UK.

(10)

(e) Discuss the likely impact of both a lack of new investment and an appreciation of the British

pound (£) on 'inflationary pressures' in the UK (Extract A line 36).

(15)

EITHER

(f) Evaluate whether the UK government should focus on achieving economic growth as its key macroeconomic objective.

(20)

OR

(g) Evaluate the use of monetary policy to achieve the UK's macroeconomic objectives.

(20)

(Total for question = 60 marks)

Q3.

Some questions must be answered with a cross in a box (☒). If you change your mind about an answer, put a line through the box (☒) and then mark your new answer with a cross (☒).

Use the data to support your answers where relevant. You may annotate and include diagrams in your answers.

The UK exchange rate changed from £1 = \$1.53 in January 2015 to £1 = \$1.22 in October 2019.

(a) Define the term 'exchange rate'.

(1)

.....

.....

.....

.....

(b) Which **one** of the following represents the percentage change in the £ sterling and US\$ exchange rate?

(1)

☒ **A** 0.31%


☒ B 20.26%

☒ C 25.41%

☒ D 79.74%

(c) Annotate the aggregate demand (AD) and aggregate supply (AS) diagram below to show the likely impact of an improvement in net trade on the equilibrium level of real output and the price level.

(2)



(Total for question = 4 marks)

Q4.

Global oil prices fell from a 2008 peak of \$147 a barrel to \$27 in 2016.

Evaluate the likely macroeconomic consequences of a significant fall in global oil prices.

.....
.....

[illegible]

Q5.

UK economic recovery

Figure 1: Components of aggregate demand in selected countries 2014


Country	Consumption	Investment	Government spending	Exports - Imports
UK	66%	14%	21%	-2%
Germany	57%	17%	19%	6%
France	57%	20%	25%	-2%
China	34%	49%	14%	3%

NB: Figures may not add up to 100% due to rounding calculations.

(Source: World Bank 2014)

Figure 2: Nominal GDP per capita at Purchasing Power Parities (PPPs)

(the base year 2000=100)



(Source: <https://www.imf.org>)

Extract A

The UK economy - a strange recovery

At the Autumn Statement in November 2015, the Chancellor of the Exchequer had good reason to cheer his economic record. The UK economy appeared to have returned towards its long-term trend in the rate of economic growth.

GDP growth has remained strong, with output rising at the highest rate in the G7 group of seven major industrialised countries. Unemployment continued to drop and business investment grew by 5%. 5

However, a number of concerns remain, particularly in relation to the UK's current account deficit, which reached a record high of 6% of GDP in the third quarter of 2014. This was primarily a result of a sharp decline in the rate of return on UK investments overseas. Low export growth meant that the UK's trade deficit persisted. 10

In 2012, the Chancellor had announced a target for the UK to export £1 trillion of goods and services by 2020, which would require an annual growth rate of 8%. However, the annual growth in UK exports had reached just 2.7%. The Office for Budget Responsibility (OBR) forecast that UK exports will only reach £630 billion by 2020 – a third lower than the government's target. 15

Exports in services continued to grow in 2015, but the UK's goods industries were struggling. It was particularly concerning that data showed output in the UK's construction and manufacturing industries falling. Indeed, the output of the manufacturing sector remained 6.3% below its pre-recession peak.

Households, meanwhile, are set to become even more indebted over the coming years. It is projected that the household gross debt to income ratio will rise by 26 percentage points between 2015 to 2020, returning to levels last seen before the Global Financial Crisis. The economy did not appear to be rebalancing away from consumer driven growth towards export-led growth, making another recession almost inevitable. 20

Fundamental to this problem is the UK's low productivity, which in 2015 remains around 20 percentage points below the average for the rest of the G7. It is vital that the government tackles this problem, particularly in the sectors that are currently facing difficulties. This will require relaxing the planning system to promote more house-building, the removal of burdens on UK manufacturers such as carbon taxes, finally getting shale gas exploration going, and reforming apprenticeships to rapidly improve skills in construction, manufacturing and technology. 25 30

(Source: adapted from <http://www.cityam.com/229481/chancellor-beware-uk-not-out-woods-yet>, 25 November 2015 by Daniel Mahoney)

- (a) With reference to Figure 1, explain the term 'net trade'. (4)
- (b) With reference to the information provided and your own knowledge, assess the likely causes of the UK's trade deficit. (10)
- (c) With reference to Extract A, paragraph 2, explain **one** likely influence on UK investment. (5)
- (d) With reference to Figure 2 and Extract A, explain **two** likely reasons why the growth of the UK's nominal GDP per capita at PPPs was slower than that of Germany after 2010. (6)
- (e) Evaluate policies the government could use to increase the UK's productivity. (15)

EITHER

(f) Evaluate the benefits of economic growth to the UK given that 'a number of concerns remain' in the UK economy (Extract A, line 7).

(20)

OR

(g) Evaluate the view that another recession is 'inevitable' in the UK (Extract A, line 24).

(20)

(Total for question = 60 marks)

Q6.

(a) Explain the difference between 'good' deflation (Extract B, line 16) and 'bad' deflation (Extract B, line 27).

(5)

(b) With reference to Figure 1 and Extract A, examine the likely reasons for the fall in oil prices since the middle of 2014.

(8)

(c) With reference to Extract A, discuss the factors necessary for the success of a cartel.

(12)

EITHER

(d) With reference to the information provided and your own knowledge, evaluate the microeconomic and macroeconomic effects of a sustained period of deflation.

(25)

OR

(e) With reference to the information provided and your own knowledge, evaluate the

microeconomic and macroeconomic effects of a sustained fall in global oil prices.

(25)

(Total for question = 50 marks)

Q7.

(a) With reference to Extract C, explain what can be inferred about the price elasticity of demand for coffee beans.

(5)

(b) With reference to Figure 4, examine the likely impact of the 19% increase in the price of coffee beans between January and February 2014, on the price of a cup of coffee bought from a coffee shop.

(8)

(c) Discuss the different degrees of contestability in the farming of coffee beans **and** in the UK coffee shop market.

(12)

EITHER

(d) With reference to the information provided and your own knowledge, evaluate the likely microeconomic and macroeconomic effects of fluctuating coffee bean prices on coffee-producing countries.

(25)

OR

(e) With reference to the information provided and your own knowledge, evaluate the likely microeconomic and macroeconomic effects of the depreciation of the *real* on Brazil's economy.

(25)

(Total for question = 50 marks)

Q8.

Use the data to support your answers where relevant. You may annotate and include diagrams in your answers.

Answer the question with a cross in the box you think is correct . If you change your mind about an answer, put a line through the box  and then mark your new answer with a cross .

In August 2018 the Monetary Policy Committee of the Bank of England raised the UK's base interest rate from 0.5% to 0.75%.

(a) Define the term 'interest rate'.

(1)

.....


.....

.....

.....

(b) Annotate the aggregate demand (AD) and short-run aggregate supply (SRAS) diagram below to show the possible impact of a rise in the base interest rate on the equilibrium level of real output and the price level.

(2)



(c) Which **one** of the following demand-side policies best explains a rise in the base interest rate?

(1)

- ☒ A Contractionary fiscal policy
- ☒ B Contractionary monetary policy
- ☒ C Expansionary fiscal policy
- ☒ D Expansionary monetary policy

(Total for question = 4 marks)


Q9.

The Chancellor announced in the 2015 Budget that the personal allowance (the annual income that can be earned before starting to pay income tax) would increase from £10 600 to £11 000 in April 2016. This means most consumers will pay less income tax.

(Source: adapted from <http://www.telegraph.co.uk/finance/personalfinance/how-budget-affect-me/11721785/Budget-2015-what-to-expect-for-income-tax-and-the-personal-allowance.html>)

(a) Annotate the aggregate demand and aggregate supply diagram below to show the likely impact of the increase in the personal allowance on the UK's price level and real output.

(2)



(b) Define the term 'direct tax'.

(1)

(c) Which **one** of the following is an example of a direct tax?

(1)


- ☒ A Value Added Tax
- ☒ B Vehicle Excise Duty
- ☒ C Corporation tax
- ☒ D Alcohol duty

(Total for question = 4 marks)

Q10.

Use the data to support your answers where relevant. You may annotate and include diagrams in your answers.

Monthly additions to UK credit card lending, £ billions, 2015 - 2017



(Source: <https://www.ukfinance.org.uk/statistics/cards/>)

(a) Which **one** of the following would be **most** likely to cause an increase in credit card lending?

(1)

- ☒ **A** A fall in interest rates
- ☒ **B** A fall in investment
- ☒ **C** An increase in the deficit on the current account of the balance of payments
- ☒ **D** An increase in savings

(b) Using the chart, calculate an index number for additions to credit card lending in March 2017, using January 2016 as the base. You are advised to show your working.

(2)

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

(c) Explain **one** possible link between an increase in credit card lending and the rate of inflation.

(2)

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

(Total for question = 5 marks)

Mark Scheme

Q1.

Question Number	Answer	Mark
	Knowledge 2, Application 2 Knowledge 2 marks for, e.g. • Income is money received as payment for work (1) • Real means adjusted for inflation/purchasing power of income (1) Application 2 marks for, e.g. • Real income has fallen (1) • From approximately £675 in 2008 to £615 in 2013 (1)	(4)

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
	Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 2 • Lower incomes means lower consumption • Consumer confidence falls • Aggregate demand therefore falls • Fall in standard of living • Falling demand for firms means lower profits; rising unemployment • Less ability to save & possible consequences of this, e.g. mortgage deposit; confidence Candidates may illustrate this with an accurate AD/AS diagram showing AD decreasing	(6)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1–2	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no link between causes and consequences.
Level 2	3–4	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response or the answer may lack balance.
Level 3	5–6	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using relevant and focused examples which are fully integrated. Economic ideas are applied appropriately to the broad elements of the question.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
continued	Evaluation 4 • Depends on other factors in the economy, such as government spending or demand for exports • Changes in benefits and/or tax allowances may offset fall in real income • Only short-term fall (Figure 1 shows slight increase in 2013) • Inflation falling recently, improving likelihood of real income rises • Could be due to firms keeping wages low rather than making redundancies, thus people's standard of living higher than it would be if they lost their job	(4)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1–2	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/ reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	3–4	Evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning and appropriate reference to context. Evaluation recognises different viewpoints and/or is critical of the evidence.

Question Number	Answer	Mark
	Knowledge 1, Application 2, Analysis 2 Knowledge/understanding 1 marks, e.g. • Definition of aggregate demand • Understanding of a rise in value of the pound Application 2 marks (1+1), e.g. • Aggregate demand will fall (1) • Lagged effect (1) • Declining imported food and commodity prices (1) Analysis Linked development (1+1), e.g. • Imports become cheaper so aggregate demand falls (1) • Exports become expensive so aggregate demand falls (1)	(5)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
	Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 2 Knowledge Identification of two reasons (1+1) • Falling energy prices • Falling telecommunication prices • Falling shipping costs • Falling non-energy industrial goods prices • Slowdown in world economic growth • Slowdown in consumer spending • Slowdown in house construction Application 2 relevant pieces of application from Extract B (1+1), e.g. • inflation at 0.3% (1) Analysis Linked development of how reasons identified cause falling inflation rate (1+1) e.g. • falling oil prices makes transport of goods cheaper (1) N.B. Falling inflation rate does not necessarily mean prices are falling, so students may talk about reasons for disinflation and/or deflation	(6)

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
	Knowledge 3, Application 3, Analysis 3 The MPC should be concerned because: • Risk of deflationary spiral; hard to 'escape' from such a situation • Example of Japan • Consumer expectations of very low/falling prices become embedded • Limited ability of the MPC to get the economy out of deflation (interest rates already only 0.5%) • Harder for MPC to react to/influence supply-side factors such as falling oil prices Diagrammatic analysis may also be used as part of an answer. NB Candidates may, alternatively, argue that the MPC should not be concerned about deflation for KAA and that they should for evaluation	(9)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1–3	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no chains of reasoning or links between causes and consequences.
Level 2	4–6	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response; chains of reasoning are developed but the answer may lack balance.
Level 3	7–9	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using relevant and focused examples which are fully integrated. Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems. The answer demonstrates logical and coherent chains of reasoning.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
continued	Evaluation 6 MPC should not be concerned because: • Likely to be only short-term/caused by one-off factors that will disappear from the statistics after one year • Caused by supply-side factors rather than demand-side, so less of a problem for the UK economy • Caused by falls in just a few significant items (e.g. oil), fall in prices not widespread across the whole economy • Economic growth and incomes are still rising in the UK economy, suggesting inflation is not affecting consumer demand • May benefit economy as it leads to increasing AS due to falling commodity prices, rather than being caused by falling AD NB Candidates may, alternatively, argue that the MPC should not be concerned about deflation for KAA and that they should for evaluation	(6)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1–2	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/ reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	3–4	Evidence of evaluation of alternative approaches which is unbalanced. Evaluative comments with supporting evidence/reference to context and a partially-developed chain of reasoning.
Level 3	5–6	Evaluative comments supported by relevant chain of reasoning and appropriate reference to context. Evaluation recognises different viewpoints and/or is critical of the evidence.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
	Knowledge 4, Application 4, Analysis 6, • Changing interest rates and quantitative easing/asset purchases to influence money supply as monetary policies • AD/AS diagram could be used to aid effective analysis MPC has been successful at controlling inflation: • According to Figure 2 highest inflation rate was only just over 5%, good by historical standards • Independent of government • Regular monthly MPC meetings implies flexibility • Willingness to use other unorthodox tools such as QE and forward guidance to additionally control inflation • QE helped to avoid risk of deflation during global economic crisis • Powerful transmission mechanisms • Contrast with Japan. MPC has not been successful at controlling inflation: • Figure 1 shows inflation has often been outside of range of +/- 1% from the 2% target • MPC struggles to respond to supply-side factors, e.g. rising food/commodity prices in 2008/9 and now falling prices in 2014 • Interest rates have stayed at 0.5% for a long time so there is limited scope to reduce further in response to falling inflation/risk of deflation • Banking failure • In the period shown, interest rates have been 0.5% but inflation has continued to fall NB Candidates may, alternatively, argue that the MPC has not been successful for KAA and that they have been successful for evaluation	(14)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1–3	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no chains of reasoning or links between causes and consequences.
Level 2	4–6	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response or superficial, two-stage chains of reasoning only.
Level 3	7–10	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to apply economic concepts and relate them directly to the broad elements of the question with evidence integrated into the answer. Analysis is clear and coherent, although it may lack balance. Chains of reasoning are developed but the answer may lack balance.
Level 4	11–14	Demonstrates precise knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using appropriate examples. Analysis is relevant and focused with evidence fully and reliably integrated. Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems. The answer demonstrates logical and coherent chains of reasoning.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
continued	Evaluation 6 MPC has not been successful at controlling inflation: • Figure 1 shows inflation has often been outside of range of +/- 1% from the 2% target • MPC struggles to respond to supply-side factors, e.g. falling food and commodity prices in 2014 • Interest rates have stayed at 0.5% for a long time so there is limited scope to reduce further in response to falling inflation/risk of deflation • Banking failure • In the period shown, interest rates have been 0.5% but inflation has continued to fall	(6)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1–2	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	3–4	Evidence of evaluation of alternative approaches which is unbalanced leading to unsubstantiated judgements. Evaluative comments with supporting evidence/reference to context and a partially developed chain of reasoning.
Level 3	5–6	Evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning and appropriate reference to context. Evaluation is balanced and considers the broad elements of the question, leading to a substantiated judgement.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
	Knowledge 4, Application 4, Analysis 6, • Understanding of demand-side policies to include monetary and/or fiscal policy • Understanding of Global Financial Crisis of 2008 in UK and/or other countries • AD/AS diagram may be used to aid effective analysis Examples of demand-side policies that students may discuss. These need to be clearly linked to responding to a financial crisis: • Reduction of interest rates to boost aggregate demand in a recession. • Quantitative easing to increase liquidity • Bailout of banks • Increase in government spending e.g. infrastructure • Cut in taxation	(14)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1–3	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no chains of reasoning or links between causes and consequences.
Level 2	4–6	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response or superficial, two-stage chains of reasoning only.
Level 3	7–10	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to apply economic concepts and relate them directly to the broad elements of the question with evidence integrated into the answer. Analysis is clear and coherent, although it may lack balance. Chains of reasoning are developed but the answer may lack balance.
Level 4	11–14	Demonstrates precise knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using appropriate examples. Analysis is relevant and focused with evidence fully and reliably integrated. Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems. The answer demonstrates logical and coherent chains of reasoning.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
continued	Evaluation 6 • Short run/long run issues, e.g. interest rates take at least a year to have a full effect • Interest rates cannot be cut much further; relative interest rates are important • The uncertainty of the impact of QE, e.g. do banks pass on the credit, is it inflationary? • Size of the multiplier • Problems in financing government deficit/fiscal austerity issues • Impact of different tax cuts, e.g. income tax vs. VAT • Relative success of US or other country's policies in response to the crisis compared to the UK	(6)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1–2	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	3–4	Evidence of evaluation of alternative approaches which is unbalanced leading to unsubstantiated judgements. Evaluative comments with supporting evidence/reference to context and a partially developed chain of reasoning.
Level 3	5–6	Evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning and appropriate reference to context. Evaluation is balanced and considers the broad elements of the question, leading to a substantiated judgement.

