AQA A-LEVEL ECONOMICS · 7136

ECONOMICS



MARK SCHEME

3.2.6.5 ECONOMIC GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT

SCORE	PERCENTAGE
/789	%

STEMUP

These questions belong to AQA, not to us.

The examination questions, mark schemes and examiner reports in this pack were written and published by AQA. They are past assessment materials, reproduced here so that students can revise from them.

What we do not own

STEMUP is not affiliated with AQA and does not own, and makes no claim to own, any of the question, mark scheme or examiner report material in this pack. AQA has not endorsed, approved or been involved in the production of it. AQA and the qualification titles used here belong to their respective owners and appear for identification only.

Where to find the originals

AQA publishes its past papers, mark schemes and examiner reports free of charge. Always check the current specification at aqa.org.uk before you revise, because content and wording can change between series.

If you hold the rights to any material in this pack and would like it amended or removed, contact admin@stemup.co.uk and we will act on it.

2. **Issues and areas for discussion include**

Introduction	• explanation of globalisation • how benefit can be measured • macroeconomic performance criteria
Developing the response to the question:	• economic growth • employment/unemployment • inflation/price stability/deflation • trade flows • investment flows • balance of payments • competitiveness • any other valid issue
Evaluation	• not knowing what might have happened to the UK economy had globalisation not become so evident • the assessment may depend on the view taken of how significant or essential globalisation has been in bringing about various domestic supply-side reforms • whether cyclical factors have sometimes prevented the UK from obtaining the full benefit of globalisation *Examiners should note that it may be possible to award some marks for basic evaluation such as a consideration of the advantages and disadvantages of globalisation. However, this must be considered as low-level evaluation and awarded accordingly.*
Also give credit for:	• relevant use of evidence and examples not contained in the data • diagrams • an overall judgement on the issues raised

[25]

STEMUP.CO.UK

3.

It is hoped that candidates will place the answer firmly into the context of the EU and not simply base the answer on the impact of the UK borrowing requirement, so that any final judgement is sufficiently comprehensive and relevant.

The issues identified below are intended to provide an indication of some of the areas which might be discussed. Candidates can only be expected to consider a few of these and / or other issues in the time available.

Issues and areas for discussion include:

Introduction	• government borrowing • budget deficit • PSNCR as one technical measure • the EU fiscal position as a whole • the Stability and Growth Pact • proposals for some regulation of fiscal policy independent of government • criteria for measuring the impact.
Developing the response to the question: Application	• line 3 reference to Keynesian economics and tolerance of deficits because of the benefits they can bring • lines 21-22 reference to the multiplier process and aggregate demand • lines 28-29 the potential impact of borrowing on interest rates and (line 40) on inflation • lines 36-39 EU fiscal stimulus counterbalancing any loss of business and consumer confidence
Developing the response to the question: Analysis	• the reasons behind the increased borrowing • the benefits of government spending • the benefits of tax cuts • the potential impact of increased borrowing on interest rates within the EU and whether greater differences emerge between interest rates in the euro area members of the EU and non-euro members of the EU • the dangers of 'crowding-out' of private sector activity amongst member countries • the uncertainty that increasing borrowing can create for households and businesses • the potential impact on the nation's international credit rating and hence on its ability to borrow in the future on favourable terms • the possibility of seeking help from, for example, the IMF and the impact of this • the economic pain associated with eventual remedial measures

STEMUP.CO.UK

	such as spending cuts and tax increases • the impact on the UK if EU fiscal expansion generally provides a stimulus to the UK economy • the impact on the value of the euro and the implications of this for the external value of the pound • any problems which emerge may become EU-wide problems making recovery even harder to achieve • measuring the impact in terms of growth, jobs and prices.
Evaluation	• the relevance of the scale of borrowing • assessment in terms of the net impact, eg do the benefits clearly outweigh any problems? • the economic context in which the borrowing is taking place, eg recession or recovery • whether the fiscal policy is accompanied by monetary expansion and the possible impact of this combination of expansionary policy • the perceived costs associated with fiscal balance or surplus • the speed with which borrowing can be reduced • the confidence that households and businesses have in government efforts to stabilise the fiscal position • whether the EU is at one with fiscal policy around the world or very much out on a limb. *Examiners should note that it may be possible to award some marks for basic evaluation such as for a general account of the pros and cons of borrowing, or of higher public spending and / or higher taxation, but with no attempt to place it into the context of the UK and the EU. However, this must be considered as low-level evaluation and awarded accordingly. If these pros and cons are put into the context of the UK and EU, perhaps with an explicit attempt to prioritise, greater credit can be given.*
Also give credit for:	• relevant use of evidence and examples not contained in the data • diagrams • an overall judgement on the issues raised

[25]

STEMUP.CO.UK

4.

Introduction	• The nature of public sector debt • The relationship between deficits and debt • The EU and fiscal rules.
Developing the response to the question: **Application**	Drawing on the information in the extracts: • Eurozone recovery slowing **(Extract B)** • Taxation affecting investment **(Extract B)** • Ireland creating conditions to increase lending **(Extract C)** • Crowding out **(Extract C)** • High levels of public debt **(Extract C)** • Significant trade partners **(Extract C)**
Developing the response to the question: **Analysis**	Arguments as to how reduced public sector debt in other economies may effect UK: • methods of reducing public sector debt • equity and different income groups • impact on UK exports/FDI • impact on UK growth (short-run and long-run) • impact on the balance of payments on both current and capital accounts. • impact on employment/unemployment • impact on inflation. • impact on investment and confidence • impact on ratings agencies and bond yields • crowding in/crowding out arguments • standards of living • Keynesian multiplier Consideration of how reduced public sector debt domestically is also worthy of credit.
Evaluation	• How 'impact' is measured and the importance of various macroeconomic performance indicators • The differences between the relationships with each nation ie countries which provide us with net exports possibly having a bigger impact • Consideration of 'at the same time' as being with each other or with the UK • The rate at which debts are being reduced • Consideration of whether Government debt is in fact a problem • Consideration of FDI and how this might be affected

STEMUP.CO.UK

	• Will the private sector actually have more freedom to invest both domestically and abroad with reduced Government intervention • Whether debt reduction causes crowding in or crowding out and the effects on AD. *Examiners should note that, for some of the weaker or average candidates, parts of the above represent quite sophisticated evaluation and so it is important to ensure that more basic evaluation is adequately rewarded where a genuine effort has been made to display that skill.*
Also give credit for	• Reference to the UK/other economies • Diagrams • An overall judgement on the issues raised.

It will only be possible for candidates to consider a few of the above. They may also discuss other issues not mentioned above.

[25]

STEMUP.CO.UK

5.

For candidates who:

Explain

- by first defining the multiplier, eg the amount by which an increase in injections into the circular flow of money will increase total income in the economy
- by referring to the various injections into the circular flow and perhaps providing the contrast with leakages or withdrawals
- by providing a formula or formulae
- the process by relating the multiplier to aggregate demand, GDP etc.

Analyse the impact on unemployment, eg:

- the multiplier may be initiated by an increase in government capital expenditure, eg on road building. This not only creates jobs in the road-building sector but, as these workers begin to spend their income, jobs are also created in other sectors and so unemployment in the economy can be reduced
- exports and job creation
- private sector investment and job creation
- income changes across the economy.

Analyse the impact on economic growth, eg:

- if the multiplier serves its purpose and stimulates AD, by increasing incomes and household consumption, this will stimulate domestic production to some extent and this will cause a rise in actual growth as measured by GDP
- the impact of an investment-induced multiplier on AD and on productive capacity, and hence potential growth
- the impact of an export-led multiplier on AD with the potential for supply-side benefits also
- to the extent that the multiplier process may help sustain AD, it will make it less likely that capacity will be 'destroyed', eg plant being scrapped, and this may have beneficial consequences for future economic growth.

Reward references to the UK / other economies

[9]

STEMUP.CO.UK

7.

The issues identified below are intended to provide an indication of some of the areas which might be discussed. Candidates can only be expected to consider a few of these and / or other issues in the time available.