Q2.

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(a)	Knowledge 2, Application 2 Knowledge/understanding 2 marks for, e.g. • Investment is an increase in the capital stock (1). • Real means adjusted for inflation (1). Application 2 marks for, e.g. • Investment has fallen (1). • Data reference from Figure 1, e.g. by approximately £20bn in 2011 and 2012 (1).	(4)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(b)	Knowledge 1, Application 2, Analysis 2 Knowledge 1 mark for, e.g. - An appreciation means that one pound becomes worth more US dollars (1). Application 2 marks for two data references from Figure 2, e.g. - the pound has appreciated from £1 = \$1.52 on 1 July 2013 (1), to £1 = \$1.65 on 1 January 2014 (1). Analysis 2 marks for, e.g. - The British pound price of goods and services imported from the US will be lower (1). - The US dollar price of goods and services exported from the UK will be higher (1).	(5)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(c)	Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 2 Knowledge/understanding - Identification of two reasons (1+1). Application - Reference to Extract 1 (1+1). Analysis Linked development of why each factor led to increased consumption (1+1), e.g. - delayed impact of the cut in the bank rate (1) to 0.5% (1), making borrowing cheaper and providing less incentive to save (1) - the Funding for Lending Scheme (1) meant that high street banks became more willing to lend to consumers/to lend at lower rates of interest (1), reducing the cost of borrowing (1) - rising consumer confidence (1): the FLS led to rising house prices (1), which created a positive wealth effect/raising consumers' confidence and making them more likely to spend (1).	(6)

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(d)	Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 2 Interest rates: • current rates will influence the cost of servicing loans (interest payments) • expectations of future interest rates may influence cost projections and project viability Other factors to consider might include: • willingness of banks to lend to firms (FLS) • company profitability • level of cash held by firms • business confidence • sustained consumer spending (may be linked to rising real incomes) • rate of depreciation of capital • inflation rate (current and future expectations) • cost of raw materials / wage rates.	(6)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1–2	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no links between causes and consequences.
Level 2	3–4	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response or the answer may lack balance.
Level 3	5–6	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using relevant and focused examples which are fully integrated. Economic ideas are applied appropriately to the broad elements of the question.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(d) continued	Evaluation 4 • Expectations of future values as important as current values (e.g. interest rates). • Weighing up of different factors – extract implies confidence is the most important. • Different factors may be more important for different firms/different regions of the UK.	(4)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1–2	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/ reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	3–4	Evaluative comments supported by chains of reasoning and appropriate reference to context Evaluation is balanced and considers the broad elements of the question.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(e)	Knowledge 3, Application 3, Analysis 3 - Understanding of inflationary pressures/inflation. The effect of a lack of investment: - a lack of new investment may mean that depreciation occurs at a quicker rate than investment, reducing the productive potential of the economy - this could lead to a decrease in the economy's level of aggregate supply/an inward shift of its PPF - candidates may draw an AS/AD diagram to show the effects of a reduction in (LR)AS - cost-push inflationary pressures would build in the economy. The effect of a stronger pound: - a stronger pound makes imports cheaper. The price of imported goods in the CPI basket should fall, reducing inflationary pressures - cheaper imports and more expensive exports may lead to a worsening of the trade balance, reducing UK aggregate demand (this may be illustrated on an AS/AD diagram). This would lead to a fall in demand-pull inflationary pressures - imported raw materials become cheaper (particularly oil, which is priced in US dollars). This could reduce firms' production costs, leading to an increase in (SR)AS, and a reduction in cost-push inflationary pressures. NB Level 3 responses must consider the effect of both the lack of investment and the appreciation of the currency.	(9)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1–3	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no chains of reasoning or links between causes and consequences.
Level 2	4–6	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response; chains of reasoning are developed but the answer may lack balance.
Level 3	7–9	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using relevant and focused examples which are fully integrated. Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems. The answer demonstrates logical and coherent chains of reasoning.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(e) continued	Evaluation 6 • It depends on the rate of depreciation of capital. • It depends on the level of spare capacity in the economy. • It depends on the elasticity of the AD curve, and/or whether a lack of investment reduces AD too. • Comment on the significance of the appreciation. • The effect on the trade balance and hence AD of the appreciation depends on the PED for imports and exports.	(6)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1–2	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/ reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	3–4	Evidence of evaluation of alternative approaches which is unbalanced. Evaluative comments with supporting evidence/reference to context and a partially developed chain of reasoning.
Level 3	5–6	Evaluative comments supported by relevant chain of reasoning and appropriate reference to context. Evaluation is balanced and considers the broad elements of the question.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(f)	Knowledge 4, Application 4, Analysis 6, Evaluation 6 • Understanding of economic growth. • Understanding of macroeconomics objectives. Candidates may address this question in different ways. • Explanation of the likely benefits of economic growth to: ◦ consumers ◦ firms ◦ the government ◦ income distribution ◦ the environment ◦ current and future living standards • Explanation of the likely costs of economic growth to: ◦ consumers ◦ firms ◦ the government ◦ income distribution ◦ the environment ◦ current and future living standards • Explanation that economic growth may support the achievement of some of the government's other macroeconomic objectives: ◦ low unemployment ◦ balanced government budget ◦ achieving environmental goals	
	• Explanation that economic growth may conflict with the achievement of some of the government's other macroeconomic objectives: ◦ balance of payments equilibrium ◦ low, stable inflation ◦ reduced income inequality ◦ achieving environmental goals	(20)

Knowledge, application and analysis		
Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1–3	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no chains of reasoning or links between causes and consequences.
Level 2	4–6	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response or superficial, two-stage chain of reasoning only.
Level 3	7–10	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to apply economic concepts and relate them directly to the broad elements of the question with evidence integrated into the answer. Analysis is clear and coherent, although it may lack balance. Chains of reasoning are developed but the answer may lack balance.
Level 4	11–14	Demonstrates precise knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using appropriate examples. Analysis is relevant and focused with evidence fully and reliably integrated. Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems. The answer demonstrates logical and coherent chains of reasoning.

Evaluation		
Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1–2	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	3–4	Evidence of evaluation of alternative approaches which is unbalanced leading to unsubstantiated judgements. Evaluative comments with supporting evidence/reference to context and a partially developed chain of reasoning.
Level 3	5–6	Evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning and appropriate reference to context. Evaluation is balanced and considers the broad elements of the question, leading to a substantiated judgement.

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(g)	Knowledge 4, Application 4, Analysis 6, Evaluation 6 • Understanding of monetary policies. • Understanding of macroeconomic objectives. Candidates may consider either the impact of two monetary policies on one or more macroeconomic objectives each, or the impact of one monetary policy on all of the macroeconomic objectives. In evaluation, candidates may consider either the macroeconomic objectives that wouldn't be met through the use of monetary policies, or may consider the significance of their arguments more generally. Monetary policies which may be considered: • change in the interest rate • change in the value of asset purchases to affect the money supply. The effect of loose monetary policy on the macroeconomic objectives: • an increase in AD should lead to an increase in real GDP, creating economic growth for the economy but this depends on the level of spare capacity in the economy, as well as consumer and business confidence levels	


	• demand-pull inflationary pressures would be increased, affecting the low, stable inflation objective but inflation rates also depend on the level of cost-push inflationary pressures in the economy • unemployment should fall in the economy • time lag – unemployment tends to be a lagging indicator, particularly if firms already have spare capacity • government budget balance would improve in the long run if the policy was successful in generating economic growth but contractionary fiscal policy might be more effective at achieving this objective, certainly in the short run • the environment might be harmed by the increased levels of economic activity generated • in developed economies such as UK, economic growth tends to reduce the level of environmental degradation • income inequality might worsen as economic growth tends to widen the gap between high and low income earners but lower interest rates tend to redistribute income from savers to borrowers, which would tend to improved income distribution	(20)
--	---	------

Knowledge, application and analysis		
Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1–3	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no chains of reasoning or links between causes and consequences.
Level 2	4–6	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response or superficial, two-stage chain of reasoning only.
Level 3	7–10	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to apply economic concepts and relate them directly to the broad elements of the question with evidence integrated into the answer. Analysis is clear and coherent, although it may lack balance. Chains of reasoning are developed but the answer may lack balance.
Level 4	11–14	Demonstrates precise knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using appropriate examples. Analysis is relevant and focused with evidence fully and reliably integrated. Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems. The answer demonstrates logical and coherent chains of reasoning.

Evaluation		
Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1–2	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	3–4	Evidence of evaluation of alternative approaches which is unbalanced leading to unsubstantiated judgements. Evaluative comments with supporting evidence/reference to context and a partially developed chain of reasoning.
Level 3	5–6	Evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning and appropriate reference to context. Evaluation is balanced and considers the broad elements of the question, leading to a substantiated judgement.

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(a)	Knowledge 1 Knowledge/understanding: 1 mark for definition, e.g. - The value/price of one currency in terms of another (1) - The rate at which the money of one country can be changed for the money of another country (1)	(1)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(b)	The only correct answer is B A is not correct because this is the difference between \$1.53 and \$1.22 C is not correct because this uses the present year to calculate the percentage change D is not correct because this is the calculation of an index number taking 2015 as the base year	(1)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(c)	Application 2 Application: 2 marks for, - Correct rightward shift of AD (1) - Correct new equilibrium point showing higher price level and higher real output (1) 	(2)

Q4.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
	Knowledge 4, Application 4, Analysis 8, Evaluation 9 Understanding that oil has a macroeconomic consequence through the effect on the net trade component of AD and/or shifting the costs of production for all firms as SRAS or LRAS shift. Possible macroeconomic consequences may include: • An increase in overall economic activity (real GDP) as the cost of production decreases for businesses, especially for those that are heavily dependent on oil inputs. Application, e.g. a 10% fall in oil prices boosts growth by 0.1 to 0.5 percentage points. • Some sectors such as agriculture, air transport, services involving other travel and oil-intensive manufacturing sectors will benefit as the price of their key input falls. • Benefits for consumers, e.g. real household incomes after essential costs also rise as oil prices fall, which may increase consumer spending, but this is not automatically awarded as a factor increasing AD. The connection involves two possible mechanisms: overall consumer prices fall as cost savings are passed on to households which spend more in domestic markets, and real wages increase as demand for labour rises in fast-expanding sectors. • Oil importing countries e.g. S Korea can stop subsidising energy, enjoy reduced external debt • As a result of growing economic activity, government tax revenues may rise as the tax take from corporate and personal income taxes increase • The fall in the oil price should also have a small impact in narrowing the UK trade deficit. • Application, e.g. prices have fallen by over 75% in 18 months	

	Possible evaluation points include: • Problems for oil producers, with macro effects on employment, tax revenue etc. • The problems in the financial sector – stock markets respond by seeing most prices collapse, especially fuel producers, and stock markets might collapse • Increased external debt for oil exporting countries (and gas, as gas prices are also falling) e.g. Russia, Venezuela • Negative effect on consumer confidence, political instability with impact on markets • Reduced research into new exploration of oil • Reduced investment into new technologies to replace oil (\$380 billion) • Externalities might increase or decrease (depending on effect on carbon fuels, fracking firms etc) • Depends on how long the oil prices stays low, and who much further it went/will go • Risks from 'sectoral stagnation' in developed economies or continued problems in the Eurozone e.g. the oil and gas extraction sector is negatively affected by the reduction in the oil price • Government experiences declining tax revenues from the oil and gas sector. NB For a Level 4 response there must be a logical connection for a change in aggregate demand – do not automatically award an increase/decrease in AD.	(25)
--	--	------

Knowledge, application and analysis		
Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1–4	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no chains of reasoning or links between causes and consequences.
Level 2	5–8	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response or superficial, two stage chains of reasoning only.
Level 3	9–12	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to apply economic concepts and relate them directly to the broad elements of the question with evidence integrated into the answer. Analysis is clear and coherent, although it may lack balance. Chains of reasoning are developed but the answer may lack balance.
Level 4	13–16	Demonstrates precise knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using appropriate examples. Analysis is relevant and focused with evidence fully and reliably integrated. Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems. The answer demonstrates logical and coherent chains of reasoning.

Evaluation		
Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1–3	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	4–6	Evidence of evaluation of alternative approaches which is unbalanced leading to unsubstantiated judgements. Evaluative comments with supporting evidence/reference to context and a partially developed chain of reasoning.
Level 3	7–9	Evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning and appropriate reference to context. Evaluation recognises different viewpoints and is critical of the evidence provided and/or the assumptions underlying the analysis enabling informed judgements to be made.

Q5.

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(a)	Knowledge 2, Application 2 Knowledge/understanding 1 mark for e.g. - Exports – imports / $X - M$ (1) 2 marks for e.g. - Difference between total value of a country's exports and imports (2) Application 2 marks for e.g. - The UK net trade was -2% (1) - The UK has a trade deficit (1) - China has a trade surplus (1) - China's net trade was 3% (1) e.g. Germany had a trade surplus of 6% = 2 marks	(4)

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(b)	Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 2 - Data reference to figure 1 of -2% for net trade - Strong value of the pound - Rising real income - Slow growth in the world economy - Low productivity in the UK e.g. around 20 percentage points below the G7 average	(6)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1–2	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no link between causes and consequences.
Level 2	3–4	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response or the answer may lack balance.
Level 3	5–6	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using relevant and focused examples which are fully integrated. Economic ideas are applied appropriately to the broad elements of the question.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(b) continued	Evaluation 4 • Export of services has grown • Productivity difficult to measure e.g. especially large service sector • Investment is increasing so productivity may rise in the future • Time period under consideration e.g. the pound is weakening against various currencies	(4)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1–2	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/ reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	3–4	Evaluative comments supported by chains of reasoning and appropriate reference to context. Evaluation is balanced and considers the broad elements of the question.

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(c)	Knowledge 1, Application 2, Analysis 2 Knowledge 1 mark for, e.g. • Business confidence (1) • Interest rates (1) • Access to credit (1) • Rise in demand for UK exports (1) Application 2 marks for relevant references to context or one reference to context plus development, e.g. • 5% growth in investment (1) • Output rising at the fastest rate in the G7 (1) • GDP growth has remained strong (1) • Unemployment continued to drop (1) Analysis Linked development (1+1), e.g. • Lower interest rates reduces cost of borrowing incentivising firms to invest	(5)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(d)	Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 2 Knowledge/understanding Identification of two reasons (1+1) • Rising UK population relative to Germany's • Falling productivity in UK • Lack of exports in UK • Increased imports in UK (largely due to increased domestic consumption) • Change in relative cost of living • Different inflation rates in UK and Germany • Changes to PPP method of calculation, e.g. items in basket of goods • Change in value of US Dollar used for PPP calculations Application 2 marks for two data references from Figure 2 and/or extract A, or application of a diagram (1+1), e.g. • UK current account deficit 6% of GDP in third quarter 2014 (1) • Identify difference in growth of GDP per capita in Figure 2 e.g. 7 percentage points between 2010 and 2013 for the UK (1) Analysis Linked development of reasons (1+1). e.g. • falling UK productivity increases average cost of UK exports, making them more expensive relative to Germany's	(6)

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(e)	Knowledge 3, Application 3, Analysis 3 Policies may include: • Improved education/training to increase skill level of workforce • Increase in provision and quality of apprenticeships • Improved healthcare to ensure workers take less time off/are healthier at work • Improved infrastructure, e.g. roads, railways, ports, airports, to reduce delays in transporting goods around the country/abroad • Improved telecommunications infrastructure (e.g. faster broadband internet) so businesses can work quicker, communicate with foreign firms better, etc. • Relaxing the planning system to improve house-building and efficiency in construction industry; improve labour mobility NB For a Level 3 response, answers must make clear links to increases in productivity rather than production or output	(9)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1–3	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no chains of reasoning or links between causes and consequences.
Level 2	4–6	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response; chains of reasoning are developed but the answer may lack balance.
Level 3	7–9	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using relevant and focused examples which are fully integrated. Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems. The answer demonstrates logical and coherent chains of reasoning.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(e) continued	Evaluation 6 Points may include: • Many of these policies will have quite a long time lag e.g. nursery school vouchers will have short term and long term impacts on different stakeholders • Magnitude of the impact of the policies e.g. Crossrail just affects London • Opportunity cost of the policies • Conflicts between objectives e.g. impact on the environment • Conflicts between policies e.g. increased spending on apprenticeships may have fiscal implications	(6)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1–2	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	3–4	Evidence of evaluation of alternative approaches which is unbalanced. Evaluative comments with supporting evidence/reference to context and a partially developed chain of reasoning.
Level 3	5–6	Evaluative comments supported by relevant chain of reasoning and appropriate reference to context. Evaluation is balanced and considers the broad elements of the question.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(f)	Knowledge 4, Application 4, Analysis 6 • Explicit or implicit understanding of economic growth – a measure of the increase in either real GDP or real GDP per head or potential GDP • Relevant diagram e.g. AD/AS diagram showing rightward shift in AD and/or AS curve • Increased tax revenues for the government which may be used to improve public services or redistribute incomes • Higher profits for companies which may be used to improve quality products or to produce new products • Consumers' living standards improve e.g. can afford to buy more goods and services and/or have more leisure time • More employment opportunities/lower unemployment • If there is export-led growth, then the current account of the Balance of Payments would improve • Real economic growth increases LRAS and could lower inflation • An increase in business confidence which may lead to increase in investment • Investment in sustainable technology can have environmental benefits NB the benefits of growth can be seen as KAA or evaluation and the costs of growth vice versa. NB For a level 4 response there must be explicit reference to "a number of concerns" which include: • current account deficit • decline in rate of return on UK investments • low export growth • growth lower than target • struggling goods industries • output falling in construction/manufacturing • growing household debt • imbalance of consumer driven growth • low productivity • skills shortage • house shortages • burdens on UK manufacturers	(14)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1–3	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no chains of reasoning or links between causes and consequences.
Level 2	4–6	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response or superficial, two-stage chain of reasoning only.
Level 3	7–10	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to apply economic concepts and relate them directly to the broad elements of the question with evidence integrated into the answer. Analysis is clear and coherent, although it may lack balance. Chains of reasoning are developed but the answer may lack balance.
Level 4	11–14	Demonstrates precise knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using appropriate examples. Analysis is relevant and focused with evidence fully and reliably integrated. Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems. The answer demonstrates logical and coherent chains of reasoning.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(f) continued	Evaluation 6 • Demand pull inflation – may be shown by a rightward/upward shift in AD curve • Greater inequality e.g. companies may not invest but distribute profits to shareholders • Growth might involve depletion of natural resources • External costs of growth • Lack of sustainability • Short run/long run effects e.g. technological unemployment • Growth could cause a deterioration in the Balance of payments since Britain has a high marginal propensity to import • Growth from supply side improvements may reduce inflationary pressure • Social impact of increased economic activity e.g. work-life balance	(6)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1–2	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	3–4	Evidence of evaluation of alternative approaches which is unbalanced leading to unsubstantiated judgements. Evaluative comments with supporting evidence/reference to context and a partially developed chain of reasoning.
Level 3	5–6	Evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning and appropriate reference to context. Evaluation is balanced and considers the broad elements of the question, leading to a substantiated judgement.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(g)	Knowledge 4, Application 4, Analysis 6 • High percentage of consumption in UK's aggregate demand in contrast to other countries in Figure 1 • Lack of export-led growth, resulting from the state of the global economy so the UK government's £1 trillion target is unrealistic • Falling rate of return on investments abroad • Rising consumer indebtedness • Dependence of consumer confidence on rising house prices which may not be sustainable • Size of UK government budget deficit/national debt may create problems as interest rates start to rise • Relevant diagram e.g. trade cycle • Fall in real incomes NB One side of this argument should count as KAA and the opposing argument as Evaluation	(14)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1–3	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no chains of reasoning or links between causes and consequences.
Level 2	4–6	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response or superficial, two stage chains of reasoning only.
Level 3	7–10	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to apply economic concepts and relate them directly to the broad elements of the question with evidence integrated into the answer. Analysis is clear and coherent, although it may lack balance. Chains of reasoning are developed but the answer may lack balance.
Level 4	11–14	Demonstrates precise knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using appropriate examples. Analysis is relevant and focused with evidence fully and reliably integrated. Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems. The answer demonstrates logical and coherent chains of reasoning.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(g) continued	Evaluation 6 • UK has generally been a very consumer-driven economy • UK has persistently had a current account deficit/trade deficit and the economy has continued to grow • Financial reforms and increased oversight by the Bank of England have made a financial crisis less likely in the future • Falling commodity prices/low inflation generally benefits the UK economy as it is a net importer • Government is committed to a plan to get government debt under control in the long-term. This also increases confidence of UK government's lenders. • Macro policies, well timed, could prevent recession • Investment increasing 5% could counteract any recessionary pressures • Impact of Brexit / fall in the pound since 2016 • Global growth would have positive impact on UK especially service sector exports	(6)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1–2	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	3–4	Evidence of evaluation of alternative approaches which is unbalanced leading to unsubstantiated judgements. Evaluative comments with supporting evidence/reference to context and a partially developed chain of reasoning.
Level 3	5–6	Evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning and appropriate reference to context. Evaluation is balanced and considers the broad elements of the question, leading to a substantiated judgement.

Q6.

Question Number		Mark
(a)	Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 1 Knowledge: • 'Good' deflation - Caused by an increase in aggregate supply (1) • 'Bad' deflation - caused by falling aggregate demand (1) Application: 2 data references from Extract 1: (2 marks) • For 'good' deflation - falling price of unit of computing power (1) • For 'bad' deflation - recession in eurozone (1) Analysis: 1 mark for explanation of the link between: Either • AS and 'good' deflation: because it is caused by new technology; falling raw material prices which result in higher real output (1) OR • AD and 'bad' deflation: because it is caused by falling real wages which result in lower real output. (1)	(5)

Question Number		Mark
(b)	Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 2, Evaluation 2 Knowledge and analysis: 1 mark for each reason, up to 2 marks (K). A further 2 marks for supply and demand diagram(s) or further development or explanation (A) • Fall in demand - recession in eurozone; slowdown in China and Asia • Increase in supply - shale oil Application (1+1 marks): Specific data reference from Figure 1, e.g. fall in oil price from around \$115 a barrel in mid 2014 (1) to just over \$60 a barrel by the end of 2014 (1) Specific data references from Extract A: e.g. 50% increase in oil supply in USA (1) Evaluation: (2 marks for any relevant point): • Prioritisation - discussion of which factor has had the greatest impact • Fall in demand might be more temporary than increase in supply.	(8)

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(c)	Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 4 • Meaning of a cartel: group producers acting together; restricting supply to force up price • The producers act as a monopolist when a cartel is formed • All major producers must be members of the cartel for it to be able to succeed • No new substitutes for the product must be available (in this case shale oil is an effective substitute for oil) • Supply must be controlled – without 'cheating' by individual producers.	(8)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1 - 2	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no chains of reasoning or links between causes and consequences.
Level 2	3 - 5	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response; chains of reasoning are developed but the answer may lack balance.
Level 3	6 - 8	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using relevant and focused examples which are fully integrated. Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems. The answer demonstrates logical and coherent chains of reasoning.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(c) continued	Evaluation 4 • Much will depend on relative price of oil in relation to sources of renewable energy • A cartel might be more successful in the short run than in the long run when alternatives may be developed and become more available • Less powerful members of the cartel are likely to cheat • Difficulty of controlling activities of major producers • Impact of increase in shale oil/gas production • Inability to prevent entry of new producers (discussion of the degree of contestability in the market.	(4)

Level	Marks	Descriptor
0	0	No evaluative comments.
1	1-2	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
2	3-4	Evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning and appropriate reference to context. Evaluation recognises different viewpoints and/or is critical of the evidence.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(d)	Knowledge 4, Application 4, Analysis 8, Evaluation 9 Microeconomic effects: • on consumers - increase in real value of savings; falling prices so increase in consumers' surplus • on producers - lower input prices leading to falling costs of production and possibly higher profits • on workers - could result in nominal wage cuts • on shareholders - falling profits might result in falling dividends. Macroeconomic effects: • impact on Investment - less incentive for firms to buy capital equipment • impact on Employment - could lead to a 1930s type depression with massive unemployment • impact on Economic growth - could result in prolonged depression • impact on Real value of a country's national debt increases. Possible evaluation points include: • impact depends on the causes of deflation – whether it is 'good' or 'bad' • consumers face increase in real value of mortgages and debts • producers face falling revenues and profits • impact on macroeconomic variables (growth, employment, balance of payments) dependent on type of deflation.	(25)

Knowledge, application and analysis		
Level	Marks	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1 - 4	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no chains of reasoning or links between causes and consequences.
Level 2	5 - 8	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response or superficial, two stage chains of reasoning only.
Level 3	9 - 12	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to apply economic concepts and relate them directly to the broad elements of the question with evidence integrated into the answer. Analysis is clear and coherent, although it may lack balance. Chains of reasoning are developed but the answer may lack balance.
Level 4	13 - 16	Demonstrates precise knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using appropriate examples. Analysis is relevant and focused with evidence fully and reliably integrated. Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems. The answer demonstrates logical and coherent chains of reasoning.

Evaluation		
Level	Marks	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1 - 3	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	4 - 6	Evidence of evaluation of alternative approaches which is unbalanced, leading to unsubstantiated judgements. Evaluative comments with supporting evidence/reference to context and a partially developed chain of reasoning.
Level 3	7 - 9	Evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning and appropriate reference to context. Evaluation recognises different viewpoints and is critical of the evidence provided and/or the assumptions underlying the analysis enabling informed judgements to be made.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(e)	Knowledge 4, Application 4, Analysis 8, Evaluation 9 Microeconomic effects: • impact on costs of production resulting in higher profits for businesses • impact on revenues and profits of oil companies (reference to price inelasticity of demand) • impact on investment decisions by firms in the oil market, especially with reference to shale oil • impact on wages of workers in oil industry • impact on consumer real incomes and on demand for other goods and services • impact on green energy industries (reference to cross elasticity of demand). Macroeconomic effects: • lower global rates of inflation (AD/AS analysis) • impact on global growth rates • impact on balance of payments on current accounts of oil importing countries and oil exporting countries • impact on CO₂ emissions: consideration of impact on climate change on different countries - reference to external costs.	