Issues and areas for discussion include:

Introduction	• sustained economic growth • living standards • reference to general living standards in the UK • criteria on which judgements are to be made.
Developing the response to the question: Application	• line 5 GDP per capita as a basic measure of living standards; higher growth may lead to higher GDP per capita in the UK • lines 17-19 references to aspects of the quality of life, which may or may not benefit from economic growth • lines 29-31 Africa as a major supplier of raw materials which could facilitate UK economic growth and thus impact on living standards • lines 36-37 Africa as a potential competitor to the UK in the world economy with a possible adverse impact on UK growth and living standards • discussion of whether both can be pursued at once
Developing the response to the question: Analysis	• how UK manufacturing might benefit from African demand for manufactured goods and components and hence contribute to economic growth • the potential, eventual competition for UK manufacturing and a consequent adverse impact on growth • the potential benefits to UK financial services helping African development, providing further stimulus to this traditionally successful growth sector • investment opportunities opening up in Africa • greater accessibility to African raw materials and energy supplies, helping to facilitate UK economic growth • benefits to other UK services, e.g. tourism • an assessment of the net effects on UK economic growth and therefore on living standards • the impact on employment, the more successful and competitive Africa becomes, and hence on average incomes • the possible adverse impact on manufacturing regions of the UK, while more service based regions may benefit, so adversely affecting disposable income in the former • the overall impact on income per head depending on the UK response to developments in Africa • the impact on UK living standards if African development begins to

STEMUP.CO.UK

	allow successful encroachment on other UK markets • growth and the quality of life, allowing a widening out of the discussion on living standards.
Evaluation	• a comparison of short run and long run effects • the economic changes in Africa may be so gradual and piece-meal as to have little measurable impact on the UK • however, countries such as Mozambique growing rapidly in the last decade, albeit from lowly positions, may bring pressure to the developed world sooner than was originally thought • the net effect may be small if Africa compensates for the decline of other UK markets (temporary or permanent) • the potential benefits of African expansion in the context of UK recession, opening up markets which had not been envisaged just a few years earlier • the difficulty of making generalisations, eg parts of Africa providing new sources of energy and raw materials to help UK growth while others begin to present competition for UK manufacturing • the possibility of having to avoid generalisations because of the diverse character of the continent, so that comments on the potential impact on the UK economy and its living standards are only pertinent to individual economies; stark contrasts between various parts of Africa are likely to persist • the possibility of complementarity, eg African expansion of secondary activities requiring and stimulating the UK tertiary sector. • the environmental impact and the increasing demand on resources arising from growth in Africa, possibly impacting on the UK, eg higher oil prices. *Examiners should note that it may be possible to award some marks for basic evaluation such as for a general account of the pros and cons of growth in the context of living standards, with no attempt to place it into the context of the UK / Africa and with only limited reference to living standards. However, this must be considered as low-level evaluation and awarded accordingly. Marginally more credit could be given to this type of evaluation if placed in the context of the countries concerned.*
Also give credit for:	• relevant use of evidence and examples not contained in the data • diagrams • an overall judgement on the issues raised

[25]

STEMUP.CO.UK

8.

Introduction	• The nature of EU single market • Origins of the EU • How 'beneficial' may be measured in terms of macroeconomic performance.
Developing the response to the question: **Application / Analysis**	• Current EU policies regarding freedom of movement of labour/capital/trade etc. • How more EU members may affect growth • How more EU members may affect inflation • How more EU members may affect the balance of payments • How more EU members may affect unemployment • Trade diversion/Trade creation. • Comparative advantage • Extended overseas markets and economies of scale • The impact on inward and outward FDI • The relative size of the economies of the possible entrants. • The effects on other EU members • The implications of immigration • The possibility that these countries may enter the Eurozone. • Short-run and long-run considerations • The UK's current macro-economic performance in context.
Evaluation	• The importance of which countries join and when? • The relative size of say the agricultural sector of the new markets may affect the UK's proportion of CAP • The 'net contributor' argument in terms of EU funding. • Scope for FDI (both inward and outward) • The relative importance of EU institutions to the UK. • The relative effects on each macroeconomic goal being dependant on the UK's position on the trade cycle • The importance of stability • Important current political considerations • Immigration depending on the net migration size • Economic and social impact of further integration. *Examiners should note that, for some of the weaker or average candidates, parts of the above represent quite sophisticated evaluation and so it is important to ensure that more basic evaluation is adequately rewarded where a genuine effort has been made to display that skill.*

STEMUP.CO.UK

Also give credit for	• Reference to the UK/other economies • Diagrams • An overall judgement on the issues raised.

It will only be possible for candidates to consider a few of the above. They may also discuss other issues not mentioned above.

[25]

STEMUP.CO.UK

10. It is hoped that candidates will clarify the criteria by which consequences can be measured and then relate China's growth to the performance of the UK economy in an evaluative way.

The issues identified below are intended to provide an indication of some of the areas which might be discussed. Candidates can only be expected to consider a few of these and / or other issues in the time available.

Issues and areas for discussion include:

Introduction:	• recession • economic growth • criteria for assessing consequences
Developing a response to the question:	• reference to the growth performance of the Chinese economy • reference to the problems of the UK economy • why continued growth of a major economy may be important to another country in recession • economic growth • the balance of payments • employment / unemployment • investment flows • inflation • China's growth perhaps causing inflation exacerbated by the UK pursuing a potentially inflationary policy of quantitative easing and fiscal expansion • the impact on the UK of China taking increasing control over world resources by its investment • China's contribution to the IMF which might ultimately be of benefit to the UK • impact on the exchange rate.
Evaluation:	• the extent to which China wishes to share the 'proceeds' of growth with other countries by importing more goods • although the phrase 'global recession' is used it may be that some other UK markets are not faring too badly, so making China's contribution to UK economic performance less significant • the ability of the UK to maintain / increase market share in China • China is pushing ahead with export-led growth which may not be in the long-term interests of UK manufacturing • the extent to which the UK economy welcomes Chinese investment in its economy and whether China reciprocates. *Examiners should note that it may be possible to award some marks for basic evaluation such as for a general account of the pros and cons of growth and with no attempt to place it into the context of the UK/China.*

STEMUP.CO.UK

	However, this must be considered as low-level evaluation and awarded accordingly.
Also give credit for:	• relevant use of evidence and examples not contained in the data • diagrams

[25]

STEMUP.CO.UK

11.

Introduction	• the world economy and economic diversity within it • free trade • progress towards a free-trade world – the role of GATT and latterly the WTO • the dangers of increased protectionism • how 'benefit' might be measured.
Developing the response to the question: **Application / Analysis**	• trade spearheading globalisation and the benefits this can bring • the principle of comparative advantage • specialisation • economies of scale • efficiency • the impetus to improving competitiveness in price and non-price ways • the pace of innovation • the benefits of greater choice • prospects for economic growth • trade in preference to aid to help development • employment prospects • the drive for greater competitiveness forcing down prices and hence helping to achieve low inflation • implications for the balance of payments • the impact on less successful economies of a free-trade world • use of diagrams • reference to the UK and / or other economies.
Evaluation	• a consideration of who might be the major beneficiaries • the possibility of structural unemployment as comparative advantage changes between countries • economic shocks in one part of the world are more likely to affect other parts • the difficulty of drawing conclusions which are too generalised as to be virtually meaningless • on-going protectionism even by countries involved in WTO talks such as Doha which might limit benefits • a consideration of 'significant' economic benefits • the possible problems of measuring economic benefits • how the 'losers' might be helped, if at all, and if there is a willingness to do so

STEMUP.CO.UK

	• if free trade is so beneficial why does an underlying protectionism persist? • benefits for some sectors of an economy, damage to others • trade blocs (free trade for members v protectionism towards non-members) • the need for WTO talks to make much more progress before benefits can be cited without significant qualification • a final judgement on the perceived benefits of progress towards free trade. *Examiners should note that, for some of the weaker or average candidates, parts of the above represent quite sophisticated evaluation and so it is important to ensure that more basic evaluation is adequately rewarded where a genuine effort has been made to display that skill.*

It will only be possible for candidates to consider a few of the above. They may also discuss other issues not mentioned above.

[25]

STEMUP.CO.UK

12.

Introduction	• the nature of the EU perhaps with reference to institutions • the UK position within the EU • fiscal policy and budgetary positions • criteria for assessing consequences.
Developing the response to the question: **Application**	• Lines 1–3: the remedial Keynesian approach now denied to member states • Line 4: the abandonment of fiscal rules • Lines 11–14: the crisis in Greece arising from fiscal looseness • Lines 19–23: the UK Government seeming to be a step ahead of some in the EU especially with the setting up of the OBR. • Keynesian multiplier • Budgetary positions • Current account deficits.
Developing the response to the question: **Analysis**	• the general economic consequences any individual economy might expect in trying to achieve a balanced budget • the consequences for the EU as a whole of achieving that position • the idea of 27 nations being required to follow the same policy • the reasons for the policy • the impact on economic growth • the impact on living standards in the short term and long term • the impact on employment/unemployment • the impact on the UK's balance of payments on current account • the impact on inflation • the possibility of deflation • the impact on types and levels of government spending • the changes in taxation and the possible impact of the changes • use of relevant diagrams • reference to other economies.
Evaluation	• whether or not the policy is introduced for economic reasons or to reflect a particular political philosophy may influence judgement on the policy • the time given for any one country to achieve a balance • the UK's original fiscal position at the time the policy is introduced • for how long a country will be expected to continue with the policy • whether or not the policy will be effectively enforced • whether the UK pursues the policy mainly through tax increases or

STEMUP.CO.UK

	public spending cuts or a combination of both • whether it will be short-term 'pain' for long-term benefit • the differing impact on particular sections of the UK population • whether additional private sector activity will compensate • whether monetary policy has the same constraints imposed • the problems which 'one-size-fits-all' policy tends to generate for individual nations • a final judgement on the overall impact on the UK economy. *Examiners should note that, for some of the weaker or average candidates, parts of the above represent quite sophisticated evaluation and so it is important to ensure that more basic evaluation is adequately rewarded where a genuine effort has been made to display that skill.*

[25]

STEMUP.CO.UK

13.