	Possible evaluation points include: • impact on different industries will depend on their oil costs as a proportion of total costs • impact on households will depend on cost of oil in household budgets • impact on demand for other goods and services will depend on other factors e.g. state of world economy, consumer confidence, household debt • impact on inflation rates and growth rates depends on elasticities of AD and AS curves • impact on balance of payments on current account of different countries depending on whether they are net importers or net exporters of oil • impact on external costs depends on how different price elasticity of demand for oil.	(25)
--	--	------

Knowledge, application and analysis		
Level	Marks	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1 - 4	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no chains of reasoning or links between causes and consequences.
Level 2	5 - 8	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response or superficial, two stage chains of reasoning only.
Level 3	9 - 12	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to apply economic concepts and relate them directly to the broad elements of the question with evidence integrated into the answer. Analysis is clear and coherent, although it may lack balance. Chains of reasoning are developed but the answer may lack balance.
Level 4	13 - 16	Demonstrates precise knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using appropriate examples. Analysis is relevant and focused with evidence fully and reliably integrated. Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems. The answer demonstrates logical and coherent chains of reasoning.

Evaluation		
Level	Marks	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1 - 3	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	4 - 6	Evidence of evaluation of alternative approaches which is unbalanced, leading to unsubstantiated judgements. Evaluative comments with supporting evidence/reference to context and a partially developed chain of reasoning.
Level 3	7 - 9	Evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning and appropriate reference to context. Evaluation recognises different viewpoints and is critical of the evidence provided and/or the assumptions underlying the analysis enabling informed judgements to be made.

Q7.

Question Number		Mark
(a)	Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 1 Knowledge and analysis: 1 mark for identification of price inelastic demand and 1 mark for definition of price inelastic demand (a change in price leads to a less than proportionate change in quantity demanded). A further mark for explanation of either of the following: • Price and total revenue moving in the same direction – indication that demand is price inelastic • Demand price inelastic because there are no good substitutes. Application: 2 references from Extract 3: (2 marks) • 'Some analysts say that trend (higher prices) should still help lift revenue, even if Brazil produces less' (1) • 'Last year, coffee export revenue fell 19% even though the volume sold rose 10.2% from a year earlier'. (1)	(5)

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(b)	Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 2, Evaluation 2 Knowledge and analysis: 1 mark for coffee beans as a cost of production; 1 for affect on supply curve. A further 2 marks for further development/explanation - Supply and demand diagram illustrating a leftward shift in the supply curve and rise in the price of a cup of coffee Or verbal explanation Application: up to 2 marks for 2 references to Figure 4: e.g. - Coffee represents 3.6% of the cost of a cup of coffee. (1) - Other costs e.g. rent, packaging, wages and profit represent £ 2.12 out of £2.20 of the price of a cup of coffee. (1) Evaluation: (2 marks for any valid point): - Coffee beans only a small proportion of price of a cup of coffee - Competition might mean that coffee shops/chains absorb increased costs by reducing profit margins - Consideration of interdependence under oligopoly.	(8)

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(c)	Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 4 - Understanding of contestability - low barriers to exit (sunk costs) and entry - Examples of exit barriers and entry barriers in the context of coffee bean farmers and coffee shops - Coffee beans - large number of coffee farmers suggesting low barriers to exit and entry. High threat of potential competition suggesting that the market is contestable - Coffee shop market - dominated by three large chains suggesting low contestability - Sunk costs for coffee shops include - heavy advertising; strong global brand names. These limit the threat of potential competition so making the market less contestable.	(8)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1 - 2	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no chains of reasoning or links between causes and consequences.
Level 2	3 - 5	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response; chains of reasoning are developed but the answer may lack balance.
Level 3	6 - 8	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using relevant and focused examples which are fully integrated. Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems. The answer demonstrates logical and coherent chains of reasoning.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(c) continued	Evaluation 4 - Coffee bean producers - contestability limited because coffee beans can only be grown in certain climates; long growing period - Coffee shops - fairly contestable because; many small independent coffee shops; low start-up costs; few sunk costs: shops and equipment can be leased or rented; skills required relatively low.	(4)

Level	Marks	Descriptor
0	0	No evaluative comments
1	1-2	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
2	3-4	Evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning and appropriate reference to context. Evaluation recognises different viewpoints and/or is critical of the evidence.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(d)	Knowledge 4, Application 4, Analysis 8, Evaluation 9 Microeconomic effects: • fluctuating revenues for farmers • uncertainty - difficult for producers to plan investment and output • implications for how the farmer uses his land. Macroeconomic effects: • fluctuating export revenues • fluctuations in the country's balance of payments on current account • could be reflected in fluctuations in the country's GDP • implications for the country's economic development • resources might not be allocated efficiently	
	Possible evaluation points include: • uncertainty can be reduced by forward purchases of coffee beans at set prices • much depends on whether there is a long run upward or downward trend in prices of coffee prices • impact on individual coffee producing countries will depend on the significance of coffee to the country's economy • degree of price fluctuation depends on price elasticities of demand and supply • price fluctuations might act as an incentive for countries to diversify and/or develop manufacturing and tertiary industries • possibility of government intervention to stabilise farmers' incomes e.g. through the introduction of a buffer stock scheme.	(25)

Knowledge, application and analysis		
Level	Marks	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1 - 4	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no chains of reasoning or links between causes and consequences.
Level 2	5 - 8	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response or superficial, two stage chains of reasoning only.
Level 3	9 - 12	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to apply economic concepts and relate them directly to the broad elements of the question with evidence integrated into the answer. Analysis is clear and coherent, although it may lack balance. Chains of reasoning are developed but the answer may lack balance.
Level 4	13 - 16	Demonstrates precise knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using appropriate examples. Analysis is relevant and focused with evidence fully and reliably integrated. Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems. The answer demonstrates logical and coherent chains of reasoning.

Evaluation		
Level	Marks	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1 - 3	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	4 - 6	Evidence of evaluation of alternative approaches which is unbalanced, leading to unsubstantiated judgements. Evaluative comments with supporting evidence/reference to context and a partially developed chain of reasoning.
Level 3	7 - 9	Evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning and appropriate reference to context. Evaluation recognises different viewpoints and is critical of the evidence provided and/or the assumptions underlying the analysis enabling informed judgements to be made.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(e)	Knowledge 4, Application 4, Analysis 8, Evaluation 9 Microeconomic effects: • understanding of the impact of depreciation of the <i>real</i>: • Brazilian exports will be cheaper abroad, leading to higher demand while imports into Brazil will be more expensive resulting in a decrease in demand • primary producers: export revenues may fall since demand for many primary products is price inelastic • increase in costs for firms reliant on imported raw materials • increase in competitiveness for Brazilian exporters of manufactured goods. Macroeconomic effects: Brazil's currency depreciated by 20% in 2013 • this should increase the competitiveness of its goods and services and lead to an improvement in the current account of the balance of payments • this could lead to an increase in employment • cost push inflation • there is an increase in the real burden of foreign debt.	



	Possible evaluation points include: • 20% depreciation is significant but much depends on whether this is sustained in the medium term • impact on individual Brazilian producers depends on their reliance on imports and/or exports • inflation is a problem so any competitive advantage may be lost quickly. (Depreciation raises the cost of imported raw materials and goods) • impact on current account will depend on whether the Marshall-Lerner condition is fulfilled • Also: short run and long run effect on the current account may be analysed using the J curve effect.	(25)
--	---	------

Knowledge, application and analysis		
Level	Marks	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1 - 4	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no chains of reasoning or links between causes and consequences.
Level 2	5 - 8	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response or superficial, two stage chains of reasoning only.
Level 3	9 - 12	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to apply economic concepts and relate them directly to the broad elements of the question with evidence integrated into the answer. Analysis is clear and coherent, although it may lack balance. Chains of reasoning are developed but the answer may lack balance.
Level 4	13 - 16	Demonstrates precise knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using appropriate examples. Analysis is relevant and focused with evidence fully and reliably integrated. Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems. The answer demonstrates logical and coherent chains of reasoning.

Evaluation		
Level	Marks	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1 - 3	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	4 - 6	Evidence of evaluation of alternative approaches which is unbalanced, leading to unsubstantiated judgements. Evaluative comments with supporting evidence/reference to context and a partially developed chain of reasoning.
Level 3	7 - 9	Evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning and appropriate reference to context. Evaluation recognises different viewpoints and is critical of the evidence provided and/or the assumptions underlying the analysis enabling informed judgements to be made.


Q8.

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(a)	Knowledge 1 Knowledge/understanding: 1 mark for definition, e.g. • Cost of borrowing (1) / • Reward for saving (1)	(1)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(b)	Application 2 Application: 2 marks for, e.g. • Correct leftward shift of AD (1) • Correct new equilibrium point (1) OR • Correct shift of SRAS (1) • Correct new equilibrium point (1)  	(2)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(c)	The only correct answer is B A is not correct because contractionary fiscal policy involves the government increasing tax rates and reducing government spending C is not correct because expansionary fiscal policy involves the government reducing tax rates and increasing government spending D is not correct because an expansionary monetary policy involves reducing interest rates and increasing asset purchases (quantitative easing)	(1)

Q9.

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(a)	Application 2 Application 2 marks for, e.g. • Correct rightward shift in AD: (1) • Correct new equilibrium point showing higher price level and higher real output (1)  NB Allow shift in AS curve to the right with lower price level and higher real output (1 + 1)	(2)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(b)	Knowledge 1 Knowledge/understanding 1 mark for, e.g. • Tax on income / earnings (1) • Tax on business profits (1)	(1)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(c)	C	(1)

Q10.

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(a)	The only correct answer is A <i>B is not correct because investment is by firms, and would not be related to credit cards</i> <i>C is not correct because this is about trade and wouldn't affect credit cards</i> <i>D is not correct because this would mean less consumption</i>	(1)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(b)	Application 2 Identify correct data (1): January 2016: 13 000 March 2017: 15 000 Correct manipulation of formula (1) e.g. $(\text{Final value} \div \text{Initial value}) \times 100$ $(15\,000 \div 13\,000) \times 100$ Correct answer: 115.4 (allow range 115 to 115.4) Also allow: Feb-March 113.6 (range 113 to 114) Mar-April 91.33 (range 91 to 92) NB Award full marks for correct answer	(2)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(c)	Knowledge 1, Application 1 Identify link e.g. rise in inflation (1): Linked development (1) e.g. rise in AD (1) causes an increase in inflation (1) Accept other possible approaches NB Allow answers explaining how inflation might lead to an increase in credit card lending	(2)


Questions

Q1.

Read Figures 1 and 2 below and Extract A before answering this question.


UK investment and productivity

Figure 1: UK investment, annual percentage change, 2014 to 2019



(Source adapted from: <https://www.ft.com/content/5e12351e-baaf-11e9-96bd-8e884d3ea203>)

Figure 2: UK labour productivity, annual percentage change, 2014 to 2019



(Source adapted from: <https://www.theguardian.com/business/2019/oct/08/uk-productivity-brexit-boris-johnson-labour>)

Extract A

Another recession?

For the first time in nearly seven years, the UK economy contracted by 0.2% in the second quarter of 2019. This was as a result of a significantly weaker than expected performance in almost every part of the UK economy.

Business investment has been weak across the world, but it is particularly low in the UK. Firms are reluctant to commit to capital spending while there is economic uncertainty. Growth in investment, in areas such as transport and machinery, has slowed. Global trade tensions are also affecting investment decisions.

5

Labour productivity decreased by 0.5% in the second quarter of 2019, the worst performance since mid-2014. Declining productivity is a concern especially as it comes at a time when the unemployment rate has been one of the lowest. The rate of unemployment decreased from 6% in July 2014 to 3.8% in July 2019.

10

The UK's economic growth has been held back by low investment and productivity since the Global Financial Crisis of 2008. Moreover, the uncertainty over the UK's future trade relationship with the EU has discouraged companies from investing in productivity-boosting technology. This has decreased the prospects for increases in workers' pay. Without business investment and improvements in productivity, short-term growth in real GDP is likely to slow further and reduce living standards.

15

However, the UK Government expects the UK economy to perform better than Germany and Italy. These export-focused countries are highly exposed to the slowdown in world trade and the fall in average global incomes.

20

The UK economy is seeing continued strength in consumer spending. Consumption will support growth, provided there is no sudden shock to the UK labour market. Household incomes are strong, primarily due to high employment. Government expenditure has also been rising since the end of 2018. The UK Government has promised more money for schools and infrastructure improvements.

25

Despite this, some economists believe that the UK economy is likely to experience another recession. They claim that low income households cannot cope with a new downturn. The overall picture for the UK economy does not look promising because most businesses are reluctant to invest, productivity is low and economic growth relies on continued consumption.

30

(Source adapted from: 'On the brink: Britain's economy braced for Brexit shock', FT, <https://www.ft.com/content/40c604d2-c01c-11e9-89e2-41e555e96722>; 'Recession threat hangs over UK economy ahead of Brexit', FT, <https://www.ft.com/content/5e12351e-baaf-11e9-96bd-8e884d3ea203>; 'UK productivity falls at fastest pace in five years as Brexit dents investment', The Guardian, <https://www.theguardian.com/business/2019/oct/08/uk-productivity-brexit-boris-johnson-labour>)

(a) With reference to Figure 1, explain the term 'investment'.

(4)

(b) With reference to Extract A, explain **two** influences on the level of UK investment.

(6)

(c) With reference to the fourth paragraph of Extract A, assess the limitations of using GDP to compare living standards over time.

(10)

(d) Explain **one** likely reason why 'the UK Government expects the UK economy to perform better than Germany and Italy' (Extract A, lines 19–20).

(5)

(e) With reference to the information provided, discuss the likely impact of a recession on the UK economy.

(15)

EITHER

(f) Evaluate the fiscal policies the UK Government could use if it believes that 'the UK economy is likely to experience another recession' (Extract A, lines 27–28).

(20)

OR

(g) Evaluate the supply-side policies the UK Government could use to increase the UK's productivity.

(20)

(Total for question = 60 marks)

Q2.

(a) Which **one** of the following would be most likely to cause aggregate demand to increase?

A fall in:

(1)

- ☒ **A** government spending
- ☒ **B** net trade (X-M)
- ☒ **C** the marginal propensity to consume
- ☒ **D** the marginal propensity to save


(b) Draw an aggregate demand and aggregate supply diagram illustrating the likely impact of a rise in interest rates on the price level and real output.

(4)

(Total for question = 5 marks)

Q3.

The diagram below shows the aggregate demand (AD) and short-run aggregate supply (SRAS) for the UK.



(a) Define the term 'aggregate demand'.

(1)

.....

.....

.....

(b) Annotate the diagram above to show the likely impact of a rise in the cost of raw materials on the UK's equilibrium level of real output and price level.

(2)

(c) Which **one** of the following factors is most likely to cause an increase in the long-run aggregate supply?

(1)

- ☒ A Decrease in investment
- ☒ B Increase in relative productivity
- ☒ C Increase in the level of unemployment benefits


- ☒ D Reduced access to credit for consumers and businesses

(Total for question = 4 marks)

Q4.

The UK economy since the financial crisis

Figure 1: Pound sterling to US\$ exchange rate, 2016-17



(Source: adapted from <https://www.ft.com/content/d50ce580-3968-11e7-ac89-b01cc67cfeec>)

Extract A

UK companies use forward currency market

The Norfolk-based picture frames maker Nielsen Bainbridge recently made forward contracts in the foreign exchange market to reduce the impact of currency fluctuations. The pound's post-Brexit referendum depreciation has been a test of nerve for Nielsen Bainbridge and many other importers. At present the company's suppliers are located in Europe or China. "Currency therefore has a big impact on our business and the margins we can obtain," says Ms Burdett, the Finance Director. Forward contracts enable institutions, businesses and individuals to lock in an exchange rate over a certain period of time regardless of how the rate moves during that time. Ms Burdett buys currency as soon as Nielsen Bainbridge confirms a large order as a way to fix costs. One third of UK business managers are considering shifting from EU to UK suppliers.

(Source: adapted from 'UK companies use pound strength to hedge forex risk' by Roger Blitz, Markets, © FT.com, 16 May 2017. <https://www.ft.com/content/d50ce580-3968-11e7-ac89-b01cc67cfeec>)

Extract B

Bank of England seeking to prevent future bank bailouts

The Bank of England has ordered big lenders in the UK to find £116 billion of funding to ensure that taxpayers will never again have to bail out the banking sector. The Bank intends to publish details of how each of the big lenders would cope in the event they find themselves in a situation similar to Royal Bank of Scotland and Lloyds Banking Group, which needed £65 billion of taxpayer bailouts during the 2008 Global Financial Crisis. This had a significant negative impact on the UK government's national debt and, many would argue, increased the need for contractionary fiscal policy. Having said that, the UK government sold all its shares in Lloyds Banking Group in 2017 and, according to the Chancellor of the Exchequer, "recovered every penny of its investment in Lloyds".

Sir Jon Cunliffe, the deputy governor at the Bank responsible for financial stability, said regulators needed to let banks fail in a similar way that traditional companies collapse. This has not been possible in the past because of the risk that savers lose their money and because a system did not exist to allow banks to be put into insolvency.

"Just like when other businesses fail, losses arising from bank failure would be imposed on shareholders and investors. This protects the public from loss and incentivises banks to operate more prudently," said Cunliffe.

(Source: adapted from 'Bank of England tells lenders to find £116bn to help prevent bailouts', by Jill Treanor, 02 October 2017, Copyright The Guardian News and Media Ltd <https://www.theguardian.com/business/2017/oct/02/bank-of-england-bailouts-rbs-lloyds> and 'Lloyds bank bailout repaid in full, Philip Hammond claims', by Jill Treanor, Larry Elliott, 21 April 2017, Copyright Guardian News & Media Ltd 2017 <https://www.theguardian.com/business/2017/apr/21/lloyds-bank-bailout-repaid-in-full-philip-hammond-claims>)

Extract C

Bank of England tells lenders to increase capital reserves

The Bank of England has told lenders they will need to build a special reserve worth £11.4 billion by the end of 2018 as it tries to make banks more resilient to the risk posed by mounting consumer debt. This reserve of assets that can be readily turned into cash is a way of forcing banks to set aside capital reserves in good times in order to keep lending to the wider economy at a steady level, even during an economic downturn. In 2017 the Bank of England told UK banks it would raise the reserve ratio, relative to all assets, from zero to 0.5% and also forecast a further increase to 1% by the end of 2017. 5

The move is not intended to directly reduce consumer demand for credit, which in 2017 grew by 10.3% on an annual basis, but it may well lead to banks becoming less willing to lend to consumers. Since the Bank of England has recently become increasingly concerned about consumer borrowing, including rising car loans and credit card debt, this may be no bad thing as far as the Bank of England is concerned, even if it does have a negative impact on the wider economy. 10

Analysts are concerned about the impact on consumer confidence of rising inflation, partly caused by a falling pound. With falling real incomes consumers could become more vulnerable to falling behind with their credit card and personal loan repayments. Despite these concerns the UK economy recently recorded the lowest rate of unemployment since 1975. 15

(Source: adapted from 'Bank of England tells lenders to increase capital buffers by £11.4bn' by Caroline Binham, Gemma Tetlow and Martin Arnold © Financial Times 2017 <https://www.ft.com/content/9bc99294-5b1b-11e7-9bc8-8055f264aa8b>)

(a) With reference to Extract A, explain the role of forward markets in currencies.

(5)

(b) With reference to Extract A and Figure 1, examine the likely impact of the change in the sterling exchange rate on the UK economy.

(8)

(c) With reference to the last paragraph in Extract C, assess the impact of a fall in real incomes on subjective happiness.

(10)

(d) With reference to Extract C, discuss the potential conflicts between macroeconomic objectives when the central bank attempts to control inflation.

(12)

(e) Discuss whether providing substantial government financial support to banks is the best policy response during a financial crisis.

(15)

(Total for question = 50 marks)


Q5.

(a) Define the term 'productivity'.

(1)

(b) Using a classic long-run AS curve, annotate the diagram below to show the effect of an increase in productivity on the equilibrium level of real national output and the average price level of the economy.

(2)



(c) Which **one** of the following statements is correct?

(1)

- A** The Keynesian long-run AS curve implies that an economy may have a negative output gap in the long run
- B** The Keynesian long-run AS curve is perfectly inelastic at all levels of real national output
- C** The classic long-run AS curve implies that an economy may have spare capacity in the long run
- D** The classical long-run AS curve is perfectly elastic at all levels of real national output

Answer

☐


(Total for question = 4 marks)

Q6.

Use the data to support your answers where relevant. You may annotate and include diagrams in your answers.


UK government budget and household consumption

Figure 1: UK government spending and tax revenue as % of GDP, 1980-2018



(Source: adapted from Office for Budget Responsibility (OBR), http://cdn.obr.uk/EFO-MaRch_2018.pdf © Crown copyright 2018)

Figure 2: UK real household consumption, quarterly, £ billions, 1997 to 2018



(Source: adapted from ONS, www.ons.gov.uk/economy/nationalaccounts/satelliteaccounts/bulletins/consumertrends/apriltojune2018)

Extract A

UK household consumption

Despite the slow growth in real household disposable incomes, consumer spending rose in 2017. Annual spending per person increased by £589, when compared with 2016. This may have reflected UK households' delay in adjusting to the increase in inflation that was associated with the fall in the exchange rate of the British pound. The increase in consumption has also been driven by low interest rates. In 2017 UK house prices increased by an average of 5.1%.

5

UK consumers have financed most of their spending by borrowing on credit cards in order to maintain their living standards. In 2017 borrowing on credit cards rose by 9.6%, the second-highest level since before the financial crisis. This has increased the Bank of England's concerns about the sustainability of borrowing, given the slow growth in real incomes. The Bank has also indicated that the base interest rate was likely to rise faster than previously expected. More expensive credit could therefore constrain the ability of households to spend.

10

(Source: adapted from 'Consumer Trends UK', ONS, <https://www.ons.gov.uk/economy/nationalaccounts/satelliteaccounts/bulletins/consumertrends/octobertodecember2017> and © Crown copyright 2018)

Extract B

Reduction of the UK's budget deficit

Since 2010 many economists have believed that the biggest problem facing the government was the rising budget deficit. In late 2017, the UK's Chancellor of the Exchequer announced that the government would continue with its plans to decrease its budget deficit. To address this issue, the government further reduced welfare payments and introduced extra spending cuts in the public sector. 5

The UK government had estimated that the fall in UK productivity since the financial crisis was only temporary. However, it has now accepted that this fall in the level of productivity is a long-term issue. Subsequently, the UK's forecast long-term trend rate of growth was reduced. Slower growth means lower tax receipts, higher spending and a bigger budget deficit. 10

Some economists have suggested that the government should not pursue additional reductions in the budget deficit. They have also claimed that the responsibility of the government is not to balance the budget but to balance the economy by moving it to full employment. 15

(Source: adapted from 'Philip Hammond must ditch deficit reduction and invest. But will he?', The Guardian, [https:// www.theguardian.com/politics/2017/nov/19/philip-hammond-must-ditch-deficit-reduction-and-invest-but-will-he](https://www.theguardian.com/politics/2017/nov/19/philip-hammond-must-ditch-deficit-reduction-and-invest-but-will-he))

(a) With reference to Figure 1, explain the term 'balanced government budget'.

(4)

(b) Explain the likely effect of a fall in the exchange rate of the British pound on aggregate demand.

Refer to Extract A in your answer.

(5)

(c) With reference to Figure 2 and Extract A, explain two likely influences on the level of UK real household consumption.

(6)

(d) Assess the likely impact on the UK economy of an 'increase in consumption' (Extract A, lines 5–6).

Use an aggregate demand and aggregate supply diagram in your answer.

(10)

(e) Discuss the factors that might explain why 'the UK's forecast long-term trend rate of growth was reduced'

(Extract B lines 9–10).

(15)

EITHER

(f) Evaluate supply-side policies that could be used to increase the UK's rate of economic growth.

(20)

OR

(g) Evaluate the likely macroeconomic effects of the UK government pursuing a policy of reducing its budget deficit.

(20)

(Total for question = 60 marks)

Q7.

The British pound fell by over 10% to a 30-year low against the US dollar after the UK voted to leave the European Union.


To what extent will this depreciation impact on future economic growth in the UK?

(Total for question = 25 marks)

Q8.


The UK economy

Figure 1: Unemployment - International Labour Organisation (ILO) and the claimant count, millions, 2010-2016



(Source: ONS Datasets, <https://www.ons.gov.uk/>)


Figure 2: Household savings*, 2010-2015



* Savings as a percentage of household disposable income

(Source: <http://www.tradingeconomics.com/united-kingdom/personal-savings>)

Figure 3: UK average house prices, 2005-2016



(Source: <http://www.telegraph.co.uk/property/house-prices/the-state-of-the-uk-housing-market-in-five-charts/>)

Extract A

Soaring house prices

House prices are still rising rapidly. The average UK house price was £214 000 in June 2016, according to the Office for National Statistics (ONS). This is £24 000 more than it was at its peak in September 2007, just before the economic downturn. First-time buyers have experienced the largest rise in house prices.

5

Even the loss of consumer confidence over Britain's vote to leave the EU did not stop house prices from rising – annual growth increased from 5.2% in July 2016 to 5.6% in August 2016. House price growth was the strongest in London, with annual average prices rising by 9.6%. However, in Wales average house prices actually fell by 0.5% during the same period. In its first post-Brexit referendum forecast, estate agency Countrywide said that house prices will fall by 1% across the UK in 2017, before rising by 2% in 2018.

10

Real household income in the UK fell by 10.4% in the years following the financial crisis. This increased the difference between real income and house prices. In 1997, a house cost little more than twice the average income. By early 2015, the average price of a house was five times the average annual income.

15

The rate of home ownership for 25 to 34-year-olds has fallen to 35.8% over the last twenty-five years as houses became less affordable. First-time buyers have to wait longer to save up for a house deposit. Conversely, home ownership has risen to 78.6% among those aged 65 to 74.

(Source: adapted from 'The state of the UK housing market in five charts', The Telegraph, <http://www.telegraph.co.uk/property/house-prices/the-state-of-the-uk-housing-market-in-five-charts/>; 'UK house price growth slows as demand cools after Brexit vote', The Guardian, <https://www.theguardian.com/business/2016/sep/30/uk-house-price-growth-slows-demand-cools-brexit-vote-nationwide>)

Extract B

UK migration trends in the year ending June 2016

Inward migration levels are among the highest ever recorded with an estimated 650 000 people moving to the UK. This is an increase of around 11 000 from the previous year.

Employment remains the most common reason for long-term migration (312 000) to the UK, with around 182 000 of these coming with a definite job and 130 000 looking for work. 5

Long-term migration to the UK for study was estimated to be 163 000. In addition, 80 000 long-term migrants arrived in the UK to accompany their families. There were 41 280 refugees applying for asylum, including dependants, of which 10 547 people were granted asylum. Asylum applicants cannot usually accept offers of employment while their case is being processed. 10

(Source: adapted from 'Migration Statistics Quarterly Report: December 2016', ONS, <https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/populationandmigration/internationalmigration/bulletins/migrationstatisticsquarterlyreport/dec2016>)

(a) With reference to Figure 1, explain one reason why the claimant count and the ILO measure of unemployment differ.

(4)

(b) With reference to Extract A, assess the likely macroeconomic effects of an increase in house prices. Use an aggregate demand and aggregate supply diagram in your answer.

(10)

(c) With reference to the information provided, explain **one** likely factor, other than changes in house prices, that could influence the level of savings of UK households.

(5)

(d) Explain **two** factors that might cause a rise in the value of the multiplier.

(6)

(e) With reference to Extract B and Figure 1, discuss the likely impact of migration on employment **and** unemployment in the UK.

(15)

EITHER

(f) Evaluate government policies, apart from migration policies, that could be used to increase the employment rate in the UK.

(20)

OR

(g) Evaluate the likely impact of high inflation on the UK government's macroeconomic objectives.

(20)

(Total for question = 60 marks)





Q9.

Use the data to support your answers where relevant. You may annotate and include diagrams in your answers.

Answer the question with a cross in the box you think is correct ☐. If you change your mind about an answer, put a line through the box ☒ and then mark your new answer with a cross ☐.

(a) Which **one** of the following diagrams illustrates the impact of an increase in net exports along a Keynesian long-run aggregate supply curve?

(1)



☒ **A**

☒ **B**

☒ **C**

☒ **D**

(b) Using the classical long-run aggregate supply curve, explain what will happen in the long run to real output if aggregate demand increases.

(2)

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

(c) Explain the likely impact on aggregate demand of a fall in average house prices.

(2)

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....


.....

.....

(Total for question = 5 marks)

Q10.

The chart below shows selected areas of forecast UK government spending for 2015/16 and 2016/17.



(Source: Budget 2015, https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/416330/47881_Budget_2015_Web_Accessible.pdf; Budget 2016, https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/508193/HMT_Budget_2016_Web_Accessible.pdf)

(a) Which **one** of the following can be inferred from the chart?

In 2016/17:

(1)

- ☒ **A** the change in forecast UK government spending is highest on education
- ☒ **B** the forecast UK government spending in all areas has fallen from 2015/16
- ☒ **C** the forecast UK government spending on defence is twice as much as the forecast spending on housing
- ☒ **D** the percentage change in forecast UK government spending on health, from 2015/16, is 2.84%

(b) In 2016/17 total forecast UK government spending amounted to £772 billion and total forecast tax receipts amounted to £716 billion.

Calculate forecast UK government spending on social protection as a percentage of total forecast UK government spending in 2016/17. You are advised to show your working.

(2)

(c) Define the term 'budget deficit'.