Introduction	• criteria for measuring economic consequences • nature of potential problems • nature of potential opportunities • the EU • the issue of enlargement of the EU.
Developing the response to the question: **Application**	• Turkey's geographical position (line 3) • an expected population in Turkey of 100m by 2020 (line 10) • Turkey's vast economic potential (line 11) • Turkey's impressive growth for at least 5 years, 2002 – 2007 = 7% (lines 14 – 15), 2010 first quarter = 11% (lines 13 – 14) • Turkey's reputation for economic instability (line 20) • high inflation in some recent years in Turkey (lines 20-21) • an attractive centre for investment (line 24) • migration and the impact on UK PPF • consequences for UK AD as the EU expands • export-led multiplier.
Developing the response to the question: **Analysis**	• the diverse nature of member countries of the EU • the UK approach to the EU and to enlargement in particular • demands upon the EU budget and hence upon individual members • Turkey as part of the SEM and the likely flow of cheap imports to UK • cheap migrant labour impacting on the UK labour market and social and economic infrastructure • Turkey as an additional market for the UK • investment opportunities • investment income coming back to the UK • the benefits of its established links with Asia • UK economic growth • UK unemployment • UK balance of payments • UK inflation / deflation • reference to other economies • use of diagrams.
Evaluation	• Turkish membership may be seen as the "straw which breaks the camel's back" as EU enlargement gathers pace

STEMUP.CO.UK

	• short term v long term considerations • the ability of the UK to take up opportunities successfully • the UK's possible inability to take up opportunities in the context of having to shoulder some of the problems which Turkey's membership may give rise to in the EU • the possibility that the UK macroeconomic indicators do not all head in the same direction (for example, Turkey as a stimulus to economic growth but migrant labour causing an unemployment problem) • the difficulty of giving "values" to pros and cons pre-membership and therefore being unable to take up a decisive stance with confidence • the impact could change over time as circumstances change • continuing enlargement, including Turkey, potentially providing ammunition to the Euro-sceptics in government and beyond causing the UK to remain by choice on the sidelines of the EU. An assessment of the significance of this issue for the UK • a final judgement on the overall impact on the UK economy. *Examiners should note that, for some of the weaker or average candidates, parts of the above represent quite sophisticated evaluation and so it is important to ensure that more basic evaluation is adequately rewarded where a genuine effort has been made to display that skill.*

It will only be possible for candidates to consider a few of the above. They may also discuss other issues not mentioned above.

[25]

STEMUP.CO.UK

15.

Introduction	• macroeconomic performance • the possible nature of major global events such as sports competitions, natural disasters and economic downturns.
Developing the response to the question: **Application**	• the stimulus to countries hosting major events benefiting other countries (lines 5-8) • the distinction between predictable and unpredictable evens (lines 16-19) • the importance of such events forging stronger economic ties between countries (lines 13-15) • the need for a strong economy in order to take advantage of opportunities (lines 29-30) • US financial crisis (line 19) • the Japanese earthquake and tsunami in 2011 (line 23). • use of AD / AS analysis • the multiplier • economic cycle
Developing the response to the question: **Analysis**	• economic growth • stability of prices • employment / unemployment • the balance of payments on current and capital accounts • creating a strong economy in order to be able to respond to the stimuli of global events • the possibility of global events having a negative impact and the ability of an economy to respond • analysis of fundamental factors such as productivity, quality of resources, flexibility • references to the UK and / or other economies • use of diagrams.
Evaluation	• what we might regard as “significant” • what we decide to be the key measure(s) of “significance” • the likelihood of major global events not being good news, e.g. disruption to oil supplies • how we might be perceived to be economically weak by other countries because of our possible inability to play a key role as an event unfolds • is the impact of any major global event nothing more than transitory? • with so many potential “players” internationally any one country

STEMUP.CO.UK

	can't always expect to have a significant role to play • the short term impact of an "event" in contrast to long term sustaining of, and benefits from, trade and investment links • possible contrasting abilities to respond to positive events as opposed to negative ones or vice versa • a final judgement on the overall significance. *Examiners should note that, for some of the weaker or average candidates, parts of the above represent quite sophisticated evaluation and so it is important to ensure that more basic evaluation is adequately rewarded where a genuine effort has been made to display that skill.*

It will only be possible for candidates to consider a few of the above. They may also discuss other issues not mentioned above.

[25]

16. **Issues and areas for discussion include**

Introduction	• criteria for assessing 'significant strengthening of the economic importance' • identification of one or more MENA economies • the range of economic experience in the MENA economies.
Developing the response to the question (Application)	• comparative advantage • labour productivity • production possibilities • supply of resources from the resource-rich MENA economies (line 6) • opportunities for UK financial services (lines 12-13) • the threat from MENA manufacturing (lines 13-14) • further investment opportunities for UK in the MENA economies (lines 18-20) • the potential significance of free trade agreements between MENA and other economies (lines 24-26) • potential benefits to the UK of higher living standards in MENA countries (lines 27-30).
Analysis	• economic growth • employment and unemployment • balance of payments on current account • supply-side reforms • AD/AS analysis • comparative advantage • further structural change in the UK economy • living standards • direction of investment • use of diagrams • references to the UK and/or other economies.

STEMUP.CO.UK

Evaluation	• 'concern' needs to be measured against opportunities in the MENA economies • continuing political instability in the MENA region may dilute any threats to the UK and any opportunities for the UK in the MENA economies • the inflexibility of the UK economy may mean lost opportunities • if and when importance strengthens, the MENA economies' more powerful negotiating position regarding prices of resources needed by developed countries • MENA economies in relation to other opportunities elsewhere in the global economy • opportunities/threats in the UK domestic economy compared to those presented by the MENA economies • how the UK might compare to other economies with similar aims of gaining a foothold in the MENA economies, eg, the emerging markets • the significance of relative inflation rates between MENA economies and the UK, and between the UK compared to other economies showing interest in the MENA economies • the possible greater impact on the UK and a cause for concern if the UK's major markets, the EU and US, continue to have problems • the threat the MENA economies pose to the UK in established UK markets especially in the long term • a final judgement on the economic consequences. *Examiners should note that, for some of the weaker or average candidates, parts of the above represent quite sophisticated evaluation and so it is important to ensure that more basic evaluation is adequately rewarded where a genuine effort has been made to display that skill.*.

It will only be possible for candidates to consider a few of the above. They may also discuss other issues not mentioned above.

[25]

STEMUP.CO.UK

17. **Issues and areas for discussion include:**

Introduction	• globalisation • developed and developing economies • criteria which underlie an assessment of economic consequences
Developing the response to the question (application and analysis)	• economic growth • labour flows • capital flows • employment/unemployment, eg structural unemployment • balance of payments on current and capital accounts • trade and trade policy, eg exploitation of comparative advantage • MNC policies, eg branch-plant syndrome • deindustrialisation • the way in which the two categories of countries and governments within them respond to the challenges of globalisation if at all • the response of businesses in the two categories of countries, eg productivity, productive efficiency, efficiency-enhancing techniques such as Just-in-Time (JIT) and Total Quality Management (TQM) (examiners please note that these terms are not in the specification) • exploitation • the impact on the distribution of income and/or wealth • impact on living standards of different income groups & regions • use of diagrams • references to the real world.
Evaluation	• the possible error in just comparing two groups of countries when the diversity of experience and development within them is there for all to see • the relative degree of dominance of MNCs in each category and the nature of MNC policies • relative degrees of vulnerability to major global shocks • only conditional judgments are possible because the global economy is far from the end of the process of globalisation • the degree to which national governments will stand up for the national interest, perhaps in a selfish, nationalistic way • resources available to individual national governments to lend support to national businesses • the potential greater flexibility of response of developing countries to changes arising from globalisation • quantifying different costs and benefits may be difficult, eg not being able to determine what part of a country's environmental costs can be attributed to the globalisation process • a final judgment, eg the extent of benefits will vary across economies, the degree of vulnerability perhaps much less so.

STEMUP.CO.UK

	Examiners should note that, for some of the weaker or average candidates, parts of the above represent quite sophisticated evaluation and so it is important to ensure that more basic evaluation is adequately rewarded where a genuine effort has been made to display that skill.

It will only be possible for candidates to consider a few of the above. They may also discuss other issues not mentioned above.

[25]

STEMUP.CO.UK

19.