.....

.....

.....

(Total for question = 4 marks)

Mark Scheme

Q1.

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(a)	Knowledge 2, Application 2 Knowledge/understanding 2 marks for, e.g. • An increase in (1) capital stock of the economy (1) • Money spent on purchasing capital goods/improving factors of production (1) to raise productivity/LRAS/produce consumer goods (1) • An injection (1) into the circular flow of income (1) Application 2 marks for 2 data references from Figure 1 (1+1), e.g. • In Q1 2015, investment increased by 4% (1) and in Q1 2016, investment decreased by 2% (1) • Between Q3 2018 and Q2 2019, investment has been falling each quarter (1) • In Q2 2014, highest percentage change (1) was approximately 8.2% (1)	(4)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(b)	Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 2 Knowledge/understanding and analysis 1 mark for identification of each influence (1+1) and 1 mark each for linked development (1+1), e.g. • Business confidence/expectations/animal spirits (1K): firms will only invest if there is less uncertainty about future costs and revenues, and sales (1AN) • Demand for exports (1K): lower the demand in a country to which a firm is trying to export, the less the investment (1AN) • Rate of economic growth (1K): fall in GDP will mean firms do not invest in capital goods as there is lower demand (1AN) Application 1 mark for each data reference (1+1), e.g. • Firms are reluctant to commit to capital spending while there is economic uncertainty (1) • uncertainty over the UK's future trade relationship has discouraged companies from investing (1) • trade tensions are also affecting investment (1) • fall of 0.2% in Gross Domestic Product (GDP) (1) • another recession? (1) NB Award up to 4 marks for one influence well developed (1kn + 1ap + 2an)	(6)

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(c)	Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 2 Understanding of the term GDP / living standards Limitations may include: • Percentage changes are misleading without any reference to total GDP • Presence of an informal and unpaid economy will imply that some output is unrecorded as it is not bought or sold and there is no resulting income • Differences in distribution of income as growth varies across the country with jobs and wages distributed unevenly • Quality of life issues such as spending on health and education – impact is difficult to measure • Subsistence, barter, and the hidden economy will undervalue the real output and national income shall not reflect true living standards • Currency values do not effectively calculate an accurate purchasing power parity • Population growth in developing countries may account for most growth in GDP • Spending on investment goods may raise future living standards at the expense of the current • Methods of calculation and reliability of data • Size of the public sector spending which may or may not improve the standard of living Students may consider the limitations of real or nominal GDP	(6)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1–2	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no link between causes and consequences.
Level 2	3–4	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response or the answer may lack balance.
Level 3	5–6	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using relevant and focused examples which are fully integrated. Economic ideas are applied appropriately to the broad elements of the question.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(c) continued	Evaluation 4 Points may include: • Ease of using GDP data for comparison over time • Benefits of using GDP for comparison – standard measure and well understood • GDP is internationally recognised and still possibly the best measure available for comparison • Other measures might be better, e.g. GDP per capita gives an indication of average incomes, which is a key determinant of living standards • Standard of living is subjective, and it cannot be measured effectively and accurately	(4)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1–2	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	3–4	Evaluative comments supported by chains of reasoning and appropriate reference to context. Evaluation is balanced and considers the broad elements of the question.

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(d)	Knowledge 1, Application 2, Analysis 2 Knowledge/understanding and analysis 1 mark for identification of one reason and up to 2 marks for linked development (1+1), for e.g. • Export-led growth (1K): a decrease in net exports will decrease their AD (1AN), leading to lower real output/economic growth (this may be shown diagrammatically) (1AN) • Slowdown in economic growth of trading partners (1K): so when Germany and Italy encounter lack of demand for their exports (1AN) there is a fall in the net trade component of their AD (1AN) NB Answers can be analysed and explained in any combination of the above points Application 2 marks (1+1), for e.g. • Germany and Italy are export-focused (1) • The UK economy is consumption driven (1) • Highly exposed to the slowdown in world trade (1) • There has been a fall in average global incomes (1) • Contribution of exports to Germany's and Italy's GDP/economic growth is greater than that of UK (1) • Germany and Italy are more reliant/dependent on international trade than the UK (1)	(5)

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(e)	Knowledge 3, Application 3, Analysis 3 Impact (cost) may include: • Consumers will receive lower average incomes and they will not be able to afford more goods/services nor increase their standard of living; poverty rates could potentially rise; house prices depressed • With lower real GDP, firms employ less workers creating unemployment; wages fall and creates greater inequality .. "low income households cannot cope with a new downturn" • Firms are likely to make less profits as consumer spending falls; less production implies lower future investment into R&D/innovation • With lower GDP, fewer resources can be devoted to promoting the use of renewable resources • Reduction in tax revenues and higher government spending on unemployment and welfare benefits; greater borrowing and debt to GDP ratios • High social dislocation/crime/civil unrest/stress issues/high divorce rates; worsens health; lower life expectancy NB Responses can discuss costs as KAA and benefits as EV (and vice versa)	(9)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1-3	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no chains of reasoning or links between causes and consequences.
Level 2	4-6	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response; chains of reasoning are developed but the answer may lack balance.
Level 3	7-9	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using relevant and focused examples which are fully integrated. Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems. The answer demonstrates logical and coherent chains of reasoning.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(e) continued	Evaluation 6 Impact (benefit) may include: • Lower demand may reduce demand-pull and cost-push inflationary pressures; the central bank may decide to lower interest rates to control inflation • Lower external costs due to less output production by firms; less exploitation of finite resources and less depletion of non-renewable resources • Lower spending on imports as marginal propensity to import will fall, causing an improvement in the current account deficit of the balance of payments • Lower inequality: those with assets may witness a proportionally smaller rise in the market value of their income on their wealth • Depressed house prices make houses affordable to non-homeowners • Many problems of crime/excessive consumption of demerit goods are committed by people in work – this is likely to fall • <i>Other evaluative comments:</i> just a -0.2% contraction thus the impact will be relatively small/the size of the recession is important in terms of the impact • Recession did not occur in 2019 as there were no two quarters negative growth	(6)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1–2	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	3–4	Evidence of evaluation of alternative approaches which is unbalanced. Evaluative comments with supporting evidence/reference to context and a partially developed chain of reasoning.
Level 3	5–6	Evaluative comments supported by relevant chain of reasoning and appropriate reference to context. Evaluation is balanced and considers the broad elements of the question.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(f)	Knowledge 4, Application 4, Analysis 6 KAA: • Definition of expansionary fiscal policy • AD/AS diagram showing change in AD/AS consistent with analysis Possible policies include: • Increase government expenditure, e.g. on training and apprenticeship programmes • Education spending by offering more bursaries for university courses and subsidies to universities • Government spending on infrastructure and housing policies (mortgage relief, key worker subsidies) • Cut in the rate of income tax – increase purchasing power and disposable income; will lead to greater consumption and promote economic growth • Lower corporation tax will reduce the firms cost of production giving them an incentive to invest more – use of Figure 1 • Increased income tax threshold, decrease in national insurance contributions • Lower indirect taxes, e.g. VAT • Relaxation of austerity measures • Increase in unemployment benefits	

	Evaluation 6 • Significance of the fiscal policy - monetary policy may be seen as an ineffective tool (as interest rates have been historically low) in tackling future downturns • Conflict with other macroeconomic objectives such as reducing budget deficit and national debt (can award conflicts with different objectives) • Long run and short run impacts (18 to 24 months time lags)/implementation lags • Depends on the value of the multiplier in the UK • If consumer and business confidence is low, the effects may not be as large or significant • Depends on the level of spare capacity in the economy/elasticity of the LRAS • Relative importance and likely effectiveness of the policies discussed, e.g. raising benefits provides less incentive to find employment • Free market economists argue that higher spending will be wasted on inefficient spending projects • Can lead to higher bond yields, increasing the cost of debt repayments	(20)
--	--	------

Knowledge, application and analysis		
Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1-3	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no chains of reasoning or links between causes and consequences.
Level 2	4-6	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response or superficial, two-stage chain of reasoning only.
Level 3	7-10	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to apply economic concepts and relate them directly to the broad elements of the question with evidence integrated into the answer. Analysis is clear and coherent, although it may lack balance. Chains of reasoning are developed but the answer may lack balance.
Level 4	11-14	Demonstrates precise knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using appropriate examples. Analysis is relevant and focused with evidence fully and reliably integrated. Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems. The answer demonstrates logical and coherent chains of reasoning.

Evaluation		
Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1-2	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	3-4	Evidence of evaluation of alternative approaches which is unbalanced leading to unsubstantiated judgements. Evaluative comments with supporting evidence/reference to context and a partially developed chain of reasoning.
Level 3	5-6	Evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning and appropriate reference to context. Evaluation is balanced and considers the broad elements of the question, leading to a substantiated judgement.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(g)	Knowledge 4, Application 4, Analysis 6 KAA: • Understanding/definition of supply-side policies • Understanding/definition of productivity • AD/AS diagram showing change in AS consistent with analysis/use of PPF diagram • Increased government spending on education and/or training - this would increase skills and productivity • Increased government spending on healthcare – this should reduce the number of days absent from work • Reducing level of benefits and/or income tax – this would incentivise employment and will increase the output per worker of those in work and increase the number of workers in work • Cutting cost of bureaucracy and/or reduce regulation of firms – this would raise productivity • Improving regulation and/or competition of inefficient industries – this would increase the UK's productivity • Privatisation - this may lead to increased competition, innovation and efficiency in the UK • Increased government spending on infrastructure investment (e.g. broadband or roads) – this would reduce industry costs or improve access to market • Reducing corporation tax – therefore increasing the international competitiveness for investment • Government schemes to improve childcare provision • Increased flexibility and/or mobility of labour NB Award a maximum of Level 3 if there is no link to or discussion of productivity	


	Evaluation 6 • Significant time lag for supply-side policies • Difficult to accurately measure productivity • Consideration of effectiveness of supply-side policies such as infrastructure, education and healthcare at delivering sustainable growth • Privatisation may lead to private monopolies and less efficiency and lower economic growth • Cut in income tax designed as an incentive to work and investment may be ineffective if workers use it as an opportunity to work less for the same income • Cut in corporation tax ineffective if companies use the cut in corporation tax to boost their short-term profits rather investment on productivity-boosting technology • Increase in UK taxation elsewhere may have an effect on incentives to work	(20)
--	---	-------------

Knowledge, application and analysis		
Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1-3	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no chains of reasoning or links between causes and consequences.
Level 2	4-6	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response or superficial, two stage chains of reasoning only.
Level 3	7-10	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to apply economic concepts and relate them directly to the broad elements of the question with evidence integrated into the answer. Analysis is clear and coherent, although it may lack balance. Chains of reasoning are developed but the answer may lack balance.
Level 4	11-14	Demonstrates precise knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using appropriate examples. Analysis is relevant and focused with evidence fully and reliably integrated. Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems. The answer demonstrates logical and coherent chains of reasoning.

Evaluation		
Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1-2	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	3-4	Evidence of evaluation of alternative approaches which is unbalanced leading to unsubstantiated judgements. Evaluative comments with supporting evidence/reference to context and a partially developed chain of reasoning.
Level 3	5-6	Evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning and appropriate reference to context. Evaluation is balanced and considers the broad elements of the question, leading to a substantiated judgement.

Q2.

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(a)	The only correct answer is D <i>B is not correct because this would cause AD to decrease</i> <i>C is not correct because this would cause AD to decrease</i> <i>D is not correct because this would cause AD to decrease</i>	(1)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(b)	Knowledge 2, Application 2 Knowledge/understanding: Correct labels on axes (1) and lines (1) Application: Correct shift of line(s) (1) showing change in price level and/or real output (1) For example:  N.B. Other AS curves are acceptable	(4)

Q3.

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(a)	Knowledge 1 Knowledge/understanding 1 mark for definition, e.g. • $AD = C + I + G + (X - M)$ (1) • Total amount of planned spending on goods and services at any price level in an economy (1)	(1)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(b)	Application 2 Application 2 marks for, • Correct leftward shift of SRAS (1) • Correct new equilibrium point showing higher price level and lower real output (1) (2)	

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(c)	The only correct answer is B A is not correct because a decrease in investment will reduce capital stock of the economy, reducing LRAS B is not correct because increase in level of unemployment benefits will reduce the incentive to work, reducing LRAS D is not correct as reduced access to credit would decrease investment as banks would be unwilling to lend	(1)

Q4.

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(a)	Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 1 Knowledge/Understanding: (1+1 or 2) marks Explanation of forward markets in currencies, e.g. • Firms buy their currency in advance (1) • Firms agree a fixed price (1) for purchase of foreign currency in the future (1) Application: (1+1) marks Reference to Extract A, e.g. • Currency 'has a big impact' on their business • Buying currency as soon as a large order is confirmed • 'The pound's post-Brexit referendum depreciation' Other application e.g. use of Figure 1. NB at least one piece of data must come from Extract A for the full 2 Ap marks. Analysis: (1) mark Linked development, e.g. • Enables firms to reduce risk/uncertainty • Firms can be certain about the cost of their imports in pounds	(5)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(b)	Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 2, Evaluation 2 Knowledge/understanding: 1+1 marks for identification of likely impacts of a fall in the value of sterling, e.g. • Import inflation change in cost of production for firms • Export/demand pull inflation • Changes in FDI • A change in unemployment • Economic growth • There will be an impact in the current account Analysis: 2 marks for linked explanation of these impacts (1+1 or 2 marks for one well developed explanation), e.g. • Fall in the value of sterling makes UK goods appear cheaper to consumers in foreign countries when converted into their own currency (1) • Fall in the value of sterling makes UK imports more expensive to UK consumers when converted into pounds (1)	

	Application: (1+1) or 2 marks for reference to figure 1 and/or extract A, e.g. • Pound fell from around \$1.50 (1) rapidly to \$1.30 (1) after the Brexit vote • Pound fell further to a low over the period shown of just over \$1.20 (1) in October/November 2016 (1) • 'pound's post-Brexit referendum depreciation' (1) • Pound fell by approximately 12% after the vote to leave the EU (2) • Trend of depreciating pound (1) Evaluation: 2 marks for evaluation (1+1 or 2 marks for one well developed explanation) • Short-term impact - both figure 1 and extract A show how pound has risen towards mid/late 2017 • Price elasticity of demand for UK imports/exports • J-curve effect • Marshall-Lerner condition NB If the answer relates to a small rise in exchange rates e.g. since March 2017 then full marks can be awarded for a rise in exchange rate	(8)
--	--	-----

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(c)	Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 2, Likely impacts on subjective happiness: • Consumers have less real income to spend on goods/services that affect their happiness • Consumers may worry more about the state of their personal finances • Consumers may worry about ability to continue paying mortgages/other debts NB For a level 3 answer there must be context from last paragraph of Extract C e.g. reference to credit cards and personal loan repayments	(6)
Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1–2	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no link between causes and consequences.
Level 2	3–4	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response or the answer may lack balance.
Level 3	5–6	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using relevant and focused examples which are fully integrated. Economic ideas are applied appropriately to the broad elements of the question.