Introduction	• economic growth • other macroeconomic performance indicators • the contrasting economies of India and the UK.
Developing the response to the question: **Application**	• Line 3: reference to increased exports • Lines 6–8: impressive quarterly growth which, if maintained, could create spin-offs for the UK • Line 18–19: GDP, multiplier, accelerator • Line 19: inward investment from India • Lines 24–28: benefits for the UK from India's infra-structure development • Line 31: the limitations for the UK if India remains 'fiercely protective'.
Developing the response to the question: **Analysis**	• how it is expected that India might develop in the future • the current importance of India to the UK and how this might change in the future • the potential impact on UK economic growth • the potential impact on the UK balance of payments both on current and capital accounts • the potential impact on employment/unemployment • UK structural change influenced by economies such as India • the impetus that stronger links with India may give to supply-side reforms • the impact on productivity and competitiveness • the impact on investment flows • use of diagrams • reference to other economies.
Evaluation	• India needs to be put into the context of other significant fastdeveloping nations which may all eventually play a part in UK macroeconomic performance • India needs to be put into the context of our currently important trading partners – the US and the EU • India may decide to remain protectionist in terms of certain types of investment and trade • how more effective, if at all, some of our competitors are in penetrating the Indian economy • the net trade flow • the net investment flow

STEMUP.CO.UK

	• whether the UK produces what India's development requires, and, if not, whether the UK is able and willing to carry out the required structural change • how supportive the UK government is of private sector efforts in penetrating the Indian economy • whether or not India's growth and development continues in the current global economic climate • a final judgement on the overall likely importance of India to the UK economy. *Examiners should note that, for some of the weaker or average candidates, parts of the above represent quite sophisticated evaluation and so it is important to ensure that more basic evaluation is adequately rewarded where a genuine effort has been made to display that skill.*

[25]

STEMUP.CO.UK

21. **Issues and areas for discussion include**

Introduction	• the concept of a single market • other examples of single markets • the development of the EU single market • possible criteria for assessing benefits in outline.
Developing the response to the question (analysis and application)	*It will be perfectly legitimate for a candidate to cite benefits and, by evaluating these, to identify costs (or vice versa), eg competition as a spur to efficiency, but in evaluation, the UK not able to match the achievements in efficiency seen in some other member states with higher unemployment being a potential cost (consequence) of this.* • economic growth • economies of scale • comparative advantage • specialisation • efficiency, with inefficient firms failing (static v dynamic efficiency) • productivity • competition • choice of goods and living standards • mobility of resources • harmonisation of economic policies possible • trade expansion/trade creation • standardisation of national regulations • greater ease of doing business between members • investment • the single market as a 'driver' to further enlargement of the EU in general (although some would see this as a cost) • costs for the UK associated with being geographically separate from the rest of the EU and peripheral to the core • unemployment • low wages arising from mass immigration • a steady weakening, perhaps ultimately loss, of UK economic links with the rest of the world as trade diversion becomes more prevalent • costs associated with a 'survival of the fittest' scenario • bullying by dominant members into accepting economic policies seeking to strengthen the single market which are not in UK's long-term interests • regulations not necessarily being removed but imposed at EU, rather than national, level • use of diagrams • references to the real world.

STEMUP.CO.UK

Evaluation	• much of any objective evaluation may rest on to what extent the single market is allowed to develop ultimately • non-EU countries which did have strong economic ties with one or other members of a single market may find them weakening (trade diversion taking place), eg the Commonwealth and UK • progress can be slow, eg the single market in services still needs to be developed, and yet some members are largely dependent on services • a single market may not mean fewer regulations faced by members but just regulations raised to organisation, rather than national, level and this may not be efficient from the national perspective or, ultimately, from the single market perspective • threat of competition for an individual economy from lower-cost members with implications for the balance of payments and jobs, perhaps irrespective of the efficiency gains in the higher-cost country • labour mobility does not always deal with surplus/shortage problems very neatly and individual countries may suffer • migration driven not by economic factors (e.g. prospects of employment) but the supportive mechanisms in place in the recipient countries (eg welfare and health-care),perhaps causing a heavier burden on an economy' s public finances and downward pressure on wages • higher living standards for all cannot be assumed; improvements may well be patchy • any economic benefits such as higher growth can be diluted by developments beyond the single market, notably global recession • the possibility of any one member being continually pressured to accept developments e.g. the single currency and/or a common fiscal policy • the drawing of different conclusions is possible when considering the likelihood of a two-speed EU, ie euro members v non-euro members • a final judgement on the potential benefits of the single market for a country such as the UK. Some central facts: access to 500m people; over half of UK trade is with other members of the single market; estimated that 3.5mn UK jobs are linked to export of goods and services to the remainder of the single market; another estimate suggests that member countries trade twice as much with each other as they would in the absence of the single market. *Examiners should note that, for some of the weaker or average candidates, parts of the above represent quite sophisticated evaluation and so it is important to ensure that more basic evaluation is adequately rewarded where a genuine effort has been made to display that skill.*

STEMUP.CO.UK

It will only be possible for candidates to consider a few of the above. They may also discuss other issues not mentioned above.

[25]

STEMUP.CO.UK

22.

Introduction	• Reference to the history of the EU • The history of UK membership of the EU • Changing views about membership over time • The nature of the EU, for example, as a customs union/single market • The possible criteria for making a decision.
Developing the response to the question (Application)	• The estimated total cost to UK of membership **Extract E**(lines 4 & 5) • UK Budget contributions **Extract E** (line 6) • The Single European Market **Extract E** (lines 14 & 15) • Foreign direct investment **Extract E** lines (15 & 16) • The EEA **Extract F** (lines 3−5) • The example of Norway as a non-member of the EU **Extract F** • Trade theory • AD • Economic growth
Analysis	• The notion of economic benefit • Economic growth • Employment • Balance of Payments • Exchange rate • Prices • CAP • SEM • CET • The euro • The EEA.
Evaluation	• The uncertainty surrounding the future outside the EU • Whether a comparison with just one other economy (Norway) is helpful • To what extent, if at all, would trade be affected? • Could the UK re-establish former economic links, for example, with the Commonwealth or is this a myth from non-existent halcyon days? • The difficulty of assessing in a truly objective and precise way the various costs and benefits • The possible UK support for membership to foster and maintain economic ties with the EU in contrast to strong opposition to political ties and loss of national sovereignty • The possible changing views about membership as enlargement of the EU continues • Support for membership increasingly tempered by a fear that the UK will become embroiled in the euro crisis financially when the UK is outside the Eurozone • 'Sitting on the fence' has limitations; should the UK fully embrace all aspects of the EU and become 'full Europeans' including adoption of the euro? • The possibility of the UK joining the EEA or even NAFTA instead. *Examiners should note that, for some of the weaker or average candidates, parts of the above represent quite sophisticated evaluation and so it is important to ensure that more basic evaluation is adequately rewarded where a genuine effort has been made to display that skill.*

STEMUP.CO.UK

Also give credit for:	• Reference to the UK/other economies • Diagrams • An overall judgement on the issues raised.

It will only be possible for candidates to consider a few of the above. They may also discuss other issues not mentioned above.

[25]

24.

Introduction	• Economic growth • The standard of living • The recent global experience.
Developing the response to the question (application and analysis)	• How world economic growth is likely to be achieved • The importance of ensuring that growth is sustainable • Recognition that the impact could be positive or negative in net terms **The UK economy:** • Aggregate demand • Changes to the incentive to invest and the possible impact on such things as productive capacity • Aggregate supply • Inflation • The environment • The ability to sell overseas and hence the impact on exports • Imports • The balance of payments on current account • The prospects for inward/outward FDI • World growth causing changes to wealth creation in the UK • Employment/unemployment • The impact of world commodity prices. **The individual in the UK:** • Trend in average income (income per head) • Distribution of income • A possible sharpening of the “haves and have-nots” distinction • Consumption patterns • The wealth effect associated with rising asset prices in a period of growth • Choice of jobs • Quality of life • Quality of life measures such as the HDI and the “happiness index”.

STEMUP.CO.UK

Evaluation	• The extent of world economic growth • World economic growth from what starting point, eg years of stagnation? • The importance of considering where in the world growth is taking place and the bearing this may have on the UK economy • The UK's capability to participate in the growth process and in its rewards • The possibility of the UK economy importing the downside of world growth, eg inflation and a deteriorating environment, without enjoying the benefits, eg more jobs and more spending power • It is easier to measure the economic impact on the individual, ie income per head, than it is to measure changes to the quality of life • What of the individual who has the wrong skills or qualifications to allow occupational mobility or cannot become geographically mobile? • Short term v long term impact for both the individual and the economy • Does the UK economy enjoy higher demand without giving due attention to its supply-side? • Is sufficient attention given to the environment both from the individual's point of view and that of the UK economy? • Whether growth elsewhere is likely to cause net economic benefit or net economic damage to the UK. *Examiners should note that, for some of the weaker or average candidates, parts of the above represent quite sophisticated evaluation and so it is important to ensure that more basic evaluation is adequately rewarded where a genuine effort has been made to display that skill.*
Also give credit for:	• Reference to the UK/other economies • Diagrams • An overall judgement on the issues raised.

It will only be possible for candidates to consider a few of the above. They may also discuss other issues not mentioned above.

[25]

STEMUP.CO.UK

25.

Introduction	• Globalisation • UK economy • Stakeholders in the economy, households, firms, government and whole economy.
Developing the response to the question: **Application / Analysis**	**Arguments that the UK benefits from globalisation** • Comparative advantage arguments – job creation in financial services • Endowment of factors of production – access to goods and services difficult to produce domestically • Increased choice • Lower costs of consumer goods/cost of semi-finished goods and commodities • Benefits from transfer of technology • Welfare gains in terms of trade • Economies of scale arguments • Benefits of international co-operation • Increased FDI. **Arguments that the UK loses out due to globalisation** • Outsourcing has led to structural unemployment in regions of the UK • Issues surrounding labour migration • Multinational corporations exploiting tax loopholes • Multinational corporations influence over political decisions • More open to economic shocks elsewhere in the world • Potential for imported inflation • Over-dependence on certain markets/possible strategic markets arguments • Lack of control over markets and goods and services • Environmental issues.
Evaluation	• Benefits and costs depend on viewpoint, eg a firm lowering costs may do so at the expense of an individual's job • Globalisation as a source of competition creating more resilience in UK firms • Short-term benefits in comparison to longer term, for example short-term prices may fall but over-reliance could lead to long term losses • Loss of manufacturing could lead to long-run over-dependence on certain economies

STEMUP.CO.UK

	• Comparative advantage is dynamic – eg although the UK may benefit in terms of specialising in financial services now, this may not be forever. *Examiners should note that, for some of the weaker or average candidates, parts of the above represent quite sophisticated evaluation and so it is important to ensure that more basic evaluation is adequately rewarded where a genuine effort has been made to display that skill.*
Also give credit for	• Reference to the UK/other economies • Diagrams • An overall judgement on the issues raised.

It will only be possible for candidates to consider a few of the above. They may also discuss other issues not mentioned above.

[25]

STEMUP.CO.UK

26.

Introduction	• The nature of EU single market • Origins of the EU • How 'beneficial' may be measured in terms of macroeconomic performance.
Developing the response to the question: **Application**	**Drawing on the information in the extracts:** • Electoral success **(Extract B)** • Lower taxes creating growth **Extract B** • Balancing budget helped by growth (**Extract B**) • Reduced size of the state (**Extract B**) • What goods and services the state provides (**Extract C**) • Health, education and welfare in terms of living standards (**Extract C**) • Benefiting certain income groups (**Extract C**) • The effect of shifting from direct to indirect taxation (**Extract C**)
Developing the response to the question: **Analysis**	**Arguments why direct tax cuts may be beneficial for growth and living standards:** • Increased consumption possibilities • Increased AD as a result of increased consumption and short-run economic growth • Possible increase in firms profits and its role in stimulating investment • Individuals are able to spend more on what they wish, improving welfare • Reduced tax rates leading to more tax revenue (Laffer curve arguments) • Links between economic growth and living standards • Reducing crowding out • Possible multiplier effects. **Arguments why direct tax cuts may be damaging for growth and living standards:** • Possibly leading to reduced state intervention • Reduction in provision of both public and merit goods • Possible increased inequality • Rich having a larger marginal propensity to save, therefore not spending as much, reducing the circular flow • Possible negative multiplier effects • Lack of crowding in • Costs of economic growth in terms of living standards (eg pollution).

STEMUP.CO.UK

Evaluation	• Short-run vs long run considerations • Are growth and living standards always necessarily directly linked? • How can living standards be judged and what factors need to be considered in their measurement? (This could include HDI or other suitable measurements). • The role of the state and the effects of merit and public goods on living standards • Differences between New Zealand's and UK's experiences, eg New Zealand linked to fast growing Asia-Pacific region. • The idea that living standards and growth encompass a wide range of factors beyond that which domestic governments have control. *Examiners should note that, for some of the weaker or average candidates, parts of the above represent quite sophisticated evaluation and so it is important to ensure that more basic evaluation is adequately rewarded where a genuine effort has been made to display that skill.*
Also give credit for	• Reference to the UK/other economies • Relevant diagrams • An overall judgement on the issues raised.

It will only be possible for candidates to consider a few of the above. They may also discuss other issues not discussed above

[25]

STEMUP.CO.UK

28.

Introduction	• Deflation • The EU • Macroeconomic performance indicators.
Developing the response to the question: **Application**	Drawing on information in the extracts: • Central banks' ability to respond **(Extract E)** • Eurozone 'one size fits all' vs UK's and others ability to respond **Extract E** • Delaying spending (**Extract F**) • Effects on debtors (**Extract F**) • Effects on tax revenue (**Extract F**) • Reduced production costs/productivity (**Extract F**) • Improving competitiveness (**Extract F**) • Deterring investment (**Extract F**)
Developing the response to the question: **Analysis**	**Arguments as to how deflation in the EU may benefit the UK economy:** • Effects on competitiveness if UK also experiences deflation • Benign inflation due to improved productivity helping growth and maintaining low prices • Long run, sustainable economic growth achieving deflation • UK's low stable inflation causing stability in comparison to deflation • Deflation in other economies may make UK a relative safe haven for firms from abroad considering FDIMicroeconomic effects such as benefits to first time buyers of possible falling house prices. **Consideration of how deflation in the EU may damage the UK economy:** • If EU experiences deflation and UK doesn't then UK may become less competitive in relative terms • EU deflation delaying spending and causing a fall in UK exports • Lack of FDI across the EU due to uncertainty • Damaging tax revenues in the UK which may reduce ability of UK Government to meet targets • Possibility that QE and other policy responses by the ECB and European economies as a result of deflation may give boosts to these economies. • Microeconomic effects such as falling house prices causing negative equity.
Evaluation	• The UK as part of the EU possibly suffering deflation • The duration of deflation having a major impact

STEMUP.CO.UK

	• The cause of deflation being vital to understanding the effects • Benign vs malign arguments • The UK's ability to avoid deflation in comparison to other economies • The relative difficulty of combatting inflation when the causes are external in a globalised economy. *Examiners should note that, for some of the weaker or average candidates, parts of the above represent quite sophisticated evaluation and so it is important to ensure that more basic evaluation is adequately rewarded where a genuine effort has been made to display that skill.*
Also give credit for	• Reference to the UK/other economies • Relevant diagrams • An overall judgement on the issues raised.

It will only be possible for candidates to consider a few of the above. They may also discuss other issues not mentioned above.

[25]

STEMUP.CO.UK

1.

Level of response	Response	Max 15 marks
3	**A good response provides an answer that:** • is well organised and develops a selection of the key issues that are relevant to the question • shows sound knowledge and understanding of economic terminology, concepts and principles with few, if any, errors • includes good application of relevant economic principles and, where appropriate, good use of data to support the response • includes well-focused analysis with clear, logical chains of reasoning.	**11-15 marks**
2	**A reasonable response provides an answer that:** • focuses on issues that are relevant to the question • shows satisfactory knowledge and understanding of economic terminology, concepts and principles but some weaknesses may be present • includes reasonable application of relevant economic principles and, where appropriate, some use of data to support the response • includes some reasonable analysis but which might not be adequately developed or becomes confused in places.	**6-10 marks**
1	**A weak response provides an answer that:** • has one or more relevant issues identified • has some limited knowledge and understanding of economic terminology, concepts and principles but some errors are likely • has very limited application of relevant economic principles and/or data to the question • might have some limited analysis but it may lack focus and/or become confused.	**1-5 marks**

Relevant issues include:

- impact on employment, unemployment and human capital
- impact on real GDP and aggregate demand
- multiplier and accelerator effects
- effects on long-run growth and productivity
- spill-over effects on other firms
- impact on the balance of payments: exports and import substitution
- impact on the budget balance and public sector debt
- may stimulate spending on improvements to the infrastructure

[15]

STEMUP.CO.UK

2.

Introduction	• The nature of public sector debt • The relationship between deficits and debt • The EU and fiscal rules.
Developing the response to the question: **Application**	Drawing on the information in the extracts: • Eurozone recovery slowing **(Extract B)** • Taxation affecting investment **(Extract B)** • Ireland creating conditions to increase lending **(Extract C)** • Crowding out **(Extract C)** • High levels of public debt **(Extract C)** • Significant trade partners **(Extract C)**
Developing the response to the question: **Analysis**	Arguments as to how reduced public sector debt in other economies may effect UK: • methods of reducing public sector debt • equity and different income groups • impact on UK exports/FDI • impact on UK growth (short-run and long-run) • impact on the balance of payments on both current and capital accounts. • impact on employment/unemployment • impact on inflation. • impact on investment and confidence • impact on ratings agencies and bond yields • crowding in/crowding out arguments • standards of living • Keynesian multiplier Consideration of how reduced public sector debt domestically is also worthy of credit.
Evaluation	• How 'impact' is measured and the importance of various macroeconomic performance indicators • The differences between the relationships with each nation ie countries which provide us with net exports possibly having a bigger impact • Consideration of 'at the same time' as being with each other or with the UK • The rate at which debts are being reduced • Consideration of whether Government debt is in fact a problem • Consideration of FDI and how this might be affected

STEMUP.CO.UK

	• Will the private sector actually have more freedom to invest both domestically and abroad with reduced Government intervention • Whether debt reduction causes crowding in or crowding out and the effects on AD. *Examiners should note that, for some of the weaker or average candidates, parts of the above represent quite sophisticated evaluation and so it is important to ensure that more basic evaluation is adequately rewarded where a genuine effort has been made to display that skill.*
Also give credit for	• Reference to the UK/other economies • Diagrams • An overall judgement on the issues raised.