Question Number	Indicative content		Mark
(c) continued	Evaluation 4 • Other factors affect happiness beside real income, e.g. leisure time, environment, etc. • Record low unemployment likely to lead to rising real incomes in future • Rises in real income may have a different effect on happiness than falls in real income • May be increased borrowing to make up for falling real incomes		(4)
Level	Mark	Descriptor	
	0	No evaluative comments.	
Level 1	1–2	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/ reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.	
Level 2	3–4	Evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning and appropriate reference to context. Evaluation recognises different viewpoints and/or is critical of the evidence.	

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(d)	Knowledge 4, Application 2, Analysis 2, Central Bank would use monetary policy (interest rates and quantitative easing) to control inflation: • Tighter monetary policy in response to rising inflation may create a conflict with objective of low unemployment- Phillips curve • Higher interest rates in response to rising inflation may create rising inequality if savers benefit and borrowers suffer • Higher interest rates in response to rising inflation may cause the sterling exchange rate to rise which can worsen the balance of payments on current account • Higher interest rates likely to reduce consumption/investment and therefore AD, so controlling inflation but reducing economic growth NB Accept expansionary and contractionary monetary policy	(8)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1–2	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no chains of reasoning or links between causes and consequences.
Level 2	3–5	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response; chains of reasoning are developed but the answer may lack balance.
Level 3	6–8	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using relevant and focused examples which are fully integrated. Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems. The answer demonstrates logical and coherent chains of reasoning.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(d) continued	Evaluation 4 There may not be a conflict between some macroeconomic objectives: • UK economy has had both low inflation and low unemployment recently so is the Phillips curve really still valid? • If incomes keep pace with inflation then inequality won't be an issue • Cutting QE reduces asset prices thereby reducing inequality • Depends on significance of change in monetary policy	(4)
Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1–2	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	3–4	Evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning and appropriate reference to context. Evaluation recognises different viewpoints and/or is critical of the evidence.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(e)	Knowledge 3, Application 3, Analysis 3 Arguments that providing support to banks is the best policy: • Economy is dependent on financial system working smoothly • People could have lost their savings if banks had been allowed to fail, leading to massive drop in confidence • Potential for risk of depression had government not intervened • Government has recouped much of the money invested into bailing out the banks anyway- Government 'recovered every penny of its investment in Lloyds' NB Discussion of other policies, e.g. central bank regulation, can also be awarded as KAA NB Candidates may argue that providing support to banks is not the best policy as KAA and the opposite as evaluation	(9)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1-3	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no chains of reasoning or links between causes and consequences.
Level 2	4-6	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response; chains of reasoning are developed but the answer may lack balance.
Level 3	7-9	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using relevant and focused examples which are fully integrated. Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems. The answer demonstrates logical and coherent chains of reasoning.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(e) continued	Evaluation 6 Arguments that providing support to banks is not the best policy: • Huge expenditure for government that, for example in the case of the UK, already has a significant national debt- £65bn bailout of RBS and LBG. Will have to be repaid by future generations of taxpayers. • Moral hazard: will encourage reckless behaviour from the banks in the future, expecting a bailout again • Government looking unlikely to recoup losses from RBS for some time (in contrast to Lloyds) • Other policies, e.g. expansionary fiscal policy, may have been more effective NB Candidates may argue that providing support to banks is not the best policy as KAA and the opposite as evaluation	(6)
Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1–2	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	3–4	Evidence of evaluation of alternative approaches which is unbalanced. Evaluative comments with supporting evidence/reference to context and a partially-developed chain of reasoning.
Level 3	5–6	Evaluative comments supported by relevant chain of reasoning and appropriate reference to context. Evaluation recognises different viewpoints and/or is critical of the evidence.

Q5.

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(a)	Knowledge 1 Knowledge/understanding 1 mark for definition: • output per unit of input (1).	(1)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(b)	Application 2 Application : 2 marks for, e.g. • New LRAS curve drawn, showing an outwards/rightwards shift (1). • New equilibria labelled, showing a fall in average price level, and an increase in real GDP (1).	(2)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(c)	A	(1)

Q6.

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(a)	Knowledge 2, Application 2 Knowledge/understanding 2 marks for, e.g. • Government spending equals (1) tax revenue/ income (1) • Neither a budget deficit nor a budget surplus (1) Application 2 marks for 2 data references from Figure 1 (1+1), e.g. • UK experienced a balanced budget in 1990 (1) at nearly 35% of GDP (1) • Overall trend/data point shows there is a budget deficit (1)	(4)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(b)	Knowledge 1, Application 2, Analysis 2 Knowledge/understanding 1 mark, for e.g. • Explanation/formula of aggregate demand (1) • Understanding of a depreciation of the exchange rate (1) • Simple explanation of what a weaker currency means (1) Application 2 marks (1+1), for e.g. • Aggregate demand will increase (1) shown on a diagram (1) • Weaker pound due to a lack of confidence as a result of the UK's decision to leave the EU (1) • Low interest rate and less hot money flows (1) Analysis 2 marks for linked development (1+1), e.g. • Imports become relatively more expensive, so aggregate demand rises (1) • Exports become relatively cheaper, so aggregate demand rises (1)	(5)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(c)	Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 2 Knowledge/understanding Identification of two influences (1+1) for, e.g. • Interest rates • Disposable incomes • Consumer confidence • Positive wealth effects Application 1 mark for data reference from Figure 2 and 1 mark from Extract A (1+1), e.g. • Figure 2 – real household consumption increased by approximately 1.7% between 2016 and 2017 • Extract A – Annual spending per person increased by £589 (1) • Increase in consumption has also been driven by low interest rates / average increase of 5.1% in house prices (1) Analysis Linked development (1+1), e.g. • Low interest rate implies low reward for saving / low cost of borrowing (1) • Higher incomes incentivise consumers to spend, but at a slower rate as people tend to save more as earnings rise (1) • High consumer confidence / wealth effects implies consumers are likely to make purchases that they know they can pay for in the future (1)	(6)

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(d)	Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 2 • Explanation of the term consumption, e.g. the expenditure on consumer goods and services • Consumption is a component of aggregate demand • Aggregate demand will increase from AD1 to AD2 • Inflationary pressures will increase from P1 to P2 • Real output will increase from Y1 to Y2 • Consequently, unemployment will decrease • Figure 1: household consumption has increased by nearly 117% between 1998 and 2018 • Extract A: spending per person increased by £589 Diagram, e.g.: NB Candidates must answer with a valid AD/AS diagram showing an increase in AD to access Level 3	(6)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1-2	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no link between causes and consequences.
Level 2	3-4	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response or the answer may lack balance.
Level 3	5-6	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using relevant and focused examples which are fully integrated. Economic ideas are applied appropriately to the broad elements of the question.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(d) continued	Evaluation 4 • Consumption is largest component of aggregate demand, therefore will have a significant impact • Significance of the elasticity of the AS curve and the state of the UK economy • UK consumers have financed their spending by borrowing – “borrowing on credit cards rose by 9.6%”: it creates an unstable and unbalanced economy • Consumer spending could be constrained in the long term if the central bank raises the base interest rate – “more expensive credit could constrain the ability of households to spend” • Depends on other factors in the economy, such as net trade and investment	(4)

	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1-2	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/ reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	3-4	Evaluative comments supported by chains of reasoning and appropriate reference to context. Evaluation is balanced and considers the broad elements of the question.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(e)	Knowledge 3, Application 3, Analysis 3 Understanding of long-term trend rate of growth Possible factors include: • Fall in relative productivity, “the fall in the level of productivity is a long-term issue” – costs per unit rise and export prices increase; exports fall • Lower technological advances will reduce efficiency and raise the cost of supplying goods and services; lack of innovation due to less R&D spending • Slow growth in the level of UK’s investment into capital goods since the “financial crisis” – due to lack of business confidence • Lack of government spending on education and training – has a negative impact on the skills of the workforce, thereby reducing human capital • Slower rate of immigration – fewer workers coming into the country as a result of the UK’s decision to leave the EU; this decreases growth of the active labour force and hence lower rate of output produced • Stricter government regulations – markets are less flexible and reduces competition in industries • External factors, e.g. slow global growth rates • Accept demand side factors if linked to growth	(9)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1–3	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no chains of reasoning or links between causes and consequences.
Level 2	4–6	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response; chains of reasoning are developed but the answer may lack balance.
Level 3	7–9	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using relevant and focused examples which are fully integrated. Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems. The answer demonstrates logical and coherent chains of reasoning.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(e) continued	Evaluation 6 Points may include: • Significance of the most important factor affecting growth; "productivity is a long-term issue" • Depends on the size of the fall in UK productivity and on the productivity of the UK's main trading partners, such as the US and EU • Uncertainty over the UK's decision to leave the EU is more important than the financial crisis as this was over 10 years ago; effects of the lower investment may not yet be seen • Inaccuracies/inadequacies of data may mean that the UK's long-term growth might not be low as it has been estimated • Uncertainty of world economy	(6)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1-2	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	3-4	Evidence of evaluation of alternative approaches which is unbalanced. Evaluative comments with supporting evidence/reference to context and a partially developed chain of reasoning.
Level 3	5-6	Evaluative comments supported by relevant chain of reasoning and appropriate reference to context. Evaluation is balanced and considers the broad elements of the question.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(f)	Knowledge 4, Application 4, Analysis 6 KAA: • Understanding/definition of supply-side policies • Understanding/definition of economic growth • AD/AS diagram showing change in AS consistent with analysis / use of PPF diagram • Increased government spending on education and/or training - this would increase skills and productivity • Increased government spending on healthcare - this should reduce the number of days absent from work • Reducing level of benefits and/or income tax - this would incentivise employment and will increase the output per worker of those in work and increase the number of workers in work • Cutting cost of bureaucracy and/or reduce regulation of firms - this would raise productivity • Financial support for investment in the economically deprived regions - this may help overcome structural unemployment and increase the UK's total output • Improving regulation and/or competition of inefficient industries - this would increase the UK's productivity • Privatisation - this may lead to increased competition, innovation and efficiency in the UK • Increased government spending on infrastructure investment (e.g. broadband or roads) - this would reduce industry costs or improve access to market • Reducing corporation tax - therefore increasing the international competitiveness for investment • Government schemes to improve childcare provision • Increased flexibility and/or mobility of labour	

	Evaluation 6 • Significant time lags for supply-side policies • Consideration of the effectiveness of supply-side policies such as infrastructure/ education/ healthcare at delivering sustainable growth • Privatisation may lead to private monopolies and less efficiency and lower economic growth • Cut in the income tax designed as an incentive to work and investment may be ineffective if workers use it as an opportunity to work less for the same income • Cut in corporation tax ineffective if companies use the cut in corporation tax to boost short-term profits rather investment • Increase in UK taxation elsewhere may have an effect on incentives to work	(20)
--	---	------

Knowledge, application and analysis		
Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1–3	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no chains of reasoning or links between causes and consequences.
Level 2	4–6	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response or superficial, two-stage chain of reasoning only.
Level 3	7–10	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to apply economic concepts and relate them directly to the broad elements of the question with evidence integrated into the answer. Analysis is clear and coherent, although it may lack balance. Chains of reasoning are developed but the answer may lack balance.
Level 4	11–14	Demonstrates precise knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using appropriate examples. Analysis is relevant and focused with evidence fully and reliably integrated. Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems. The answer demonstrates logical and coherent chains of reasoning.

Evaluation		
Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1–2	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	3–4	Evidence of evaluation of alternative approaches which is unbalanced leading to unsubstantiated judgements. Evaluative comments with supporting evidence/reference to context and a partially developed chain of reasoning.
Level 3	5–6	Evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning and appropriate reference to context. Evaluation is balanced and considers the broad elements of the question, leading to a substantiated judgement.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(g)	Knowledge 4, Application 4, Analysis 6, KAA: Possible effects include: • Reduction in real output / economic growth • Deflationary effect / reduce inflationary pressures • Rising unemployment / falling real wages in the public sector – government “introduced additional rounds of departmental spending cuts” • Increasing inequality – “the government further decreased welfare payments” • Falling real incomes / standard of living – “slow growth in real household disposable incomes” / “decline in living standards” • Reference to the impact of reduced government investment on long-term growth of the economy – “should not pursue further reductions in the budget deficit as this would allow for a significant increase in public investment” • Improvement in balance of payments on current account as net exports rise • Reference to the impact on the environment N.B. Candidates can discuss macroeconomic effects using an accurately labelled AD/AS diagram / accept a circular flow of income diagram that demonstrates a reduction in the circular flow of income	

	Evaluation 6 • Depends on size of the multiplier effect • Shape of the AS curve/spare capacity • Reduction in transfer payments may reduce frictional/voluntary unemployment • Effects depend on to what extent private sector spending/employment can replace public sector spending/employment • Short-run and long-run macroeconomic effects • Effects depend on what areas of government spending/taxes are changed • Potential increase in hidden economy (e.g. rise in cash in hand work)	(20)
--	---	------

Knowledge, application and analysis		
Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1-3	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no chains of reasoning or links between causes and consequences.
Level 2	4-6	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response or superficial, two stage chains of reasoning only.
Level 3	7-10	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to apply economic concepts and relate them directly to the broad elements of the question with evidence integrated into the answer. Analysis is clear and coherent, although it may lack balance. Chains of reasoning are developed but the answer may lack balance.
Level 4	11-14	Demonstrates precise knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using appropriate examples. Analysis is relevant and focused with evidence fully and reliably integrated. Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems. The answer demonstrates logical and coherent chains of reasoning.

Evaluation		
Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1-2	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	3-4	Evidence of evaluation of alternative approaches which is unbalanced leading to unsubstantiated judgements. Evaluative comments with supporting evidence/reference to context and a partially developed chain of reasoning.
Level 3	5-6	Evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning and appropriate reference to context. Evaluation is balanced and considers the broad elements of the question, leading to a substantiated judgement.


Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
	Knowledge 4, Application 4, Analysis 8, Evaluation 9 Likely impacts include: • UK exports are now cheaper when priced in dollars, so should mean an improvement in UK price competitiveness leading to increased demand for UK exports and AD <i>However depends on quality of UK exports or if US firms/consumers demand what we are producing</i> • UK imports from the US are now more expensive so likely to lead to less demand for US imports which improves net trade and therefore AD <i>However, depends if there are domestic alternatives to the US imports. If not prices will simply rise</i> • Imported inflation: as prices of imports rise, particularly of necessities, then UK inflation will increase. • Lower exchange rate might attract inward investment with multiplier effects on AD • Other factors which cause growth might be considered as more than one factor • Diagrammatic analysis may be used (e.g. AD/AS) Other evaluative comments may include e.g.: • Imported inflation will be a particular problem if wages do not increase in line with inflation. • Depends if this is a temporary fall or a permanent adjustment to the exchange rate • Depends on UK exchange rate with other currencies as well • US is a significant trade partner for the UK so the impact is likely to be significant • Consideration of the Marshall-Lerner condition and J curve effect • Other factors which cause growth might be considered as evaluative comments • EU is a very significant trading partner for the UK and thus the impact is likely to be significant	(25)

Knowledge, application and analysis		
Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1–4	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no chains of reasoning or links between causes and consequences.
Level 2	5–8	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response or superficial, two stage chains of reasoning only.
Level 3	9–12	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to apply economic concepts and relate them directly to the broad elements of the question with evidence integrated into the answer. Analysis is clear and coherent, although it may lack balance. Chains of reasoning are developed but the answer may lack balance.
Level 4	13–16	Demonstrates precise knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using appropriate examples. Analysis is relevant and focused with evidence fully and reliably integrated. Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems. The answer demonstrates logical and coherent chains of reasoning.

Evaluation		
Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1–3	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	4–6	Evidence of evaluation of alternative approaches which is unbalanced leading to unsubstantiated judgements. Evaluative comments with supporting evidence/reference to context and a partially developed chain of reasoning.
Level 3	7–9	Evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning and appropriate reference to context. Evaluation recognises different viewpoints and is critical of the evidence provided and/or the assumptions underlying the analysis enabling informed judgements to be made.

Q8.

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(a)	Knowledge 2, Application 2 Knowledge/understanding Identification of one reason (1) with development (1), e.g. • Different reasons for collection/different methods of collection: imply different results • It is becoming much more difficult to claim unemployment related benefits e.g. changes in the level of savings making it difficult to claim unemployment related benefits • Stigma issues when people are unemployed with no stigma for ILO but high stigma for unemployment related benefits • Increase in frictional unemployment which would increase ILO but may show no change in unemployment related benefits • State of the economy where more vacancies in a boom so harder to claim unemployment related benefits Application 2 marks for two data references from Figure 1 (1+1), e.g. Between 2010 and 2016: • Claimant count is below ILO (1) • Claimant count fell by around 48% / 0.7m (1) • ILO unemployment fell by around 35% / 0.9m (1) • In 2010, ILO unemployment was 2.5m (1) and CC unemployment was 1.5m (1)	(4)

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(b)	Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 2 • Rise in value of houses will lead to wealth effect • An increase in householder's confidence • Encourage households to consume more (and/or save less) • Aggregate demand (AD) will increase • Lead to an increase in real output or a faster rate of economic growth • Credit for discussion of other effects besides wealth effect, e.g. impact on: • Balance of Payments • Unemployment • Budget deficit • Price level • Increase in investment from the construction industry • Diagram e.g.  "£24 000 more than it was" / "annual growth increased from 5.2% in July 2016 to 5.6% in August 2016" / Data reference to Figure 1 data NB Candidates have to show impacts with accurate AD/AS diagram presenting a relevant change in AD to access Level 3 NB Benefits to rising house prices can be seen as KAA and negative effect as Evaluation, or vice versa	(6)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1-2	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no link between causes and consequences.
Level 2	3-4	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response or the answer may lack balance.
Level 3	5-6	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using relevant and focused examples which are fully integrated. Economic ideas are applied appropriately to the broad elements of the question.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(b) continued	Evaluation 4 - Houses are a significant component of consumers' wealth and effect large proportion of households (65% owner occupied) in the UK, which will have a major effect on AD - SR vs LR – "house prices will fall 1% across the UK in 2017" / wealth effects take time to trigger spending changes - Regional differences in impact – "House price growth was strongest in London" "home ownership has risen to 78.6% among those aged 65-to-74" – it may not raise AD / consumption - Discussion of the significance of falling real wages - Significance of the elasticity of the AS curve - Consumption is approximately 2/3 of aggregate demand which implies a strong inter-relationship - Lower confidence for areas where it will be harder to move to find jobs, because of price differentials - Effect on first-time buyers – may lead to their consumption falling (discussion of real wages and home ownership)	(4)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1-2	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/ reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	3-4	Evaluative comments supported by chains of reasoning and appropriate reference to context. Evaluation is balanced and considers the broad elements of the question.

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(c)	Knowledge 1, Application 2, Analysis 2 Knowledge/understanding 1 mark for identification, e.g. - Income (1) - Interest rates (1) - Unemployment (1) - Consumer confidence (1) Application 2 marks for data reference (1+1), e.g. - real incomes in the UK have fallen (1) by 10.4% (1) - unemployment fell (1) according to ILO and CC (1) - savings ratio has fallen (1) between 2010 and 2015 (1) - Britain's vote to leave the EU – Extract A Line 6 (1) Analysis Linked development (1+1), e.g. - Fall in income is likely to reduce savings leading to a fall in the marginal propensity to save - Lower unemployment is likely to cause a rise in consumer spending due to greater disposable incomes, leading to fall in savings ratio	(5)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(d)	Knowledge 2, Application 2, Analysis 2 Knowledge/understanding 2 marks for, e.g. - Definition/understanding of the multiplier (1) - Identification of factors which can cause the multiplier to rise (1+1) Application 1 mark for each data reference from Figure 2 or linked application (1+1), e.g. - savings ratio decreased from 11.5% in Q1 of 2010 (1) - to approximately 5.7% in Q1 of 2016 (1) - Use of multiplier formula (2) - application by means of simple numerical example (up to 2 marks) Analysis 1 mark for linked development of each factor (1+1), e.g. - A fall in withdrawals from circular flow of income will lead to a rise in the value of the multiplier (1) - An increase in mpc will cause the value of the multiplier to rise (1)	(6)

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(e)	Knowledge 3, Application 3, Analysis 3 Effects for employment: • "Work remains the most common reason for long-term migration (312 000)" – this is most likely to lead to an increase in employment • "182 000 of these coming with a definite job" – these migrants could fill those vacancies that cannot be filled by indigenous workers • Increased size of population means that there is increase in supply of labour, thus reducing wage rate and increasing demand for labour • Increased consumer spending from an increased population means more jobs are created • Increased demand for public services creating an increased demand for public sector workers Effects for unemployment: • "130 000 looking for work" – these migrants could displace the current indigenous workers • "10 547 people granted asylum" / 80 000 family members – surplus labour in the market as they might attempt to join the labour market but with inappropriate skills NB Answer must refer to both <i>employment and unemployment</i> to access Level 3	(9)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1–3	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no chains of reasoning or links between causes and consequences.
Level 2	4–6	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response; chains of reasoning are developed but the answer may lack balance.
Level 3	7–9	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using relevant and focused examples which are fully integrated. Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems. The answer demonstrates logical and coherent chains of reasoning.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(e) continued	Evaluation 6 Points may include: • Magnitude and the significance of net migration – the figures on emigration have not been provided • "Long-term migration to the UK for study was estimated to be 163 000" – so may only be short-term migrants; also it is not sure they will remain in the UK after study • If the UK economy starts to grow, it can take on more labour without increasing unemployment • Evidence might be inaccurate, e.g. reason for migration is a very loaded question/inadequate data – it does not indicate if there has been an increase or decrease in the figures shown • Depends on the skills of migrants • "Dependents" may or may not have an effect • Depends on the percentage of asylum seekers granted leave to remain (Extract B) • Different industries may be affected differently	(6)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1–2	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	3–4	Evidence of evaluation of alternative approaches which is unbalanced. Evaluative comments with supporting evidence/reference to context and a partially developed chain of reasoning.
Level 3	5–6	Evaluative comments supported by relevant chain of reasoning and appropriate reference to context. Evaluation is balanced and considers the broad elements of the question.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(f)	Knowledge 4, Application 4, Analysis 6 • Understanding / identification of government policies as fiscal policy or supply-side policy • Relevant diagram, e.g. AD/AS diagram showing rightward shift in AD and/or AS curve • Investment in education and training to provide a more skilled workforce through increases in the level of human capital • Changes in minimum wage to incentivise employment • Reduction in unemployment and associated benefits to increase incentive to find work • Grants/subsidies/tax breaks to firms • Reduction in tax rates to encourage firms to expand or set up in UK, therefore increasing employment • Reduction in income tax creating a much larger incentive to work, increasing consumption and therefore, employment • Policies to increase real wage flexibility e.g. helping to reduce real wage unemployment • Tax breaks to firms who set up in depressed areas / giving some financial assistance to unemployed workers who move to areas with high employment • Government improving the labour market flexibility by making it easier to hire and fire workers may encourage more job creation • Increase in the provision of free childcare and other measures to increase the activity rate NB Answer must be linked to <i>government</i> policies to access Level 3 or above. NB Answer must be linked to <i>employment</i> to access Level 3 or above.	(14)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1–3	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no chains of reasoning or links between causes and consequences.
Level 2	4–6	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response or superficial, two-stage chain of reasoning only.
Level 3	7–10	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to apply economic concepts and relate them directly to the broad elements of the question with evidence integrated into the answer. Analysis is clear and coherent, although it may lack balance. Chains of reasoning are developed but the answer may lack balance.
Level 4	11–14	Demonstrates precise knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using appropriate examples. Analysis is relevant and focused with evidence fully and reliably integrated. Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems. The answer demonstrates logical and coherent chains of reasoning.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(f) continued	Evaluation 6 • Magnitude of the policy change • Conflicts between the policies • Depends if there is spare capacity • Creditworthiness of the UK government • Conflicts with other UK economic objectives • Discussion of effectiveness of individual policies • External factors beyond UK government's control, e.g. slowdown in global economy • Time lags (for e.g. education takes a long time to have impact on productivity)/implementation lags Government's budget deficit makes it difficult to pay for large investments or offer significant grants/tax breaks	(6)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1–2	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	3–4	Evidence of evaluation of alternative approaches which is unbalanced leading to unsubstantiated judgements. Evaluative comments with supporting evidence/reference to context and a partially developed chain of reasoning.
Level 3	5–6	Evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning and appropriate reference to context. Evaluation is balanced and considers the broad elements of the question, leading to a substantiated judgement.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(g)	Knowledge 4, Application 4, Analysis 6, • Implicit or explicit identification of the various UK's macroeconomic objectives • Understanding of inflation • May reduce growth owing to costs of inflation • Reduction in purchasing power and real incomes • Equality e.g. effect on those who have fixed incomes (are not protected by inflation) who are often the poorest • May make the national debt smaller in real terms making it cheaper to finance and pay back • Reduction in competitiveness of British goods which worsens the current account of the balance of payments deficit and reduces international competitiveness • Unemployment may rise through increased levels of inefficiency and stagflation / using a Phillips curve • Higher inflation associated with increasing AD may have detrimental impact on environment • Possible beneficial effect on the environment as economic growth slows • Loss of both business and consumer confidence • The self-reinforcing effect on inflation through expectations: higher inflation as consumers get used to higher levels of inflation, they demand high wages to protect buying power of incomes NB KAA can be awarded for positive impacts on the objectives and evaluation as the negative impacts or vice versa	(14)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	A completely inaccurate response.
Level 1	1–3	Displays isolated or imprecise knowledge and understanding of terms, concepts, theories and models. Use of generic or irrelevant information or examples. Descriptive approach which has no chains of reasoning or links between causes and consequences.
Level 2	4–6	Displays elements of knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories. Applies economic ideas and relates them to economic problems in context, although does not focus on the broad elements of the question. A narrow response or superficial, two stage chains of reasoning only.
Level 3	7–10	Demonstrates accurate knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to apply economic concepts and relate them directly to the broad elements of the question with evidence integrated into the answer. Analysis is clear and coherent, although it may lack balance. Chains of reasoning are developed but the answer may lack balance.
Level 4	11–14	Demonstrates precise knowledge and understanding of the concepts, principles and models. Ability to link knowledge and understanding in context using appropriate examples. Analysis is relevant and focused with evidence fully and reliably integrated. Economic ideas are carefully selected and applied appropriately to economic issues and problems. The answer demonstrates logical and coherent chains of reasoning.

Question Number	Indicative content	Mark
(g) continued	Evaluation 6 - Depends on the cause, (e.g. cost push or demand pull) duration and magnitude of inflation – may be discussed in recent context of the UK / using AD AS diagram - Inflation might not translate through to higher wages and unemployment if the workforce has little power - If growth is low, slightly higher inflation is worth the risk to avoid deflation or depression - Impact depends on the relative inflation rates - Impact of high inflation might depend on policy response - interest rates may have to be raised - Other factors may have a larger effect: exchange rates or other macro objectives (ceteris paribus) - Possible beneficial effect on the environment	(6)

Level	Mark	Descriptor
	0	No evaluative comments.
Level 1	1–2	Identification of generic evaluative comments without supporting evidence/reference to context. No evidence of a logical chain of reasoning.
Level 2	3–4	Evidence of evaluation of alternative approaches which is unbalanced leading to unsubstantiated judgements. Evaluative comments with supporting evidence/reference to context and a partially developed chain of reasoning.
Level 3	5–6	Evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning and appropriate reference to context. Evaluation is balanced and considers the broad elements of the question, leading to a substantiated judgement.

Q9.

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(a)	The only correct answer is A <i>B is not correct because this is a Classical LRAS curve</i> <i>C is not correct because this shows AD decreasing</i> <i>D is not correct because this is a Classical LRAS curve</i>	(1)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(b)	Knowledge 1, Analysis 1 Knowledge/understanding (1): There would be no change in real output (1) Analysis (1): E.g. since classical economists believe the economy will be at full employment in the long run Diagram to illustrate this:	(2)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(c)	Knowledge 1, Analysis 1 Knowledge/understanding (1): Identification of likely impact: - Aggregate demand will fall/decrease Analysis: 1 mark for linked development, e.g. - Negative wealth effect - Reduction in consumer confidence and consumption	(2)

Q10.

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(a)	The only correct answer is D A is not correct because the change in forecast UK government spending is highest on social protection B is not correct because the forecast UK government spending in all areas has risen from 2015/16 C is not correct because forecast UK government spending on defence is less than twice as much as forecast spending on housing	(1)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(b)	Application 2 Application 1 mark for appropriate calculation, e.g. $(240 / 772) \times 100$ (1) Answer = 31.1 (accept range 31 to 31.1) Award 2 marks for correct answer	(2)

Question Number	Answer	Mark
(c)	Knowledge 1 Knowledge/understanding 1 mark for definition, e.g. Budget deficit is when government spending exceeds (tax) revenue/receipts	(1)