It will only be possible for candidates to consider a few of the above. They may also discuss other issues not mentioned above.

[25]

4.

Explanation:

Definition: As there is no single definition for this, any suitable definitions can be credited: eg The ability of an economy to sell goods and services in or to other countries and linking to the theory comparative advantage or in terms of lower costs of production or price/non price competitiveness

Analysis:

For Example: A fall in corporation tax may reduce a firms costs therefore the firms expected profits may rise and improve confidence which may be a catalyst for investment which may increase spending on both human capital and physical capital which may lead to productivity advancements allowing firms to sell goods for cheaper on international markets.
Use of appropriate diagrams, eg AD/AS
Reference to the UK or other economies.

[9]

STEMUP.CO.UK

5.

Introduction	• The meaning of inequality • Differences between income and wealth • UK economic performance measures.
Developing the response to the question: **Application**	Drawing on the information in the extracts: • the rich having a lower MPC and a higher MPS and if incomes of the poor increased consumption would increase **(Extract B)** • US economic growth and US being the UK's main export partner **(Extract B)** • Increased income inequality due to reduced union power **(Extract C)** • trickle-down effects improving living standards **(Extract C)** • promoting incentives and wealth generation and focusing on financial services **(Extract C)** • economic progress often depends on saving and innovation **(Extract C)** • UK financial services making short term with profits and lacking long term investment **(Extract C)**
Developing the response to the question: **Analysis**	Arguments how inequality impacts on UK performance: • impacts on consumption and AD • US as the UK's main export market + other export markets • how supply side reforms have affected UK macro-performance • UK's comparative advantage in financial services • increased savings linking to investment • policies impacting on consumption and investment and different income groups • entrepreneurship, "wealth generation" and the free market. • equity vs efficiency arguments • impact on FDI • asset bubbles • UK banking system and the pursuit of long term investment Analysis of each of the UK's four main macro-economic goals or other social goals or current government targets could be included.
Evaluation	• Does inequality in other countries really impact on the UK economy? • The relative amount of inequality • Does the marginal propensity to consume have such a big impact or are there more important considerations (does increased saving by the "top 1%" have such an impact?)

STEMUP.CO.UK

	• The importance of exports and FDI in the economy. • Other considerations such as domestic policy or financial crisis creating bigger impacts. • The relative importance of each macroeconomic performance indicator • Whether Government intervention is necessary for the improvement of the economy and if so in what way?
Also give credit for	• Reference to the UK/other economies • Diagrams • An overall judgement on the issues raised.

It will only be possible for candidates to consider a few of the above. They may also discuss other issues not mentioned above.

[25]

7.

Areas for discussion include:

- concept of sustained economic growth
- differences between economic growth and economic development
- meaning of ‘ to improve living standards’
- difficulties in measuring living standards
- whether it is meaningful to discuss African countries in general
- analysis of the impact of growth on employment and income
- impact of growth on government finances and possible effects on living standards
- whether growth is likely to be sustainable
- costs of economic growth and their impact on living standards
- impact of policies that might stimulate growth
- different sources of economic growth
- the nature of the growth that is experienced and the type of output produced
- impact of population change
- whether growth results in a reduction or increase in inequality within and between countries

The use of relevant diagrams to support the analysis should be taken into account when assessing the quality of the student’s response to the question.

[25]

STEMUP.CO.UK

8. **Areas for discussion include:**

- definitions/explanations: foreign direct investment (FDI), living standards
- explaining how living standards can be measured in terms of monetary measures such as GDP per capita
- explaining how living standards can be measured in terms of qualitative factors such as education, health, inequality, the environment, human development and sustainability
- alternative measures of living standards such as the Human Development Index (HDI)
- explaining the benefits of FDI on living standards in terms of:
    - employment
    - incomes and consumption possibilities
    - economic growth and GDP per capita
    - multiplier/accelerator arguments
    - human development/skills progression
    - potential improvements in infrastructure created by MNCs
    - possible health implications of increased formal, regular employment
    - generating more government tax revenue which may be spent on merit/public goods without creating debt
    - crowding in arguments
- explaining the drawbacks of FDI on living standards in terms of:
    - exploitation of workers by MNCs
    - damages to the environment caused by MNCs
    - possible inflationary pressures causing the cost of living to rise
    - 'footloose' industries causing instability in employment for example
    - repatriation of profits acting as a leakage from the circular flow
- considering that governments may not benefit from rising tax revenues as they may have been forced to offer tax breaks
- how global shocks, such as the pandemic, may lead to changes in investment beyond the control of the domestic government
- the alternatives to attracting FDI to improve living standards such as aid and the possible problems of tied aid
- other possible alternatives such as government spending and the potential problems of rising debt
- other possible alternatives such as the promotion of free trade or protectionism and the potential problems of each method
- whether the government should intervene at all or if the free market would provide better solutions
- the possible inequalities caused by FDI and the possible regional differences
- issues such as corruption affecting the distribution of the benefits of FDI.

The use of relevant diagrams to support the analysis should be taken into account when assessing the quality of the student's response to the question.

Level of response	Response	Max 25 marks
5	**Sound, focused analysis and well-supported evaluation that:** • is well organised, showing sound knowledge and understanding of economic terminology, concepts and principles with few, if any, errors • includes good application of relevant economic principles to the given context and, where appropriate, good use of data to support the response • includes well-focused analysis with clear, logical chains of reasoning • includes supported evaluation throughout the response and in a final conclusion.	**21–25 marks**
4	**Sound, focused analysis and some supported evaluation that:** • is well organised, showing sound knowledge and understanding of economic terminology, concepts and principles with few, if any, errors • includes some good application of relevant economic principles to the given context and, where appropriate, some good use of data to support the response • includes some well-focused analysis with clear, logical chains of reasoning • includes some reasonable, supported evaluation.	**16–20 marks**
3	**Some reasonable analysis but generally unsupported evaluation that:** • focuses on issues that are relevant to the question, showing satisfactory knowledge and understanding of economic terminology, concepts and principles but some weaknesses may be present • includes reasonable application of relevant economic principles to the given context and, where appropriate, some use of data to support the response • includes some reasonable analysis but which might not be adequately developed or becomes confused in places • includes fairly superficial evaluation; there is likely to be some attempt to make relevant judgments but these are not well-supported by arguments and/or data.	**11–15 marks**
2	**A fairly weak response with some understanding that:** • includes some limited knowledge and understanding of economic terminology, concepts and principles but some errors are likely • includes some limited application of relevant economic principles to the given context and/or data to the question • includes some limited analysis but it may lack focus and/or become confused • includes some evaluation which is weak and unsupported.	**6–10 marks**
1	**A very weak response that:** • includes little relevant knowledge and understanding of economic terminology, concepts and principles • includes application to the given context which is, at best, very weak • includes attempted analysis which is weak and unsupported.	**1–5 marks**

[25]

STEMUP.CO.UK

10.

Areas for discussion include:

- the difference between economic development and economic growth
- the nature of free trade and preferential trading arrangements
- the role of multinational companies in global trade and economic development
- examples of how global trade policies impact on the economic development of a country, eg removal of tariff barriers into the EU provide an opportunity for greater exports
- factors that contribute to economic development, eg investment in infrastructure, investment in human capital, better health care and sanitation
- export-led growth
- exports as an injection into the circular flow of income with associated multiplier effects
- how export earnings generate foreign currency that can be used to finance imports that are necessary for development
- free trade leads to cheaper imports and can promote improvements in the competitiveness of domestic producers
- trade and comparative advantage
- trade may allow sectors within an economy to develop economies of scale and create opportunities to access new technology
- the view that exports promote self-reliance, whereas aid promotes dependency
- free trade may inhibit the development of infant industries
- free trade may lead to reliance on a limited range of products, maybe a few primary products, and lead to instability
- free trade may result in large, unsustainable current account deficits
- aid might damage domestic producers, eg food aid and agriculture
- aid may be directed at prestige projects and be misappropriated by corrupt officials
- aid can be effective in improving basic living standards and allow people to escape from poverty and disease
- effectiveness of aid depends on the type of aid and how it is distributed.

The use of relevant diagrams to support the analysis should be taken into account when assessing the quality of the candidate's response to the question.

The use of examples of developing economies should be taken into account when assessing the quality of response.

[25]

STEMUP.CO.UK

13.

Relevant issues include:

- definitions of economic growth and economic development
- measures of economic growth
- measures of economic development
- concept of human development
- factors influencing economic growth
- factors influencing economic development
- limitations of GDP as an indicator of welfare
- difficulties of measuring development
- how growth can take place without development.

The use of relevant diagrams to support the analysis should be taken into account when assessing the quality of the candidate's response to the question.

The use of examples of other economies to illustrate these differences should be taken into account when assessing the quality of response.

[15]

17.

Relevant issues include:

- definitions/explanations: trade, pattern of trade
- explaining the pattern in terms of the geographical distribution of UK exports and imports
- explaining the significance of trade to the UK economy
- explaining the pattern in terms of different goods and services traded
- using relevant examples to illustrate the changes in the pattern of trade
- explaining comparative advantage and how changes in comparative advantage might be significant
- giving a numerical example of comparative advantage
- explaining other possible reasons for changes in the pattern of trade, eg:
    - changes in absolute advantage
    - changes in protectionist/free trade policies in other countries
    - joining/changing membership of trading blocs
    - consideration of trade diversion/trade creation due to trading blocs
    - exchange rate changes
    - changing quality/reputation
    - government intervention distorting markets
    - de-industrialisation
    - causes and changes in globalisation
    - external shocks
    - economic development
    - factor endowment and exploitation of resources
    - innovation and invention (both product and process)
    - any other valid argument.

The use of relevant diagrams, such as a tariff diagram, to support the analysis should be taken into account when assessing the quality of the candidate's response to the question.

STEMUP.CO.UK

Level of response	Response	Max 15 marks
3	**A good response provides an answer that:** • is well organised and develops a selection of the key issues that are relevant to the question • shows sound knowledge and understanding of economic terminology, concepts and principles with few, if any, errors • includes good application of relevant economic principles to the given context and, where appropriate, good use of data to support the response • includes well-focused analysis with clear, logical chains of reasoning.	**11 – 15 marks**
2	**A reasonable response provides an answer that:** • focuses on issues that are relevant to the question • shows satisfactory knowledge and understanding of economic terminology, concepts and principles but some weaknesses may be present • includes reasonable application of relevant economic principles to the given context and, where appropriate, some use of data to support the response • includes some reasonable analysis but which might not be adequately developed or becomes confused in places.	**6 – 10 marks**
1	**A weak response provides an answer that:** • has identified one or more relevant issues • has some limited knowledge and understanding of economic terminology, concepts and principles but some errors are likely • has very limited application of relevant economic principles to the given context **and/or** data to the question • might have some limited analysis but it may lack focus **and/or** become confused.	**1 – 5 marks**

[15]

STEMUP.CO.UK

18.

Areas for discussion include:

- definitions/explanations: economic development, market-based strategies, interventionist strategies
- differences and links between economic growth and economic development
- examples of market-based strategies such as privatisation, deregulation, liberalisation of foreign trade, removing restrictions on international investment
- examples of interventionist strategies such as government spending on infrastructure, protectionist policies and government spending on health and education
- the pros and cons of market-based approaches to achieving development
- the pros and cons of interventionist approaches to achieving development
- difficulties in measuring development in terms of economic/human development
- the potential barriers that may hinder India's development
- analysis of the impact of development on formal employment/informal employment
- the progression from the primary sector to secondary sector employment
- whether growth and development are sustainable
- economic/social/political freedom arguments
- costs of development **and/or** growth and their impact on living standards
- the effectiveness of policies that might stimulate development
- protectionism as an aid to development
- the role of investment (domestic and foreign direct)
- key industries in development.

The use of relevant diagrams to support the analysis should be taken into account when assessing the quality of the candidate's response to the question.

Level of response	Response	Max 25 marks
5	**Sound, focused analysis and well-supported evaluation that:** • is well organised, showing sound knowledge and understanding of economic terminology, concepts and principles with few, if any, errors • includes good application of relevant economic principles to the given context and, where appropriate, good use of data to support the response • includes well-focused analysis with clear, logical chains of reasoning • includes supported evaluation throughout the response and in a final conclusion.	**21 – 25 marks**
4	**Sound, focused analysis and some supported evaluation that:** • is well organised, showing sound knowledge and understanding of economic terminology, concepts and principles with few, if any, errors • includes some good application of relevant economic principles to the given context and, where appropriate, some good use of data to support the response • includes some well-focused analysis with clear, logical chains of reasoning • includes some reasonable, supported evaluation.	**16 – 20 marks**
3	**Some reasonable analysis but generally unsupported evaluation that:** • focuses on issues that are relevant to the question, showing satisfactory knowledge and understanding of economic terminology, concepts and principles but some weaknesses may be present • includes reasonable application of relevant economic principles to the given context and, where appropriate, some use of data to support the response • includes some reasonable analysis but which might not be adequately developed or becomes confused in places • includes fairly superficial evaluation; there is likely to be some attempt to make relevant judgements but these are not well-supported by arguments **and/or** data.	**11 – 15 marks**
2	**A fairly weak response with some understanding that:** • includes some limited knowledge and understanding of economic terminology, concepts and principles but some errors are likely • includes some limited application of relevant economic principles to the given context **and/or** data to the question • includes some limited analysis but it may lack focus **and/or** become confused • includes some evaluation which is weak and unsupported.	**6 – 10 marks**
1	**A very weak response that:** • includes little relevant knowledge and understanding of economic terminology, concepts and principles • includes application to the given context which, at best, is very weak • includes attempted analysis which is weak and unsupported.	**1 – 5 marks**

[25]

STEMUP.CO.UK

19.

Level of response	Response	Max 9 marks
3	• is well organised and develops one or more of the key issues that are relevant to the question • shows sound knowledge and understanding of relevant economic terminology, concepts and principles • includes good application of relevant economic principles **and/or** good use of data to support the response • includes well-focused analysis with a clear, logical chain of reasoning • includes a relevant diagram that will, at the top of this level, be accurate and used appropriately	**7 – 9 marks**
2	• includes one or more issues that are relevant to the question • shows reasonable knowledge and understanding of economic terminology, concepts and principles but some weaknesses may be present • includes reasonable application of relevant economic principles **and/or** data to the question • includes some reasonable analysis but it might not be adequately developed and may be confused in places • may include a relevant diagram	**4 – 6 marks**
1	• is very brief **and/or** lacks coherence • shows some limited knowledge and understanding of economic terminology, concepts and principles but some errors are likely • demonstrates very limited ability to apply relevant economic principles **and/or** data to the question • may include some very limited analysis but the analysis lacks focus **and/or** becomes confused • may include a relevant diagram but the diagram is not used **and/or** is inaccurate in some respects.	**1 – 3 marks**

A micro diagram showing a rightward shift in supply is expected. The candidate could demonstrate the effects of increased exports, due to the subsidy, using an AD/AS diagram. Provided there is valid explanation, accept either a rightward shift in the SRAS or a rightward shift in AD.

Relevant issues include

- definitions/explanations: export subsidy, exports, trade, economic growth
- impact of an export subsidy on price, quantity, the volume and value of trade
- impact of an export subsidy on employment in particular industries
- linking trade to aggregate demand
- impact of export subsidies on investment
- linking export subsidies to both short-run and long-run economic growth

[9]

STEMUP.CO.UK

20. **Areas for discussion include:**

- definitions/explanations: economic development, MNCs, LEDCs
- positive roles of MNCs in developing nations such as the effects on:
  - employment and job creation
  - economic growth (short-run and long-run)
  - the trade balance (eg increase exports)
  - productivity and international competitiveness
  - infrastructure
  - investment and positive multiplier effects
  - development of human capital
  - knowledge and technological transfer
  - standards of living
- potential negative roles of MNCs in developing nations such as the effects on:
  - the environment
  - the exploitation of workers
  - the destruction of culture
  - the indigenous industries being unable to compete
  - the unfair political influence which MNCs may possess
  - possible tax avoidance
  - the repatriation of profits which is negative on GNP
- explaining the main differences between LEDCs and MEDCs
- examples of MNCs and countries in which they have set up
- the issue that job creation may be limited due to the capital-intensive nature of the FDI and filling management roles from the source nation
- that the role depends upon the objectives of the MNC and that initial positive effects may change over time
- what may happen if the MNC had not been involved in the economy. Would domestic firms have fared better?
- the individual government's ability to control the power of the MNCs may determine whether, on balance, they have a positive impact on development.

The use of relevant diagrams to support the analysis should be taken into account when assessing the quality of the student's response to the question.

Level of response	Response	Max 25 marks
5	**Sound, focused analysis and well-supported evaluation that:** • is well organised, showing sound knowledge and understanding of economic terminology, concepts and principles with few, if any, errors • includes good application of relevant economic principles to the given context and, where appropriate, good use of data to support the response • includes well-focused analysis with clear, logical chains of reasoning • includes supported evaluation throughout the response and in a final conclusion.	**21 – 25 marks**
4	**Sound, focused analysis and some supported evaluation that:** • is well organised, showing sound knowledge and understanding of economic terminology, concepts and principles with few, if any, errors • includes some good application of relevant economic principles to the given context and, where appropriate, some good use of data to support the response • includes some well-focused analysis with clear, logical chains of reasoning • includes some reasonable, supported evaluation.	**16 – 20 marks**
3	**Some reasonable analysis but generally unsupported evaluation that:** • focuses on issues that are relevant to the question, showing satisfactory knowledge and understanding of economic terminology, concepts and principles but some weaknesses may be present • includes reasonable application of relevant economic principles to the given context and, where appropriate, some use of data to support the response • includes some reasonable analysis but which might not be adequately developed or becomes confused in places • includes fairly superficial evaluation; there is likely to be some attempt to make relevant judgements but these are not well-supported by arguments **and/or** data.	**11 – 15 marks**
2	**A fairly weak response with some understanding that:** • includes some limited knowledge and understanding of economic terminology, concepts and principles but some errors are likely • includes some limited application of relevant economic principles to the given context **and/or** data to the question • includes some limited analysis but it may lack focus **and/or** become confused • includes some evaluation which is weak and unsupported.	**6 – 10 marks**
1	**A very weak response that:** • includes little relevant knowledge and understanding of economic terminology, concepts and principles • includes application to the given context which, at best, is very weak • includes attempted analysis which is weak and unsupported.	**1 – 5 marks**

[25]

STEMUP.CO.UK

21. **Areas for discussion include:**

- definitions/explanations: protectionism, economic development, emerging economies
- explaining different forms of protectionism such as tariffs, quotas, non-tariff barriers or export subsidies
- explaining the benefits of protectionist policies such as:
    - infant industry arguments
    - sunset industry arguments
    - helping to diversify domestic industry
    - raising of government revenue
    - safeguarding jobs
    - insulating against economic shocks
    - prevent dumping
    - correcting a current account deficit
- explaining the costs or problems of protectionism such as:
    - retaliation
    - higher prices on the cost of living
    - potential job losses in other industries not protected
    - encouraging inefficient business to stay in the market
    - firms don't benefit from economies of scale
    - the benefits of comparative advantage are not achieved
    - reduced competition and other efficiency arguments
- linking arguments to economic development in terms of growth or wider issues such as social development of a nation
- considering short-term gains of protectionism against long-run development
- considering the experiences of nations such as Brazil or China in terms of development over the past few decades
- considering other ways to achieve development other than protectionism such as supply-side policies, aid, or free-trade
- considering the nature of the goods traded by different nations.

The use of relevant diagrams to support the analysis should be taken into account when assessing the quality of the student's response to the question.

Level of response	Response	Max 25 marks
5	**Sound, focused analysis and well-supported evaluation that:** • is well organised, showing sound knowledge and understanding of economic terminology, concepts and principles with few, if any, errors • includes good application of relevant economic principles to the given context and, where appropriate, good use of data to support the response • includes well-focused analysis with clear, logical chains of reasoning • includes supported evaluation throughout the response and in a final conclusion.	**21 – 25 marks**
4	**Sound, focused analysis and some supported evaluation that:** • is well organised, showing sound knowledge and understanding of economic terminology, concepts and principles with few, if any, errors • includes some good application of relevant economic principles to the given context and, where appropriate, some good use of data to support the response • includes some well-focused analysis with clear, logical chains of reasoning • includes some reasonable, supported evaluation.	**16 – 20 marks**
3	**Some reasonable analysis but generally unsupported evaluation that:** • focuses on issues that are relevant to the question, showing satisfactory knowledge and understanding of economic terminology, concepts and principles but some weaknesses may be present • includes reasonable application of relevant economic principles to the given context and, where appropriate, some use of data to support the response • includes some reasonable analysis but which might not be adequately developed or becomes confused in places • includes fairly superficial evaluation; there is likely to be some attempt to make relevant judgements but these aren't well-supported by arguments and/or data.	**11 – 15 marks**
2	**A fairly weak response with some understanding that:** • includes some limited knowledge and understanding of economic terminology, concepts and principles but some errors are likely • includes some limited application of relevant economic principles to the given context and/or data to the question • includes some limited analysis but it may lack focus and/or become confused • includes some evaluation which is weak and unsupported.	**6 – 10 marks**
1	**A very weak response that:** • includes little relevant knowledge and understanding of economic terminology, concepts and principles • includes application to the given context which is, at best, very weak • includes attempted analysis which is weak and unsupported.	**1 – 5 marks**

[25]

STEMUP.CO.UK

22. **Relevant issues include:**

- definitions/explanations: economic growth, stable growth, LEDCs
- explaining the main characteristics of LEDCs with reference to measurements such as the HDI or GDP
- explaining factors that may be barriers to growth such as:
    - corruption
    - lack of property rights (both physical and intellectual)
    - poor infrastructure
    - a lack of, or poor quality, human capital
    - a lack of, or poor quality, physical capital
    - a lack of savings causing a lack of capital accumulation
    - institutional factors
    - primary product dependency
    - informal employment and a lack of tax revenue.

Note: some candidates may answer this question in terms of why MEDCs find it easier to achieve economic growth. This approach is fine and should be rewarded accordingly.

The use of relevant diagrams to support the analysis should be taken into account when assessing the quality of the student's response to the question.

Level of response	Response	Max 15 marks
3	**A good response provides an answer that:** • is well organised and develops a selection of the key issues that are relevant to the question • shows sound knowledge and understanding of economic terminology, concepts and principles with few, if any, errors • includes good application of relevant economic principles to the given context and, where appropriate, good use of data to support the response • includes well-focused analysis with clear, logical chains of reasoning.	**11 – 15 marks**
2	**A reasonable response provides an answer that:** • focuses on issues that are relevant to the question • shows satisfactory knowledge and understanding of economic terminology, concepts and principles but some weaknesses may be present • includes reasonable application of relevant economic principles to the given context and, where appropriate, some use of data to support the response • includes some reasonable analysis but which might not be adequately developed or becomes confused in places.	**6 – 10 marks**
1	**A weak response provides an answer that:** • has identified one or more relevant issues • has some limited knowledge and understanding of economic terminology, concepts and principles but some errors are likely • has very limited application of relevant economic principles to the given context **and/or** data to the question • might have some limited analysis but it may lack focus **and/or** become confused.	**1 – 5 marks**

[15]

23. D

[1]

STEMUP.CO.UK

24. **Areas for discussion include:**

- definitions/explanations: international trade
- explaining the alternatives to international trade such as protectionism or closed economies
- different stakeholder groups for analysis such as firms, households, the government or in terms of the macroeconomic objectives or other objectives such as the environment
- explaining the benefits of trade such as:
  - benefits from comparative advantage
  - economies of scale
  - increased competition
  - lower prices
  - access to more diverse markets
  - trade creation
  - export-led growth and employment
  - encouraging FDI
  - increased product and process innovation
  - welfare gains
  - improving international relations/reducing conflict
- explaining the potential drawbacks of trade such as:
  - loss of domestic industry
  - structural unemployment
  - potential loss of infant/sunset industry arguments
  - leakages to the circular flow if a net importer
  - environmental damage due to transportation
  - trade deals may cause political arguments
  - potential trade of demerit goods/illegal goods/weapons
- using real-life examples of where international trade has helped or damaged industries in the UK or the rest of the world
- whether protectionist policies or having a closed economy would have benefited different nations rather than trade
- the limitations of trade such as assumptions about the model of comparative advantage
- the different industries that LEDCs and MEDCs end up specialising in and the effects of this in terms of development
- the different experiences of LEDCs and MEDCs regarding the terms of trade or problems of primary product dependency
- considering living standards in LEDCs may be damaged in terms of worker exploitation or the environment
- considering the term ‘always’
- the inability of some nations to be able to fully exploit their comparative advantage
- considering both the positive and negative impact of MNCs on different nations.

The use of relevant diagrams to support the analysis should be taken into account when assessing the quality of the candidate’s response to the question.

Level of response	Response	Max 25 marks
5	**Sound, focused analysis and well-supported evaluation that:** • is well organised, showing sound knowledge and understanding of economic terminology, concepts and principles with few, if any, errors • includes good application of relevant economic principles to the given context and, where appropriate, good use of data to support the response • includes well-focused analysis with clear, logical chains of reasoning • includes supported evaluation throughout the response and in a final conclusion.	**21–25 marks**
4	**Sound, focused analysis and some supported evaluation that:** • is well organised, showing sound knowledge and understanding of economic terminology, concepts and principles with few, if any, errors • includes some good application of relevant economic principles to the given context and, where appropriate, some good use of data to support the response • includes some well-focused analysis with clear, logical chains of reasoning • includes some reasonable, supported evaluation.	**16–20 marks**
3	**Some reasonable analysis but generally unsupported evaluation that:** • focuses on issues that are relevant to the question, showing satisfactory knowledge and understanding of economic terminology, concepts and principles but some weaknesses may be present • includes reasonable application of relevant economic principles to the given context and, where appropriate, some use of data to support the response • includes some reasonable analysis but which might not be adequately developed or becomes confused in places • includes fairly superficial evaluation; there is likely to be some attempt to make relevant judgments but these are not well-supported by arguments and/or data.	**11–15 marks**
2	**A fairly weak response with some understanding that:** • includes some limited knowledge and understanding of economic terminology, concepts and principles but some errors are likely • includes some limited application of relevant economic principles to the given context and/or data to the question • includes some limited analysis but it may lack focus and/or become confused • includes some evaluation which is weak and unsupported.	**6–10 marks**
1	**A very weak response that:** • includes little relevant knowledge and understanding of economic terminology, concepts and principles • includes application to the given context which is, at best, very weak • includes attempted analysis which is weak and unsupported.	**1–5 marks**

[25]

25. C

[1]

STEMUP.CO.UK